

Weak Fragmentability

by V. Farmaki

Abstract. We introduce the notion of weak-fragmentability which is identified with the weak-Radon Nikodym property and also with the Pettis property in weak*-compact, convex subsets of a dual Banach space. Also we prove that characteristic properties of a convex, weakly fragmented set K are that $\overline{\text{conv}F}^{w*} = \overline{\text{conv}F}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for every weak*-compact subset F of K and that $L = \overline{\text{conv}tL}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for every convex, weak*-compact subset L of K . Finally is proved that a convex, weak-fragmented set is affine homeomorphic to a weak*-compact subset of the dual space of a Banach space not containing l_1 .

Introduction. The central theme of this paper is the notion of weak-fragmentability (Definition 1) which is inspired by the fragmentability defined in [8] and is identified with the scalar point of continuity property [9] in weak*-compact subsets of dual Banach spaces.

By Musial [7] and Janicka [6] it is proved that the dual Banach spaces with the weak-Radon-Nicodym property is characterized as the spaces with predual not containing l_1 . Also it is known [11] that a dual Banach space has the w-R.N.P. if and only if its weak*-compact subsets are weakly-fragmented. On the other hand, every convex, weakly-fragmented subset of a dual Banach space is affine homeomorphic to a weak*-compact subset of a dual space with the w-R.N.P. (Corollary 13).

Every convex, weak*-compact subset K of a dual Banach space X^* is weakly fragmented if and only if it has the w-R.N.P. or equivalently if it is a Pettis set (Theorem 9). Also characteristic properties of a convex weakly fragmented set K are that $\overline{\text{conv}F}^{w^*} = \overline{\text{conv}F}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for every weak*-compact subset F of K and that $L = \overline{\text{conv} \text{ext} L}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for every convex, weak*-compact subset L of K (Theorem 9 and Corollary 10). Using a version of the Superlemma [1],[2], we prove that K is weakly fragmented if and only if for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ the set of extreme points of K which are also points of continuity of $x^{**}/K: (K, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a dense, G_δ set in $\text{ext}K$ (Proposition 4).

Finally, we prove that every bounded linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$ from a Banach space X into a dual space Y^* such that the weak*-closure of the image of the unit ball of X is a weakly fragmented subset of Y^* factors through a dual space with predual not containing l_1 (Corollary 14). This can also be proved by using a Theorem of E.Saab and P.Saab [11], we give a direct proof which uses the notion of weak-fragmentability.

Proposition 2. Let X be a Banach space and F a w^* -compact subset of the dual space X^* . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) F is w -fragmented.
- (ii) For every non-empty, w^* -compact subset H of F , $\epsilon > 0$ and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ there exists a non-empty relatively open subset U of (H, w^*) such that $O(x^{**}, U) < \epsilon$.
- (iii) For every non-empty, w^* -compact subset H of F and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ the restriction of x^{**} to (H, w^*) has a point of continuity. (Scalar point of continuity property, [9].)
- (iv) For every w^* -compact subset H of F and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ the set of points of continuity of the map $x^{**}/_H : (H, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a dense G_δ subset of (H, w^*) .

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) are obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Z_n be the set of all $x \in H$ for which there exists a relatively open subset U of (H, w^*) with $x \in U$ and $O(x^{**}, U) < 1/n$. Clearly the sets $Z_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively open subsets of (H, w^*) and from (ii) they are dense in (H, w^*) . The set $Z = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_n$ is precisely the set of points of continuity of $x^{**}/_H : (H, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and it is a dense, G_δ subset of (H, w^*) , because (H, w^*) is a Baire space.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). For each $i=1, \dots, n$, let T_i be the set of points of continuity of $x_i^{**}/_H : (H, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From (iv) the sets T_i are dense, G_δ in (H, w^*) . Hence $T = \bigcap_{i=1}^n T_i$ is also dense, G_δ in (H, w^*) . Let $e \in T$. Then there exists a relatively open subset U of (H, w^*) such that $e \in U$ and $O(x_i^{**}, U) < \epsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

We will prove that the set of the extreme points of K which are also points of continuity of $x^{**}/_K : (K, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ is dense, G_δ in $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$ if K is a w^* -compact, w -fragmented, convex subset of a dual space. This can be proved using analogous arguments as in the proof of Proposition 8

Notations. Let Z be a topological Hausdorff space and f a real valued function on Z . If $A \subseteq Z$, the oscillation of f on A is $O(f,A) = \sup\{|f(y)-f(x)|: x,y \in A\}$ and the oscillation of f at a point $x \in Z$ is $O(f,x) = \inf\{O(f,U): U \subseteq Z \text{ is open and } x \in U\}$. Obviously, f is continuous at x if and only if $O(f,x) = 0$. We denote by $f|_A$ the restriction of f to A .

Let X be a Banach space. We denote by X^* and X^{**} the dual and the second dual of X respectively. The closed unit ball of X is denoted by B_X and its surface by S_X . If A is a subset of the dual space X^* then we denote by $\bar{A}^{\|\cdot\|}$ the norm-closure of A , by \bar{A}^w the weak-closure of A , by \bar{A}^{w^*} the weak*-closure of A and by $\text{conv}A$ the convex hull of A . The symbol (A, w^*) means that A is endowed with relative w^* -topology. If K is a convex subset of X then the set of extreme points of K is denoted by $\text{ext}K$.

If F is a bounded subset of a dual Banach space X^* then a w^* -slice (or w^* -open slice) of F is a set of the form $S = S(F, x, a) = \{f \in F: f(x) > M(x, F) - a\}$ where $x \in X$, $a > 0$ and $M(x, F) = \sup\{f(x): f \in F\}$. The corresponding w^* -closed slice of S is the set $S_1 = S_1(F, x, a) = \{f \in F: f(x) \geq M(x, F) - a\}$.

Definition 1. Let X be a Banach space and F a non-empty subset of the dual space X^* . We say that F is **weakly fragmented** if for each non-empty subset A of F , $\epsilon > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$ there exists a non-empty relatively open subset U of (A, w^*) such that $O(x_i^{**}, U) < \epsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

It is easy to check that F is w -fragmented if and only if for every non-empty relatively closed subset H of (F, w^*) there exists a non-empty relatively open subset U of (H, w^*) such that $O(x_i^{**}, U) < \epsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

in [11]. We will give another more elegant proof for this result using a version of the Superlemma [1],[2].

Lemma 3. Let X be a Banach space, K, K_0 , and K_1 be w^* -compact, convex subsets of X^* , $\epsilon > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in S_{X^{**}}$. Suppose that:

1. K_0 is a subset of K and $0(x_i^{**}, K_0) < \epsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.
2. K is not a subset of K_1 .
3. K is a subset of $\text{conv}(K_0 \cup K_1)$.

Then there exists a w^* -slice S of K that intersects K_0 and $0(x_i^{**}, S) < \epsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

The proof is virtually identical with that of the Superlemma (weak version). (See [3] Theorem 3.4.1. (w^*)).

Proposition 4. Let X be a Banach space, K a w^* -compact, w -fragmented, convex subset of X^* and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$. Then the set $T \cap \text{ext}K$ is dense, G_δ in $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$, where T is the set of the points of continuity of $x^{**}/_K(K, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, $K = \overline{\text{conv}(T \cap \text{ext}K)}^{w^*}$.

Proof. Let $E = \text{ext}K$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ let B_ϵ be the set of elements of E which have a w^* -open neighborhood V such that $0(x^{**}, V \cap K) < \epsilon$. Every B_ϵ is open in (E, w^*) and we will prove that B_ϵ is also dense. Let W be a w^* -open subset of X^* with $W \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Since K is w -fragmented there exists a w^* -open subset U of X^* such that $U \subseteq W$, $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $0(x^{**}, \overline{\text{conv}}^{w^*}(U \cap E)) < \epsilon$, ([11] Proposition 7). Define $K_0 = \overline{\text{conv}(U \cap E)}^{w^*}$ and $K_1 = \overline{\text{conv}(E \setminus U)}^{w^*}$.

The sets K_0, K_1, K satisfy the properties 1, 2, 3, of Lemma 3, hence we can find a w^* -slice S of K that intersects K_0 , misses K_1 and $0(x^{**}, S) < \epsilon$. Let $u \in E \cap S$. Since $0(x^{**}, S) < \epsilon$, we have that $u \in B_\epsilon$. Also $u \in E \cap U \subseteq W$ since $K_1 \cap S = \emptyset$. Therefore B_ϵ is dense in (E, w^*) .

Finally, $\text{ext}K \cap T = \bigcap_n B_{1/n}$ is dense, G_δ in $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$, since $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$ is a Baire space.

Corollary 5. Let A be a bounded subset of a dual space X^* . If $K = \overline{\text{conv}A}^{w^*}$ is w^* -fragmented then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$ there exists a w^* -slice S of K such that $S \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $0(x_i^{**}, S) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let E_i $i=1, \dots, n$ be the sets of extreme points of K which are points of continuity of $x_i^{**}/K : (K, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ respectively. By Proposition 4 the sets E_i are dense, G_δ in $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$. Since $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$ is a Baire space the set $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i$ is also dense, G_δ in $(\text{ext}K, w^*)$. Let $e \in E$, then there exists a w^* -slice S of K such that $e \in S$ and the corresponding closed slice S_1 of S has $0(x_i^{**}, S_1) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

Of course $S \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $0(x_i^{**}, \overline{\text{conv}(S \cap A)}^{w^*}) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

Proposition 6. Let F be a w^* -compact subset of a dual Banach space X^* , such that $\overline{\text{conv}F}^{w^*}$ is w^* -fragmented. Then $\overline{\text{conv}F}^{w^*} = \overline{\text{conv}F}^{\|\cdot\|}$.

Proof. Let $f \in K = \overline{\text{conv}F}^{w^*}$. Then there is a regular Borel probability measure μ on (F, w^*) whose resultant $r(\mu)$ is equal to f . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in X^{**}$. The support M of μ is a non-empty, w^* -compact subset of F and $M_1 = \overline{\text{conv}M}^{w^*}$ is w^* -fragmented subset of K . From Corollary 5 there exists a w^* -closed slice S_1 of M_1 such that $0(x_i^{**}, \overline{\text{conv}^{w^*}(S_1 \cap M)}) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$ and $S_1 \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\mu(S_1 \cap M) > 0$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a maximal disjoint family of w^* -compact subsets A of F such that $\mu(A) > 0$ and $0(x_i^{**}, \overline{\text{conv}^{w^*}A}) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$. Then \mathcal{A} is countable and we will show that $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) = 1$. If $\mu(\bigcup \mathcal{A}) < 1$, then by the regularity of μ there exists a w^* -compact subset L of F such that $L \subseteq F \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu(L) > 0$. Let μ_1 the Borel measure on F with $\mu_1(B) = \mu(L \cap B)$ for every w^* -Borel subset of F . If C is

the support of μ_1 , then C is a non-empty w^* -compact subset of L and $C_1 = \overline{\text{conv}}^{w^*} C$ is w -fragmented subset of K . From Corollary 5 there is a w^* -closed slice S_1 of C_1 such that $0(x_1^{**}, \overline{\text{conv}}^{w^*}(S_1 \cap C)) < \varepsilon$ and $S_1 \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\mu(S_1 \cap C) > 0$. Therefore $A \cup (S_1 \cap C)$ is properly larger than A , contradicting maximality. Hence $\mu(\cup A) = 1$.

Let $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu(A_i) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Then $\mu = t_1 \mu_1 + \dots + t_k \mu_k + t_{k+1} \lambda$, where μ_i for $i=1, \dots, k$ and λ are regular, Borel, probability measures of (F, w^*) with $\mu_i(A_i) = 1$, $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$ for $i=1, \dots, k$, $t_{k+1} < \varepsilon$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t_i = 1$. Therefore $f = \tau(\mu) = t_1 \tau(\mu_1) + \dots + t_k \tau(\mu_k) + t_{k+1} \tau(\lambda)$. Choose $f_i \in A_i$ for $i=1, \dots, k$ and $f_{k+1} \in F$. Since $\tau(\mu_i) \in \overline{\text{conv}} A_i^{w^*}$, $i=1, \dots, k$ we have that $x_j(f_i - \tau(\mu_i)) < \varepsilon$ for every $j=1, \dots, n$ and $i=1, \dots, k$. Hence $x_j^{**}(f - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} t_i f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i x_j^{**}(\tau(\mu_i) - f_i) + t_{k+1} x_j^{**}(\tau(\lambda) - f_{k+1}) < \varepsilon + \text{diam} F$ for every $j=1, \dots, n$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**}$ are arbitrary we conclude that $f \in \overline{\text{conv}} F^{w^*} = \overline{\text{conv}} F^{\|\cdot\|}$.

Remark 7. For every w^* -compact, w -fragmented, convex subset K of a dual Banach space we have that $K = \overline{\text{conv}} K^{\|\cdot\|}$. This can be proved with the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [5]. Proposition 7 can be proved easily using the previous result. We gave a directly proof which has ideas of an analogous result of Namioka [8].

In the following we will prove that the property which is described in Proposition 6 is a characteristic property of convex, w -fragmented sets.

Definition 8. Let X be a Banach space and K a w^* -compact subset of the dual space X^* .

K is called a **Pettis set** if the identity map $I: (K, w^*) \rightarrow X^*$ is universally scalarly measurable, i.e. for each $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ the function $x^{**}/K: (K, w^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is μ -measurable for every Radon propability measure μ on (K, w^*) .

K is called a **weak-Radon-Nikodym set** (w -R.N. set) if for every probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and every bounded linear operator $T: L_1(\mu) \rightarrow X^*$ for which $T(x_E/\mu(E))$ belongs to K for each non-null measurable set E , the operator T is represented by a Pettis integrable function with values in K .

Theorem 9. Let K be a w^* -compact, convex subset of a dual space X^* . The following are equivalent:

- (i) K is w -fragmented.
- (ii) K is a Pettis set.
- (iii) K has the weak-Radon-Nikodym property.
- (iv) For each w^* -compact subset F of K we have $\overline{\text{conv} F}^{w^*} = \overline{\text{conv} F}^{\|\cdot\|}$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) K is w -fragmented if and only if K has the scalar point of continuity property, from Proposition 2. Hence we have the equivalence from the results in [9] and [10].

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) This equivalence is proved in [12].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) In [12] is proved that a w^* -compact subset F of X^* is a Pettis set if and only if $\overline{\text{conv}^{w^*}}(K \cup (-K))$ is a Pettis set. Since every w^* -compact absolutely convex subset of X^* is w -fragmented ([9]) we have that every Pettis set is w -fragmented.

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) It is obvious from Proposition 6.

Corollary 10. A convex, w^* -compact subset K of a dual Banach space X^* is w -fragmented if and only if $L = \overline{\text{conv} L}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for every convex subset L of K .

The following Theorem is influenced by the methods of Davis-Figiel-Johnson-Pelczynski [4] and Namioka [8]

Lemma 11 Let F be a w^* -compact, w -fragmented subset of a dual space X^* , B the unit ball of X^* , $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and $x_1^{**}, \dots, x_n^{**} \in S_{X^{**}}$. If A is a non-empty subset of $K + \delta B^*$ then there is a w^* -open subset V of X^* such that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $O(x_i^{**}, V \cap A) < 2\delta + \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$.

Proof. We can assume that A is w^* -compact. We define the function $f: F \times \delta B \rightarrow X^*$ by $f((x^*, y^*)) = x^* + y^*$. If $F \times \delta B$ has the product of the weak*-topologies and X^* the weak*-topology the function f is continuous and of course $A \subseteq f(F \times \delta B)$.

Let M be a minimal compact subset of $F \times \delta B$ such that $f(M) = A$. Then $\pi_1(M)$ (where π_1 is the projection map) is a w -fragmented subset of F , hence there exists a relatively w^* -open subset U of F such that $U \cap \pi_1(M) \neq \emptyset$ and $O(x_i^{**}, V \cap \pi_1(M)) < \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$. By the minimality of M , $f(M \setminus \pi_1^{-1}(V))$ is a proper subset of A , hence $W = A \setminus f(M \setminus \pi_1^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f(M \cap \pi_1^{-1}(V))$ is a non-empty, relatively w^* -open subset of A . Let $x^*, y^* \in W$, then $x^* = x_1^* + x_2^*$ and $y^* = y_1^* + y_2^*$ with $(x_1^*, x_2^*), (y_1^*, y_2^*) \in (V \cap \pi_1^{-1}(M)) \times \delta B$. Then

$$x_i^{**}(x^* - y^*) = x_i^{**}(x_1^* - y_1^*) + x_i^{**}(x_2^* - y_2^*) < \varepsilon + 2\delta.$$

and $O(x_i^{**}, W) < 2\delta + \varepsilon$ for every $i=1, \dots, n$ as was to be shown.

Theorem 12. Let X be a Banach space and K a w^* -compact, w -fragmented, absolutely convex subset of the dual space X^* . Then there exists a bounded linear operator T from X onto a dense subspace of a Banach space E not containing 1_1 such that $K \subseteq T^*(B)$, where B is the unit ball of E^* .

Proof. Let V be the unit ball of X^* , $U_n = 2^n K + (1/2^n)V$ for every $n=1, 2, \dots$ and $\|\cdot\|_n$ a norm for X^* whose unit ball is U_n . The norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ are dual and equivalent to the original one on X^* . Let $p(x^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x^*\|_n$ for every $x^* \in X^*$, $H = \{x^* \in X^* : p(x^*) < \infty\}$ and $U = \{x^* \in X^* : p(x^*) \leq 1\}$.

As proved in [8], (H, p) is a dual Banach space and U is a w^* -compact,

absolutely convex subset of X^* with $K \subseteq U$. We will prove that U is also w -fragmented. Let F be a non-empty, w^* -compact subset of U , $\epsilon > 0$ and $x^{**} \in X^{**}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/2^n < (\epsilon/3) \|x^{**}\|$. Then $F \subseteq U \subseteq U_n = 2^n K + (1/2^n) V \subseteq 2^n K + (\epsilon/2 \|x^{**}\|) V$. From Lemma 11 there is a relatively w^* -open subset W of F with $O(x^{**}, W) < \epsilon$. Hence U is a w -fragmented subset of X^* .

We will show that (H, p) has the w -R.N.P.. It is sufficient to prove that U is a w -fragmented subset of (H, p) . Let F be a non-empty, w^* -compact subset of U , $\epsilon > 0$ and $x^{**} \in S_{H^*}$. If $m = \sup\{p(f) : f \in F\}$, then we choose an $f_0 \in F$ such that $p(f_0) > m - \epsilon/3$ and consequently $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \|f_0\|_n > m - \epsilon/3$. Write $q(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \|g\|_n$ for every $g \in X^*$. Then q is an equivalent norm in E^* and also q is w^* -lower semicontinuous. Let $W = \{f \in F : q(f) > m - \epsilon/3\}$. Then $f_0 \in W$ and W is a relatively w^* -open subset of F . We define $Z = (\sum_n X_n)_1$ where $X_n = (X^*, \|\cdot\|_n)$ and $\phi : H \rightarrow Z$ by $\phi(f) = (jf, jf, \dots)$ where $j : H \rightarrow X^*$ is the inclusion map. Then $\phi(H)$ is a closed linear subspace of Z and (H, p) is isometric to $\phi(H)$. Hence $\phi^* : (\sum_n X_n)_\infty \rightarrow H^*$ is onto H^* and therefore there exists $y^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots) \in \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n^*$ with $\|y^*\|_\infty \leq 1$ such that $y^*(f) = \sum_n x_n^*(f)$ for every $f \in H$. Let $z^* : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $z^*(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*(g)$ for every $g \in X^*$. Then $z^* \in X^{**}$, because the norm q is equivalent to the original norm of X^* . Since U is a w -fragmented subset of X^* , there exists a non-empty relatively w^* -open subset G of W such that $O(z^*, G) < \epsilon/3$. The set G is relatively w^* -open in F and $O(x^{**}, G) < \epsilon$. Indeed, let $g_1, g_2 \in G$, then $x^{**}(g_1 - g_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*(g_1 - g_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} x_n^*(g_1 - g_2) + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} x_n^*(g_1 - g_2) < \epsilon/3 + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \|g_1\|_n + \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \|g_2\|_n < \epsilon$ because $g_1, g_2 \in W$. This shows that U is w -fragmented in (H, p) .

Let $C(U)$ be the Banach space of all continuous scalar-valued functions on (U, w^*) with the supremum norm and let $R : X \rightarrow C(U)$ be the bounded linear operator defined by $R(x)(u) = u(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $u \in U$. Let $E = \overline{R(X)}$ and

$T: X \rightarrow E$ be the map which is obtained from R by restricting the range. Then $T^*: E^* \rightarrow X^*$ is an isometry onto (H, p) and $K \subseteq T^*(B)$ where B is the unit ball of E^* .

Corollary 13. Every Pettis set (F, w^*) of a dual Banach space X^* is (affine) homeomorphic to a w^* -compact subset of the dual E^* of a Banach space E not containing l_1 .

Proof. If F is a Pettis set then $K = \overline{\text{conv}(F \cup -F)}^{w^*}$ is also a Pettis set [1]. According to Theorems 9 and 12 there exists a space E not containing l_1 and a bounded linear map $T: X \rightarrow E$ with dense range such that $K \subseteq T^*(B_{E^*})$. Since the restriction of $T^*/B_{E^*}: (B_{E^*}, w^*) \rightarrow (T^*(B_{E^*}), w^*)$ is a homeomorphism we have that (F, w^*) is (affine) homeomorphic to a w^* -compact subset of E^* .

Corollary 14. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T: X \rightarrow Y^*$ a bounded linear operator such that $\overline{T(B_X)}^{w^*}$ is a w -fragmented subset of Y^* . Then T factors through a dual Banach space E^* with E not containing l_1 .

Proof. Apply Theorem 12 to $K = \overline{T(B_X)}^{w^*}$. Then there exists a dual Banach space (H, p) with predual not containing l_1 such that $K \subseteq B_H$, $H \subseteq Y^*$ and the inclusion map $J: H \rightarrow Y^*$ be continuous. Hence $T = J \circ S$ where $S = J^{-1} \circ T: X^* \rightarrow H$.

References

- [1] E. Asplund and I. Namioka, A geometric proof of Ryll-Nardzewskii's fixed point theorem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73(1967), 443-445.
- [2] J. Bourgain, A geometrical characterization of the Radon Nicodym property in Banach spaces, Compositio Math. (1) 36(1978), 3-6.
- [3] R.D. Bourgin, Geometric Aspects of Convex Sets with the Radon- Nicodym Property, Springer lecture notes 993(1983).
- [4] W. Davis, T. Figiel, W.B. Johnson, A. Pelczynski, Factoring weakly

- [4] W. Davis, T. Figiel, W.B. Johnson, A. Pelczynski, Factoring weakly compact operators, J. Funct. Analysis 17(1974), 311-327.
- [5] R. Haydon, Some more characterizations of Banach spaces containing l_1 , Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 80(1976), 269-276.
- [6] L. Janiska, Some measure-theoretical characterizations of Banach spaces not containing l_1 , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 27(1979), 561-565.
- [7] K. Musial, The weak Radon-Nikodym property for Banach spaces, Studia Math. 64(1978), 151-174.
- [8] I. Namioka, Radon-Nikodym compact spaces and fragmentability, Mathematika 34(1987), 258-281.
- [9] L.H. Riddle, E. Saab, J.J. Uhl Jr., Sets with the weak Radon-Nikodym property in dual Banach spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. Jour. (4) 23(1983), 527-541.
- [10] E. Saab, Some characterizations of weak Radon-Nikodym sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86(1982), 307-311.
- [11] E. Saab, P. Saab, A dual geometric characterization of Banach spaces not containing l_1 , Pacific J. of Math. (2) 105(1983), 415-425.
- [12] M. Talagrand, Pettis integral and measure theory, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. (51) 307(1984).

Vassiliki Farmaki
University of Athens
Department of Mathematics
Panepistemiopolis-Illissia
15784 ATHENS
GREECE