Cross-section measurements of radiative proton-capture reactions in $^{112}$Cd at energies of astrophysical interest
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Reactions involving the group of nuclei commonly known as $p$ nuclei are part of the nucleosynthetic mechanisms at astrophysical sites. The $^{113}$In nucleus is such a case with several open questions regarding its origin at extreme stellar environments. In this paper, the experimental study of the cross sections of the radiative proton-capture reaction $^{112}$Cd($p, \gamma$)${^{113}}$In is attempted for the first time at energies lying inside the Gamow window with an isotopically enriched $^{112}$Cd target. Two different techniques, the in-beam $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy and the activation method, have been applied. The latter method is required to account for the presence of a low-lying $^{113}$In isomer at 392 keV having a half-life of $\approx$100 min. From the cross sections, the astrophysical $S$ factors and the isomeric ratios have been additionally deduced. The experimental results are compared to detailed Hauser-Feshbach theoretical calculations using TALYS and discussed in terms of their significance to the optical model potential involved.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The origin of some 35 neutron-deficient stable isotopes with mass $A \geq 74$ between $^{74}$Se and $^{196}$Hg in the neutron-deficient side of the valley of stability, commonly known as "$p$ nuclei," has been one of the major open questions in nuclear astrophysics [1,2]. The solar abundances of $p$ nuclei are one to two orders of magnitude lower compared to the respective $r$ and $s$ nuclides in the same mass region [3], which is attributed to "shielding" by their reaction flow [4,5].

Various astrophysical environments and associated processes have been proposed to explain the origin of the $p$ nuclei and their solar abundances. The main mechanism is referred to as the $p$ process, but it is used interchangeably with the term $\gamma$ process, which also plays a dominant role for this nucleosynthesis scenario [6]. The $p$ process is assumed to occur in different zones inside a core-collapse supernova, and thus the peak temperature for the $p$ process lies between $T_{\text{peak}} \approx 2$ and 3 GK [4]. It has also been shown that the $p$ process can also occur in a single-degenerate type-Ia supernova scenario [7].

Several other explosive nucleosynthesis scenarios, such as the $rp$ process [8], the $pn$ process [9], and the $\nu p$ process [10–12] have been proposed to contribute to the production of $p$ nuclei. It is remarkable that, despite the variety of astrophysical models, these processes can reproduce the solar abundances of the $p$ nuclei within a factor of 3 (e.g., see the sensitivity study by Rapp et al. [13]). Nevertheless, several species, such as $^{92,94}$Mo, $^{96,98}$Ru, $^{113}$In, and $^{115}$Sn, are significantly underproduced in most models. In the context of the present paper, the origin of $^{113}$In is discussed in some detail later in the text.

The vast $p$-process reaction network involves roughly 20 000 reactions among 2000 nuclei [4] and thus, within that framework, most of the reaction rates need to be estimated using the Hauser-Feshbach statistical model [14].

The experimental input is invaluable in terms of constraining the model parameters. Measurements of cross sections in radiative proton-capture reactions can play a twofold pivotal role towards the understanding of the $p$ process. First, they can be used to adjust the parameters of the statistical model improving theoretical predictions for currently unmeasured reactions, and second, they can make calculations of important photodisintegration decay constants possible [15].

Open questions on the origin of $^{113}$In

The production of $^{113}$In at astrophysical sites has been a long-standing puzzle for nuclear astrophysics [16]. $^{113}$In is the lightest in a group of four $p$ nuclei that are not...
FIG. 1. A sketch of the reaction flows in the vicinity of $^{113}\text{In}$ adapted from Ref. [38] taking into account Ref. [37]. Contributions from the corresponding $s$, $r$, and $p$ processes are shown. The present paper focuses on the proton-capture channel by $^{112}\text{Cd}$, which is marked in the figure with the strong-line box. See the text for details.
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The complexity of nucleosynthesis in the Cd-In-Sn region arises mainly due to the existence of several long-lived $\beta$-decaying isomers [18,19] (see also Fig. 1) and leads to significant underproduction of the rare odd-$A$ isotopes $^{113}\text{In}$ and $^{115}\text{Sn}$ [13].

Németh et al. [18] proposed a $s$-process contribution to the origin of $^{113}\text{In}$, which was calculated to be very small (less than 1%). Recent calculations using KADoNiS [20] have resulted in a much smaller 0.0013% contribution. Theis et al. have showed that post-$r$-process $\beta$-decay chains could account for less than 12% of the solar abundance of $^{113}\text{In}$ and that thermally enhanced $\beta$ decay of the progenitor $^{114}\text{Cd}$ is possible [19]. Finally, Dillmann and co-workers [21,22] proposed the $\beta$-delayed $r$-process decay chains as the most promising scenario.

The $rp$ and $\nu p$ processes are excluded as possible production mechanisms since they generally produce nuclei up to $A = 110$ [22]. In this context, a $\nu p$-process sensitivity study by Wanajo et al. [23] has demonstrated that by changing either astrophysical or nuclear physics input parameters, the $\nu p$ process could account for the origin of $^{113}\text{In}$ and other $A > 110$ nuclei.

Concerning possible astrophysical sites, Fujimoto et al. showed in Ref. [24] that $^{113}\text{In}$ and several other underproduced $p$ nuclei can be abundantly synthesized in ejecta originated by a collapsar [25]. Specifically, the heavy $p$ nuclei, including $^{113}\text{In}$, are produced in the jets through fission [24].

Interestingly enough, it has been demonstrated by Babishov and Kopytin [26] and Kopytin and Hussain [27] that $^{113}\text{In}$ could be produced during a supernova explosion of a 25-$M_\odot$ star. However, their final $p$ abundances are accompanied by underestimated molybdenum and ruthenium abundances, still leaving some open questions.

As a consequence of all the above, it is nowadays widely accepted that $^{113}\text{In}$ is not a “pure” $p$ nucleus but has non-negligible contributions from the $s$ and $r$ processes [28].

Many studies have focused on $^{113}\text{In}$ in the vicinity of $\gamma$-process nucleosynthesis energies, such as the $^{113,115}\text{In}(p, \gamma)^{114,116}\text{Sn}$ reactions [29], the $\alpha$ elastic scattering [30], and the $^{113}\text{In}(\alpha, \gamma)^{117}\text{Sb}$ reactions [31]. Recently, Shan et al. [32] focused on proton-induced reactions in $^{113}\text{In}$ at energies ranging 8–22 MeV adding information to earlier investigations of the $^{112}\text{Cd}(p, n)^{113}\text{In}$ reaction [33–35]. The spin isomer in $^{113}\text{In}$ was also very recently studied in the pygmy resonance region with photoexcitation [36].

In the present paper, we report on a first experimental attempt to study the radiative proton-capture relevant to the production of $^{113}\text{In}$ by measuring the reaction cross sections at astrophysically interesting energies using an isotopically enriched $^{112}\text{Cd}$ target. Despite the particular reaction is not necessarily a strong channel in the reaction flow [37], it can still be considered valuable to have its cross section measured as it can assist in constraining models to offer better predictions for reactions that cannot be measured directly in this mass regime.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Measurements for the study of the radiative proton-capture reaction on $^{112}\text{Cd}$ were carried out at the 5.5 MV T11 Tandem Van de Graaff accelerator of the NCSR “Demokritos” in Athens, Greece. Both the in-beam and activation methods have been used in the measurements to account for a low-lying isomeric state in the populated nucleus $^{113}\text{In}$.

A. The proton beams

The reaction $^{112}\text{Cd}(p, \gamma)^{113}\text{In}$ [$Q = 6081.2(2)$ keV] [39] was studied at four proton laboratory energies in total, i.e., 2.8, 3.0, 3.2, and 3.4 MeV. All energies lie inside the Gamow
window for temperatures related to the production of $p$ nuclei with $A \approx 92–144$ at $T_{\text{peak}} = 2$ to $3 \text{GK}$, which corresponds to $E_p = 1.8–4.5 \text{MeV}$. During the experiments, the target was irradiated with protons of beam currents ranging 150–300 enA.

![X-ray fluorescence spectrum](image)

**FIG. 2.** The x-ray fluorescence spectrum of the target (tgt) after background removal (wobg) and photopeak deconvolution (deconv) as compared to a standard Cd sample (std).

**B. The target**

A multilayer target was irradiated during the experiments, comprising a front layer of 99.7% enriched $^{112}$Cd evaporated on a $^{209}$Bi layer, backed by an $^{nat}$In layer and a thick $^{nat}$Cu layer. Considering the generally low proton-capture cross section at these energies and the low natural abundance of $^{112}$Cd, the use of an enriched target was imperative. The thick $^{nat}$Cu backing provided an efficient charge collection during the experiment.

The $^{112}$Cd layer thickness was measured equal to $\delta_{\text{RBS}} = 0.96 \text{mg/cm}^2$ with the Rutherford backscattering technique (RBS) before and after the experiment and found to have no degradation due to irradiation [40]. To further confirm the layer thickness, an independent measurement was carried out after the experiment using x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) resulting in a value of $\delta_{\text{XRF}} = 1.02 \text{mg/cm}^2$ (see Fig. 2). The two results were combined to produce the average value of $\delta_p = 0.99(5) \text{mg/cm}^2$ where the error cited is the standard deviation calculated from the two measurements.

![Experimental setup](image)

**FIG. 3.** A computer-aided design model of the experimental setup used in the present paper. The target chamber was surrounded by an array of four HPGe detectors placed on a turntable to measure $\gamma$ singles from eight different angles.

The target was turned inside the chamber by $30^\circ$ with respect to the beam to avoid having its aluminum frame masking any of the surrounding high-purity germanium (HPGe) detectors, in particular, the one sitting at $90^\circ$ (see also Ref. [41]), thus resulting in an effective thickness of the target $\delta = \cos 30^\circ = 1.14(6) \text{mg/cm}^2$.

Proton-beam energy losses in the target were calculated using SRIM2013 [42] and found to be $\Delta E = 59–52 \text{keV}$ for the corresponding proton-beam energies $E_p = 2.8–3.4 \text{MeV}$ in the laboratory frame. Assuming reactions taking place in the middle of the $^{112}$Cd layer, the effective energy in the center-of-mass system is given by (see also Table I)

$$E_{\text{eff}} = E_p - \frac{\Delta E}{2}. \tag{1}$$

A voltage of $-300 \text{V}$ was applied to the target chamber to suppress the emission of secondary electrons from altering the charge collection readings, which are essential for the calculation of the reaction yields and, subsequently, the cross section. The target was mounted on an aluminum heatsink cooled externally by an air-pumping system.

**C. Detection apparatus and experimental methods**

An array of four HPGe detectors of 100% relative efficiency was mounted on an octagonal turntable with maximum radius 2.4 m (Fig. 3). The table’s turning ability enables measurements of a full angular distribution. This particular setup is known for its versatility in measuring cross sections and angular distributions of radiative capture reactions relevant to the $p$ process. Similar studies can be found in Refs. [41,43,44].

**TABLE I. Cross sections, astrophysical $S$ factors, and isomeric ratios for the studied reaction.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_p$ (lab) (MeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{eff}}$ (lab) (MeV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{c.m.}}$ (c.m.) (MeV)</th>
<th>$\sigma_p$ (mb)</th>
<th>$\sigma_n$ (mb)</th>
<th>$\sigma_T$ (mb)</th>
<th>$S$ factor ($\times 10^8 \text{MeV}b$)</th>
<th>$\sigma_n/\sigma_p$</th>
<th>$\sigma_n/\sigma_T$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.800</td>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>2.746</td>
<td>0.0075 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>2.972</td>
<td>2.945</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.080 ± 0.005</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>3.172</td>
<td>3.144</td>
<td>0.070 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.125 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.195 ± 0.010</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.400</td>
<td>3.374</td>
<td>3.344</td>
<td>0.138 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.265 ± 0.016</td>
<td>0.404 ± 0.018</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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FIG. 4. A typical absolute efficiency curve for the detectors employed in the measurement. The particular one corresponds to the detector placed at 55°. Errors are smaller than the symbol size.

Detectors 1–4 were initially placed at 90, 0, 55, and 165°, respectively, with reference to the beam direction. Their distances from the target were 15.5, 15.5, 14.8, and 18.0 cm, respectively. By turning the table by 15° counterclockwise, an additional set of angles was used (105, 15, 40, 150°, respectively). Energy calibrations and absolute efficiency measurements (Fig. 4) for all detectors were performed with a standard 152Eu point source placed in the exact target position, before and after the experiments. Spectra were recorded in the singles mode using the nuclear electronics setup described in Ref. [41].

Due to the structure properties of 113In (see level scheme in Fig. 5), two different methods were employed to study the cross section of the radiative proton-capture reaction: in-beam γ-ray spectroscopy, and activation.

A low-lying isomeric state of 113In \( [E_{\gamma} = 391.7 \text{ keV, } t_{1/2} = 99.476(23) \text{ min}] \) (see Ref. [45] for the data and Fig. 5 for a partial level scheme) was populated in the reactions. Due to the particular lifetime of the state, a measurement of the corresponding cross section relies on the exploitation of the activation method. In the recent past, similar studies have successfully employed the activation technique [31,46–53]. For a more detailed description

FIG. 5. A partial level scheme of the low-lying energy levels of 113In. The solid arrows represent decays feeding the ground state of 113In and were observed during our measurements. See the transitions marked with asterisks in Fig. 6.
concerning the application of the activation method on proton-induced reactions relevant to the $p$ process, the reader is referred to Refs. [40,54].

In the present case, the activation method was combined efficiently with the in-beam measurements. The duration of irradiation was kept at $\approx 6-8$ h to ensure that the isomeric state has been populated sufficiently and (almost) reached saturation. Following irradiation, overnight measurements for over five half-lives ($\approx 500$ min) were performed without beam delivery on the target. Activation measurements followed in-beam measurements for each proton beam energy used in this paper.

### III. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

#### A. In-beam measurements

The cross section of the reaction $^{112}\text{Cd}(p, \gamma)^{113}\text{In}_{gs}$ can be estimated from the relation [55],

$$\sigma_{gs} = \frac{A Y}{N_A \delta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $A$ is the atomic mass of the target in atomic mass unit (a.m.u.), $N_A$ is the Avogadro number, $\delta$ is the actual target thickness in $\mu g$ cm$^{-2}$ and $Y$ is the absolute yield of the reaction in counts per milliCoulomb (mC). The latter can be deduced from

$$Y = \sum_{i} A^i_0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the $A^i_0$ coefficients are related to the angular distributions of the emitted photons originating from the $i$th $\gamma$ transition feeding the ground state of the residual nucleus,

$$W^i(\theta) = A^i_0 \left( 1 + \sum_{k} a^i_k P^i_k (\cos \theta) \right) \text{ for } k = 2, 4, \ldots, \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where the $a^i_k$ are coefficients which depend on the spin and parity of the initial and final state of the transition and $P^i_k$ are Legendre polynomials. From the level scheme of the residual nucleus $^{113}\text{In}$ (Fig. 5), seven transitions feeding the ground state were observed with statistics above the background,

$$5/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1024 \text{ keV},$$
$$5/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1132 \text{ keV},$$
$$11/2^+ \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1173 \text{ keV},$$
$$7/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1191 \text{ keV},$$
$$(7/2^+, 9/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1509 \text{ keV},$$
$$\text{unknown} \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1676 \text{ keV},$$
$$\text{unknown} \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_\gamma = 1802 \text{ keV},$$

![FIG. 6. A horizontal split view (300–2000 keV) of a typical spectrum recorded in singles in the detector placed at $55^\circ$ and at a beam energy of 3.4 MeV. Photopeaks feeding the ground state of $^{113}\text{In}$ are marked with *'s, whereas transitions feeding the isomeric $1/2^-$ state are marked with #’s. Other deexcitations of $^{113}\text{In}$ are marked with circles. Major background lines which are usually observed in the present setup, coming from natural radioactivity (e.g., $^{214}\text{Bi}$, $^{27}\text{Al}$), or elements present in the beamline components (e.g., $^{28}\text{Si}$) are also labeled. Please note that subfigure $\gamma$ axes are not in scale.]

Typical examples of measured angular distributions are shown in Fig. 7, showing the $\gamma$-transition angular pattern for the transitions $5/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1024 \text{ keV},$ $5/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1132 \text{ keV},$ $11/2^+ \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1173 \text{ keV},$ $7/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1191 \text{ keV},$ $(7/2^+, 9/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1509 \text{ keV},$ unknown $\rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1676 \text{ keV},$ unknown $\rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}, \hspace{0.5cm} E_{\gamma} = 1802 \text{ keV},$ in cases where an angular distribution was not clearly demonstrated in the data (mainly due to large uncertainties), an average value was used instead (see, e.g., lower right panel in Fig. 7). In addition, no $\gamma_0$ was observed in the spectra, likely due to the large spin difference between the entry state $(1/2^+ \text{ or } 3/2^+)$ and the ground state of $^{113}\text{In}$ ($J^\pi = 9/2^+$). The results for the ground-state cross section are tabulated in Table I and plotted in Fig. 8.

#### B. Activation measurements

The isomeric transition $1/2^- \rightarrow 9/2^+_{gs}$ is characterized by a half-life of $t_{1/2} = 99.476(23)$ min. The measurement of the absolute yield of the particular transition demanded the use of the activation method. An additional measurement of the cross section of the isomeric state was performed with the in-beam method that was discussed in the previous paragraph.
For each beam energy, the target was irradiated for approximately three half-lives, which is a sufficient irradiation time interval as after about $5t_{1/2}$, the process reaches saturation [56]. The isomeric cross section was evaluated using the standard relation,
\[
\sigma_{is} = \frac{A\lambda e^{\lambda t_w}}{N_t\phi\epsilon_{abs}I_p(1-e^{-\lambda t_c})(1-e^{-\lambda t_{irr}})},
\]
where $A$ is the number of events under the corresponding photopeak of the isomeric transition, $I_p$ is the probability of $\gamma$-ray emission, $\lambda$ is the decay constant of the transition, $N_t$ is the number of target nuclei per unit area, $\phi$ is the incident proton flux during the irradiation, $\epsilon_{abs}$ is the absolute efficiency of the detector and $t_w$, $t_c$, and $t_{irr}$ are the waiting (or cooling) time of the sample, the counting time, and the irradiation time of the sample, respectively. For the present case, $I_p = 0.6494(17)$ and $\lambda = 116.133(27) \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ [57,58].

The results for the isomeric cross sections with the activation method are tabulated in Table I and plotted in Fig. 9 (solid diamonds). Errors were evaluated by considering the uncertainties from photopeak integration, the detector efficiencies, and the charge deposition on the target during the irradiation of the sample. Cross-section results for the isomeric state deduced from the in-beam technique taking into account all transitions reaching the isomeric state are shown in the same figure (empty circles).
The total cross section, the astrophysical S deduced by means of the relation,

\[ S(E) = E \sigma(E) e^{2\pi\eta}, \]

where \( \eta \) is the Sommerfeld parameter [59]. The results for the astrophysical S factor can be deduced by means of the relation,

\[ S(E) = E \sigma(E) e^{2\pi\eta}, \]

The results for the total cross section of the studied reaction are tabulated in Table I and plotted in Fig. 10. After measuring the total cross section, the astrophysical S factor can be deduced by means of the relation,

\[ S(E) = E \sigma(E) e^{2\pi\eta}, \]

where \( \eta \) is the Sommerfeld parameter [59]. The results for the astrophysical S factor are also tabulated in Table I and plotted in Fig. 11. The particular quantity is important for astrophysical applications as it varies smoothly with energy compared to the cross section, thus allowing for safer extrapolations to experimentally inaccessible energies, serving also as a useful quantity for reaction network calculations.

All energies selected for the experiment reside inside the Gamow window and below the \((p, n)\) reaction threshold at energy of \( E \approx 3.4 \text{ MeV} \) [39] (see Table I for details).

D. Hauser-Feshbach calculations with TALYS

Theoretical calculations using the Hauser-Feshbach statistical model have been performed with the TALYS V1.9 code [60]. A total of 96 different combinations of the main ingredients of the model, i.e., the optical potential (OMP) (two default options), the nuclear level density (NLD) (six default options) and the \( \gamma \)-ray strength function (\( \gamma \)SF) (eight default options) have been used. The models used are presented in Table II. The calculations were performed using a 5-keV energy step between 1.5 and 8.0 MeV using the supercomputing facility Z machine at NCSR “Demokritos.”

Both microscopic and phenomenological models have been used for calculations using the default parameters provided by TALYS. For the OMP, the phenomenological model of Koning-Delaroche [61] as well as the semimicroscopic model of Bauge-Delaroche-Girod [64] has been used. It is important to note that, at the studied energy range, which lies below the Coulomb barrier, the OMP, and, in particular, its imaginary component, is known to depend strongly on the energy [4].

All six available NLD models provided by TALYS have been used in the calculations, namely, the phenomenological CTM [62], the back-shifted Fermi gas model [65], the generalized superfluid model [68], the semimicroscopic level density tables of Goriely [70], and Goriely et al. [71], and values using the time-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov method combined with the Gogny force [73].

Regarding \( \gamma \)SF models, the Kopecky-Uhl [63] and Brink-Axel [66] generalized Lorentzians were used as well as values calculated using the Hartree-Fock-BCS and Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov methods [69]. Goriely’s hybrid model [72] as well as Goriely’s tables using the temperature-dependent Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov method were additionally employed. Last, models using the temperature-dependent relativistic mean-field method [73] and the Hartree-Fock-
TABLE II. Models used for the calculations of cross sections with TALYS [60]. In total, results from 96 combinations are presented in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optical model potential</th>
<th>Nuclear level density</th>
<th>γ strength function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koning-Delaroche (KD) [61]</td>
<td>Constant-temperature model (CTM) [62]</td>
<td>Kopecky-Uhl [63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauge-Delaroche-Girod (BDG) [64]</td>
<td>Back-shifted Fermi gas model (BSFG) [65]</td>
<td>Brink [66] and Axel [67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized superfluid model (GSM) [68]</td>
<td>Hartree-Fock BCs (HFBCS) [69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goriely of Goriely et al. [70]</td>
<td>Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov (HFB) [69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tables of Goriely et al. [71]</td>
<td>Goriely hybrid model [72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T-dependent HF, Gogny force (TDHFB) [73]</td>
<td>Goriely TDHFB [73]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The absolute yields of seven transitions feeding directly the ground state of $^{113}$In have been measured. It has to be stressed that the cross sections are particularly small (7.5–138 μb for the in-beam measurements; 14–265 μb for the activation measurements) posing a real difficulty in collecting sufficient statistics, especially for the low-populated states decaying directly to the ground state at the lowest energy of 2.8 MeV. A few of the corresponding transitions hide under the background built up in the singles mode, thus, resulting in some missing yield. However, in the present paper, this missing yield can be safely considered smaller than the experimental error for the two lower energies (Fig. 9).

An alternative experimental approach to remedy all that could possibly be the application of the $4\pi$ detection method, which simplifies the tedious data analysis of a complex γ-ray spectrum since it results into a single summing peak. The aforementioned method has been applied successfully for studies in reactions relevant to the $p$ process [76] despite its own constraints, such as the summing efficiency, which depends on the γ-decay scheme [56].

As mentioned earlier, the cross section of the isomeric state was measured using the activation technique in addition to measuring transitions feeding the isomeric state during the application of the in-beam technique. Compared to the latter case, in the activation method, there is no beam-induced background in the spectra and no angular distribution effect to consider. In the present case, the decay of the $^{113}$In isomer emits 392-keV γ rays where the efficiencies of the detectors are relatively better, compared with the higher-energy γ transitions measured with the in-beam method. However, it is of extreme importance to have accurate knowledge of the

### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In the framework of the present paper, an experimental attempt to measure the total reaction cross section and the S factor of the astrophysically important reaction $^{112}$Cd($p, \gamma$)$^{113}$In has been carried out for the first time. The cross section was measured inside the astrophysically relevant energy range at four beam energies, namely, 2.8, 3.0, 3.2, and 3.4 MeV.

The measurement of the total reaction cross section required the use of two different techniques. The cross section of all prompt γ transitions feeding the ground state of the produced nucleus was determined using the in-beam γ-angular distribution method. All visible transitions in the spectra feeding the isomeric state were included in the measurement of its cross section. However, due to the significantly longer half-life of the isomeric state, the activation technique was employed [40,55] additionally and was used to produce the total cross section. Table III lists the two data sets for each energy value and the percentage deviation of the cross section deduced from the in-beam method from the corresponding value found with the activation technique.

The absolute yields of seven transitions feeding directly the ground state of $^{113}$In have been measured. It has to be stressed that the cross sections are particularly small (7.5–138 μb for the in-beam measurements; 14–265 μb for the activation measurements) posing a real difficulty in collecting sufficient statistics, especially for the low-populated states decaying directly to the ground state at the lowest energy of 2.8 MeV. A few of the corresponding transitions hide under the background built up in the singles mode, thus, resulting in some missing yield. However, in the present paper, this missing yield can be safely considered smaller than the experimental error for the two lower energies (Fig. 9).

An alternative experimental approach to remedy all that could possibly be the application of the $4\pi$ detection method, which simplifies the tedious data analysis of a complex γ-ray spectrum since it results into a single summing peak. The aforementioned method has been applied successfully for studies in reactions relevant to the $p$ process [76] despite its own constraints, such as the summing efficiency, which depends on the γ-decay scheme [56].

As mentioned earlier, the cross section of the isomeric state was measured using the activation technique in addition to measuring transitions feeding the isomeric state during the application of the in-beam technique. Compared to the latter case, in the activation method, there is no beam-induced background in the spectra and no angular distribution effect to consider. In the present case, the decay of the $^{113}$In isomer emits 392-keV γ rays where the efficiencies of the detectors are relatively better, compared with the higher-energy γ transitions measured with the in-beam method. However, it is of extreme importance to have accurate knowledge of the

### TABLE III. Isomeric cross sections deduced from the activation and the in-beam measurements for the four beam energies (laboratory).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{\text{eff}}$ (MeV)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{i_{s}}$ (activation) (mb)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{i_{s}}$ (in beam) (mb)</th>
<th>Deviation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.771</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.0143 ± 0.0008</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.972</td>
<td>0.050 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.047 ± 0.004</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.172</td>
<td>0.125 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.108 ± 0.006</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.374</td>
<td>0.265 ± 0.016</td>
<td>0.220 ± 0.011</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
half-life and the branching ratios of the isomeric state as the measurement explicitly depends on their values [see Eq. (5)].

Combining the ground-state cross sections from the in-beam technique and the isomeric cross sections from the activation technique (see the data listed in Table I), the total cross sections $\sigma_T$ for the reaction $^{112}$Cd($p, \gamma$)$^{113}$In have been deduced for all four energy values, ranging 21–404 $\mu$b (also in Table I). These results show a smooth increase with increasing energy as illustrated in Fig. 10. The $\sigma_T$ values were used further to calculate the astrophysical $S$ factors by means of Eq. (7), also included in Table I. The $S$-factor values exhibit an almost constant behavior except for the lower-energy point at beam energy 2.8 MeV as is evident from the data trend in Fig. 11.

From the experimental data in Table I, the isomeric-to-ground-state cross-section ratio $R_{gs} = \sigma_{is}/\sigma_{gs}$ and the isomeric-to-total cross-section ratio $R_T = \sigma_{is}/\sigma_T$ can be evaluated as well. The isomeric cross-section ratios are particularly useful in understanding the transfer of angular momentum in nuclear reactions. The results are shown in the two far-right columns in the same table and shown in Fig. 12. Both ratios remain almost constant at different energies. Their weighted averages have been deduced: $(R_{gs})_{avg} = 1.82(9)$ and $(R_T)_{avg} = 0.64(3)$.

Theoretical calculations using the Hauser-Feshbach model have been performed, incorporating all possible combinations of the default TALYS parameters of the models tabulated in Table II. The range of all calculations for each energy for the total cross section is plotted in Fig. 10 along with the experimental data. As expected, below the energy threshold of the ($p, n$) channel ($E_{\text{thresh}} = 3397.39$ keV), the dependence from the NLD and $\gamma$SF models is relatively weak. In this energy range, the cross section depends almost exclusively on the choice of the OMP parameters as is evident in the convergence of all calculations at low energies.

Despite some overestimation, the theoretical predictions describe the trend of the experimental data fairly well (Figs. 8–10). TALYS 1–4 calculations agree well with the in-beam results with some small overestimation at 2.8 MeV for the ground state (Fig. 8). For the isomeric state, the theoretical trend is in fair agreement with the experimental results except the lowest-energy point (Fig. 9), despite an overall overestimation of the cross-section data, which is subsequently reflected on the total cross section (Fig. 10). There is no obvious reason for this minor disagreement from an experimental point of view. To further investigate the situation, the employed TALYS models have to be reexamined more carefully especially with regard to the OMP involved. Such disagreements have been observed in other cases in this mass regime (see, e.g., Ref. [77], the review article by Gyrkgy et al. [40] and references therein) and require careful consideration of the statistical uncertainties included in the models as well as more detailed experimental work.

Along these lines, the ($p, n$) channel can offer some useful insight. Calculations for the cross sections of the ($p, n$) channel have been performed simultaneously with the ($p, \gamma$) channel. These calculations are compared with existing experimental data as shown in Fig. 13. The theoretical results seem to agree well with the data above 6.0 MeV, but theoretical calculations seem to diverge from the data below that energy value down to the ($p, n$) energy threshold. Also, two different
sets of experimental data, those by Blaser et al. [33] and Skakun et al. [35], seem to significantly disagree with one another in the energy range between 4.5 and 6.2 MeV and both with the present calculation (more the former, less the latter). However, the combinations TALYS 1–4, which best describe the ground-state cross section of the (p, γ) channel, seem to also describe the data of Skakun et al. [35] rather well.

It could be argued that the observed disagreement between the data and the theoretical calculations is due to the fact that the incorporated phenomenological and semimicroscopic OMPs have been optimized at significantly higher-energy range than the one the present paper focuses on. Consequently, an extrapolation to energies lower than the (p, n) threshold may be responsible for the overestimation of the experimentally deduced total reaction cross-section data. However, it has to be noted that a full sensitivity analysis of the OMP parameters is beyond the scope of this paper as this would require careful consideration of all models involved in the calculation, scrutinizing the respective statistical uncertainties, and potentially fine-tuning the numerous model parameters.

Overall, the present paper provides the first set of experimentally deduced cross sections, astrophysical S factors, and isomeric ratios in $^{113}$In populated in a proton-capture radiative reaction. The new information can support the improvement of reaction network calculations around the nucleus $^{113}$In. Certainly, further investigation is required in this region of the nuclear chart, both theoretically and experimentally, to provide firm insight at the driving mechanisms behind the $p$-process reaction network as well as to improve the phenomenological parts of the optical model potentials in an energy region where a scarcity of experimental data, even for stable nuclei, still persists.
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