


Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

Note

On self-duality of branchwidth in graphs of bounded genus[☆]Ignasi Sau^{a,*}, Dimitrios M. Thilikos^b^a AIGCo group, CNRS, LIRMM, Montpellier, France^b Department of Mathematics, National Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 May 2011

Accepted 27 June 2011

Available online 22 July 2011

Keywords:

Graphs on surfaces

Branchwidth

Duality

Polyhedral embedding

ABSTRACT

A graph parameter is *self-dual* in some class of graphs embeddable in some surface if its value does not change in the dual graph by more than a constant factor. Self-duality has been examined for several width parameters, such as branchwidth, pathwidth, and treewidth. In this paper, we give a direct proof of the self-duality of branchwidth in graphs embedded in some surface. In this direction, we prove that $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$ for any graph G embedded in a surface of Euler genus g .

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Preliminaries

Our main reference for graphs on surfaces is the monograph by Mohar and Thomassen [10]. A *surface* is a connected compact 2-manifold without boundaries. A surface Σ can be obtained, up to homeomorphism, by adding $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$ crosscaps to the sphere, and $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$ is called the *Euler genus* of Σ . We denote by (G, Σ) a graph G embedded in a surface Σ , that is, drawn in Σ without edge crossings. A subset of Σ meeting the drawing only at vertices of G is called *G-normal*. An *O-arc* on Σ is a subset that is homeomorphic to a cycle. If an *O-arc* is *G-normal*, then we call it a *noose*. A noose N is *contractible* if it is the boundary of some disc on Σ and is *surface separating* if $\Sigma \setminus N$ is disconnected. The *length* of a noose is the number of vertices it meets. *Representativity*, or *face width*, is a parameter that quantifies local planarity and density of embeddings. The representativity $\mathbf{rep}(G, \Sigma)$ of a graph embedding (G, Σ) is the smallest length of a non-contractible noose in Σ . We call an embedding (G, Σ) *polyhedral* if G is 3-connected and $\mathbf{rep}(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

For a given embedding (G, Σ) , we denote by (G^*, Σ) its dual embedding. Thus G^* is the geometric dual of G . Each vertex v (resp. face r) in (G, Σ) corresponds to some face v^* (resp. vertex r^*) in (G^*, Σ) . Also, given a set $X \subseteq E(G)$, we denote as X^* the set of the duals of the edges in X .

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs embeddable in a surface Σ . We say that a graph parameter \mathbf{p} is (c, d) -*self-dual* on \mathcal{G} if for every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and for its geometric dual G^* , $\mathbf{p}(G^*) \leq c \cdot \mathbf{p}(G) + d$. Self-duality of treewidth, pathwidth, or branchwidth (defined in Section 2) has played a fundamental role in the proof of the celebrated graph minors theorem [11], as well as being useful for finding polynomial-time approximation algorithms for these parameters [2].

Most of the research concerning self-duality of graph parameters has been devoted to treewidth. Lapoire proved [7], using algebraic methods, that treewidth is $(1, 1)$ -self-dual in planar graphs, settling a conjecture stated by Robertson and Seymour [12]. Bouchitté et al. [3] gave a much shorter proof of this result, exploiting the properties of minimal separators in planar graphs.

[☆] This work has been supported by the ANR AGAPE and ANR GRATOS projects, and by the Project “Kapodistrias” (ΑΠ 02839/28.07.2008) of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens (project code: 70/4/8757).

* Corresponding author. Fax: +33 4 67 41 85 00.

E-mail addresses: ignasi.sau@lirmm.fr, ignasi.sau@gmail.com (I. Sau), sedthilk@math.uoa.gr (D.M. Thilikos).

Fomin and Thilikos [4] proved that pathwidth is $(6, 6g - 2)$ -self-dual in graphs polyhedrically embedded in surfaces of Euler genus at most g . This result was improved for planar graphs by Amini et al. [1], who proved that pathwidth is $(3, 2)$ -self-dual in 3-connected planar graphs and $(2, 1)$ -self-dual in planar graphs with a Hamiltonian path.

Concerning branchwidth, Seymour and Thomas [14] proved that it is $(1, 0)$ -self-dual in planar graphs that are not forests (for more direct proofs, see also [9] and [6]). In this note, we give a short proof that branchwidth is $(6, 2g - 4)$ -self-dual in graphs of Euler genus at most g . We also believe that our result can be considerably improved. In particular, we conjecture that branchwidth is $(1, g)$ -self-dual.

2. Self-duality of branchwidth

Given a graph G and a set $X \subseteq E(G)$, we define $\partial X = (\bigcup_{e \in X} e) \cap (\bigcup_{e \in E(G) \setminus X} e)$, where edges are naturally taken as pairs of vertices (notice that $\partial X = \partial(E(G) \setminus X)$). A *branch decomposition* (T, μ) of a graph G consists of an unrooted ternary tree T (i.e., all internal vertices are of degree three) and a bijection $\mu : L \rightarrow E(G)$ from the set L of leaves of T to the edge set of G . For every edge $f = \{t_1, t_2\}$ of T we define the *middle set* $\mathbf{mid}(e) \subseteq V(G)$ as follows: Let L_1 be the leaves of the connected component of $T \setminus \{e\}$ that contain t_1 . Then $\mathbf{mid}(e) = \partial\mu(L_1)$. The *width* of (T, μ) is defined as $\max\{|\mathbf{mid}(e)| : e \in T\}$. An optimal branch decomposition of G is defined by a tree T and a bijection μ which give the minimum width, called the *branchwidth* of G , and denoted by $\mathbf{bw}(G)$.

If (G, Σ) is a polyhedral embedding, then the following proposition follows by an easy modification of the proof of [4, Theorem 1].

Proposition 1. *Let (G, Σ) and (G^*, Σ) be dual polyhedral embeddings in a surface of Euler genus g . Then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$.*

In the sequel, we focus on generalizing Proposition 1 to arbitrary embeddings. For this, we first need some technical lemmata, whose proofs are easy or well known, and omitted in this short note. Note that the removal of a vertex in G corresponds to the contraction of a face in G^* , and vice versa (the contraction of a face is the contraction of all the edges incident to it to a single vertex).

Lemma 1. *Branchwidth is closed under taking of minors, i.e., the branchwidth of a graph is no less than the branchwidth of any of its minors.*

Lemma 2. *The removal of a vertex or the contraction of a face from an embedded graph decreases its branchwidth by at most 1.*

Lemma 3 (Fomin and Thilikos [5]). *Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs with one edge or one vertex in common. Then $\mathbf{bw}(G_1 \cup G_2) \leq \max\{\mathbf{bw}(G_1), \mathbf{bw}(G_2), 2\}$.*

We need a technical definition before stating our main result. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are graphs with disjoint vertex sets and $k \geq 0$ is an integer. For $i = 1, 2$, let $W_i \subseteq V(G_i)$ form a clique of size k and let G'_i ($i = 1, 2$) be obtained from G_i by deleting some (possibly none) of the edges from $G_i[W_i]$ with both endpoints in W_i . Consider a bijection $h : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$. We define a *clique sum* $G_1 \oplus G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 to be the graph obtained from the union of G'_1 and G'_2 by identifying w with $h(w)$ for all $w \in W_1$.

Theorem 1. *Let (G, Σ) be an embedding with $g = \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. Then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2g - 4$.*

Proof. The proof uses the following procedure that applies a series of cutting operations to decompose G into polyhedral pieces plus a set of vertices whose size is linearly bounded by $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. The input is the graph G and its dual G^* embedded in Σ .

1. Set $\mathcal{B} = \{G\}$, and $\mathcal{B}^* = \{G^*\}$ (we call the members of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* *blocks*).
2. If (G, Σ) has a minimal separator S with $|S| \leq 2$, let C_1, \dots, C_ρ be the connected components of $G[V(G) \setminus S]$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, \rho$, let G_i be the graph obtained by $G[V(C_i) \cup S]$ by adding an edge with both endpoints in S in the case where $|S| = 2$ and such an edge does not already exist (we refer to this operation as *cutting* G along the separator S). Notice that a separator S of G with $|S| = 1$ corresponds to a separator S^* of G^* with $|S^*| = 1$, given by the vertex of G^* corresponding to the external face of G . Also, to a separator S of G with $|S| = 2$ we can associate a separator S^* of G^* with $|S^*| = 2$, given by the vertex of G^* corresponding to the external face of G and a vertex of G^* corresponding to a face of G containing both vertices in S . Let $G_i^*, i = 1, \dots, \rho$ be the graphs obtained by cutting G^* along the corresponding separator S^* . We say that each G_i (resp G_i^*) is a *block* of G (resp. G^*) and notice that each G and G^* is the clique sum of its blocks. Therefore, from Lemma 3,

$$\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \max\{2, \max\{\mathbf{bw}(G_i^*) \mid i = 1, \dots, \rho\}\}. \tag{1}$$

Observe now that for each $i = 1, \dots, \rho$, G_i and G_i^* are embedded in a surface Σ_i such that G_i is the dual of G_i^* and $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, \rho} \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i)$. Notice also that

$$\mathbf{bw}(G_i) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G), \quad i = 1, \dots, \rho, \tag{2}$$

as the possible edge addition does not increase the branchwidth, since each block of G is a minor of G and Lemma 1 applies. We set $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{B} \setminus \{G\} \cup \{G_1, \dots, G_\rho\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{B}^* \setminus \{G^*\} \cup \{G_1^*, \dots, G_\rho^*\}$.

3. If (G, Σ) has a non-contractible and non-surface-separating noose meeting a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq 2$, let $G' = G[V(G) \setminus S]$ and let F be the set of faces in G^* corresponding to the vertices in S . Observe that the obtained graph G' has an embedding to some surface Σ' of Euler genus strictly smaller than Σ that, in turn, has some dual G'^* in Σ' . Therefore $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') < \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, G'^* is the result of the contraction in G^* of the $|S|$ faces in F . From Lemma 2,

$$\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G'^*) + |S|. \tag{3}$$

Set $\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{B} \setminus \{G\} \cup \{G'\}$ and $\mathcal{B}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{B}^* \setminus \{G^*\} \cup \{G'^*\}$.

4. As long as this is possible, apply (recursively) Steps 2–4 for each block $G \in \mathcal{B}$ and its dual.

We now claim that before each recursive call of Steps 2 and 3, it holds that $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G) + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) - 4$. The proof uses descending induction on the distance from the root of the recursion tree of the above procedure. Notice that all embeddings of graphs in the collections \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^* constructed by the above algorithm are polyhedral (except from the trivial cases that they have size at most 3). Then the theorem follows directly from Proposition 1.

Suppose that G (resp. G^*) is the clique sum of its blocks G_1, \dots, G_ρ (resp. G_1^*, \dots, G_ρ^*) embedded in the surfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\rho$ (Step 2). By induction, we have that $\mathbf{bw}(G_i^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G_i) + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i) - 4$, $i = 1, \dots, \rho$ and the claim follows from Relations (1) and (2) and the fact that $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1, \dots, \rho} \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma_i)$.

Suppose now (Step 3) that G (resp. G^*) occurs from some graph G' (resp. G'^*) embedded in a surface Σ' where $\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') < \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$ after adding the vertices in S (resp. S^*). From the induction hypothesis, $\mathbf{bw}(G'^*) \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G') + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma') - 4 \leq 6 \cdot \mathbf{bw}(G') + 2\mathbf{eg}(\Sigma) - 2 - 4$ and the claim follows directly from Relation (3) as $|S| \leq 2$ and $\mathbf{bw}(G') \leq \mathbf{bw}(G)$. \square

3. Recent results and a conjecture

Recently, Mazoit [8] proved that treewidth is a $(1, g + 1)$ -self-dual parameter in graphs embeddable in surfaces of Euler genus g , using completely different techniques. Since the branchwidth and the treewidth of a graph G , with $|E(G)| \geq 3$, satisfy $\mathbf{bw}(G) \leq \mathbf{tw}(G) + 1 \leq \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{bw}(G)$ [13], this implies that $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{bw}(G) + g + 2$, improving the constants of Theorem 1. We believe that an even tighter self-duality relation holds for branchwidth and hope that the approach of this paper will be helpful to settle the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *If G is a graph embedded in some surface Σ , then $\mathbf{bw}(G^*) \leq \mathbf{bw}(G) + \mathbf{eg}(\Sigma)$.*

References

[1] O. Amini, F. Huc, S. Pérennes, On the Pathwidth of Planar Graphs, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 23 (3) (2009) 1311–1316.
 [2] H.L. Bodlaender, F.V. Fomin, Approximation of path-width of outerplanar graphs, *Journal of Algorithms* 43 (2) (2002) 190–200.
 [3] V. Bouchitté, F. Mazoit, I. Todinca, Chordal embeddings of planar graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 273 (1–3) (2003) 85–102.
 [4] F.V. Fomin, D.M. Thilikos, On self duality of pathwidth in polyhedral graph embeddings, *Journal of Graph Theory* 55 (1) (2007) 42–54.
 [5] F.V. Fomin, D.M. Thilikos, Dominating sets in planar graphs: branch-width and exponential speed-up, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 36 (2) (2006) 281–309.
 [6] I.V. Hicks, N.B. McMurray Jr., The branchwidth of graphs and their cycle matroids, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 97 (5) (2007) 681–692.
 [7] D. Lapoire, Treewidth and duality for planar hypergraphs. http://www.labri.fr/perso/lapoire/papers/dual_planar_treewidth.ps, 1996 (manuscript).
 [8] F. Mazoit, Tree-width of graphs and surface duality, in: *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, in: Proceedings of DIMAP workshop on Algorithmic Graph Theory (AGT), vol. 32, Warwick, U.K, 2009, pp. 93–97.
 [9] F. Mazoit, S. Thomassé, Branchwidth of graphic matroids, in: *Surveys in combinatorics 2007*, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 346, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 275–286.
 [10] B. Mohar, C. Thomassen, *Graphs on surfaces*, John Hopkins University Press, 2001.
 [11] N. Robertson, P.D. Seymour, Graph minors. XX. Wagner's conjecture, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 92 (2) (2004) 325–357.
 [12] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, Graph minors. III. planar tree-width, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 36 (1) (1984) 49–64.
 [13] N. Robertson, P. Seymour, Graph minors. X. obstructions to tree-decomposition, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 52 (2) (1991) 153–190.
 [14] P. Seymour, R. Thomas, Call routing and the ratcatcher, *Combinatorica* 14 (2) (1994) 217–241.