Nomad Bridge Hack

Sergios - Anestis Kefalidis cs32200036

Outline

- Blockchain Bridges
- Blockchain Bridge Hack
- Nomad Bridge
 - Nomad Bridge Optimistic Verification
 - Fraud Detection
 - Lifecycle of a message
- The Nomad Bridge Hack
- Aftermath

Blockchain Bridges

- Each blockchain is an independent, siloed environment.
- Bridges enable connectivity and interoperability between blockchains.
 - Transfer of assets and/or data.
 - Centralized/Trusted Decentralized/Trustless.
 - speed/cost vs security
 - Wrapped assets Liquidity pools
- High volume of funds.
 - Lucrative targets for bad-faith actors.
 - Over \$2.5 billion have been stolen from cross-chain bridges.

Blockchain Bridges



Blockchain Bridge Hacks

Target	Money stolen	Hackers
Ronin Bridge	\$625,000,000	1
PolyBridge	\$610,000,000	1
Wormhole	\$320,000,000	1
Nomad Bridge	\$186,000,000	>300
Horizon Bridge	\$100,000,000	1

Nomad Bridge

- Cross-chain communication between:
 - **Ethereum** (only one affected by the hack)
 - Avalanche
 - Evmos
 - Milkomeda C1
 - Moonbeam
- Lock & Mint transfer of tokens.
 - Minted tokens are burned to unlock original tokens.

Nomad Bridge

- To push data we must rely on someone to verify and relay the data.
 - Goal: Minimize the trust assumptions in the verification process.
- Nomad uses an optimistic mechanism. It consists of the following actors:
 - **Home** contract.
 - Send messages.
 - Data Structures:
 - A Merkle Tree that holds all messages. New messages are stored as leafs.
 - A **Queue of Roots** that contains all roots of the Merkle Tree. Used to prove fraud.
 - Updates to the Merkle Tree are signed (Updater) and relayed to Replicas deployed to destination chains.
 - **Replica** contract.
 - Receive messages from a specific Home contract.
 - Data Structures:
 - A **Queue of Pending Updates** used to identify fraud.
 - Signed updates are accepted after a timeout (**optimistic dispute window**).
 - **Updater** (off-chain).
 - Signs new roots and publishes them to the home chain.
 - Listens to **Home** *Dispatch* events, baches them and signs updates by calling *Update* on the **Home** contract.
 - Watcher (off-chain).
 - Observes Home and Replicas to detect fraud.

Nomad Bridge: Optimistic Verification



Nomad Bridge: Fraud Detection

- **Updaters** can attempt to commit fraud.
 - When an Updater signs an attestation to a merkle root that did not actually exist on the Home chain. This would mean that malicious messages would be authenticated and executed.
- To detect fraud **Watchers** are used. At least 1 agent is required to act honestly to detect fraud.
 - We only need to check that the state in the **Replicas** is equal to the **Home**.
- Optimistic Timeout Period: A time window during which Watchers can submit fraud proofs.
 - 30 minutes, it is prohibitively expensive for an attacker to buy the blockspace for 30 minutes
- If a fraud attempt by an **Updater** is detected, the **Updater** is slashed.

Nomad Bridge: Lifecycle of a message

- 1. User initiates action on chain A.
- 2. Business logic is executed on chain A.
- 3. The message is enqueued on the **Home** contract.
- 4. Nomad's work begins.
 - a. New Merkle Tree root on the **Home** contract.
 - b. The **Updater** signs the new root.
 - c. The update is relayed to the **Replica** on chain B.
 - d. The dispute window elapses.
 - e. The message can now be proven on chain B.
- 5. Business logic is executed on chain B.

- Vulnerable **Replica** contract upgrade on June 21st, 2022.
 - An implementation bug caused the **Replica** contract to fail to authenticate messages properly.
 - This issue allowed any message to be forged as long as it had not already been processed.
 - Only Ethereum was affected.
- First malicious transaction: August 1st, 2022, 21:32:31.
- After the initial vulnerability was discovered a lot of people copied it.
 - Decentralized Finance means that anyone can join :-)
- \$186M stolen by over 300 hackers.
 - 960 transactions
 - In a few hours only \$1,794 dollars were left

- For a message to be accepted the following conditions must apply:
 - It exists in the **Merkle Tree** (Merkle proof).
 - The **Optimistic Timeout Period** has elapsed.

```
function process(bytes memory message) public returns (bool success) {
 // ...
 require(acceptableRoot(messages[ messageHash]), "!proven");
 // ...
function acceptableRoot(bytes32 root) public view returns (bool) {
 // ...
 uint256 time = confirmAt[ root];
 if (time == 0) {
   return false;
 return block.timestamp >= time;
```

- When a **Replica** is deployed after its associated **Home** contract, the **Replica** contract is initialized with a specific state.
 - This way deployments don't have to replay all past updates.
 - The deployer may pass a *committedRoot* at which the message tree's history begins receiving Updates by setting:
 - confirmAt[_committedRoot] = 1
- Deploying both **Home** and **Replica** contracts at the same time means that there are no messages.
 - In the Nomad implementation this means a **Merkle Tree** with a root of *bytes32(0)*.
 - o confirmAt[bytes32(0)] = 1
- If a message hash doesn't exist in the *messages* mapping it will return a value of *bytes32(0)*.
 - This will be passed to *acceptableRoot* which will return *true*.
 - Vulnerability!

- Why did the **Watchers** not take action here?
 - Respond to compromises of the Updater key.
 - Unable to detect suspicious activity arising from smart contract bugs.
 - This exploit didn't require a fraudulent Updater signature.
- Anyone who knew how to encode a message for Nomad could just send it to the **Replica** contract (by calling its vulnerable *process* function) and it would not be checked for its authenticity.
- <u>Attack Example</u>

Aftermath

- Recovered Funds
 - 20% in 6 days
 - 21% as of 6/11/2022
- Radio silence after December 2022.
- The last Nomad Bridge blog post is about ongoing work on relaunching the bridge.