

New results in Ramsey theory for trees

Pandelis Dodos

University of Athens

Paris, December 12 – 14 2011

Conventions/Definitions

- All trees in this talk will be **uniquely rooted** and **finitely branching**.
- A tree T will be called **homogeneous** if there exists an integer $b_T \geq 2$, called the **branching number** of T , such that every $t \in T$ has exactly b_T immediate successors; e.g., every dyadic or triadic tree is homogeneous.
- A **vector tree** is a finite sequence of (possibly finite) trees having common height. The **level product** of a vector tree $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$, denoted by $\otimes \mathbf{T}$, is defined to be the set

$$\bigcup_{n < h(\mathbf{T})} \otimes \mathbf{T}(n)$$

where $\otimes \mathbf{T}(n) = T_1(n) \times \dots \times T_d(n)$.

The concept of a strong subtree

A **strong subtree** of a tree T is a subset S of T with the following properties:

- (1) S is uniquely rooted and balanced (that is, all maximal chains of S have the same cardinality);
- (2) there exists a subset $L_T(S) = \{l_n : n < h(S)\}$ of \mathbb{N} , called the **level set** of S in T , such that for every $n < h(S)$ we have $S(n) \subseteq T(l_n)$;
- (3) for every non-maximal $s \in S$ and every immediate successor t of s in T , there exists a *unique* immediate successor s' of s in S such that $t \leq s'$.

The Halpern–Läuchli theorem (strong subtree version)

Theorem (Halpern & Läuchli – 1966)

*For every integer $d \geq 1$ we have that HL(d) holds:
for every d -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_d) of uniquely rooted and finitely branching trees without maximal nodes and every finite coloring of the level product of (T_1, \dots, T_d) there exist strong subtrees (S_1, \dots, S_d) of (T_1, \dots, T_d) of infinite height and with a common level set such that the level product of (S_1, \dots, S_d) is monochromatic.*

Some consequences

The following result is one of the earliest applications of the Halpern–Läuchli theorem.

Theorem (Milliken – 1979 and 1981)

The class of strong subtrees (both finite and infinite) of a tree T is partition regular.

The reason why this result is powerful lies in the rich “geometric” properties of strong subtrees.

The problem

- (i) The natural problem whether there exists a density version of the Halpern–Läuchli theorem was first asked by Laver in the late 1960s who actually conjectured that there is such a version.
- (ii) Bicker & Voigt (1983) observed that one has to restrict attention to the category of homogeneous trees. They also showed that for a single homogeneous there is a density version.

The infinite version

Theorem (D, Kanellopoulos & Karagiannis – 2010)

*For every integer $d \geq 1$ we have that DHL(d) holds:
for every d -tuple (T_1, \dots, T_d) of homogeneous trees and every
subset D of the level product of (T_1, \dots, T_d) satisfying*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|D \cap (T_1(n) \times \dots \times T_d(n))|}{|T_1(n) \times \dots \times T_d(n)|} > 0$$

*there exist strong subtrees (S_1, \dots, S_d) of (T_1, \dots, T_d) of
infinite height and with a common level set such that the level
product of (S_1, \dots, S_d) is a subset of D .*

The finite version

Theorem (D, Kanellopoulos & Tyros – 2011)

For every $d \geq 1$, every $b_1, \dots, b_d \geq 2$, every $k \geq 1$ and every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ there exists an integer N with the following property. If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ is a vector homogeneous tree with $b_{T_i} = b_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, L is a subset of \mathbb{N} of cardinality at least N and D is a subset of the level product of \mathbf{T} such that

$$|D \cap (T_1(n) \times \dots \times T_d(n))| \geq \varepsilon |T_1(n) \times \dots \times T_d(n)|$$

for every $n \in L$, then there exist strong subtrees (S_1, \dots, S_d) of (T_1, \dots, T_d) of height k and with a common level set such that the level product of (S_1, \dots, S_d) is a subset of D . The least integer N with this property will be denoted by $\text{UDHL}(b_1, \dots, b_d | k, \varepsilon)$.

Comments

- The proof of the finite version is effective and gives explicit upper bounds for the numbers $\text{UDHL}(b_1, \dots, b_d | k, \varepsilon)$. These upper bounds, however, have an Ackermann-type dependence with respect to the “dimension” d .
- The one-dimensional case (that is, when “ $d = 1$ ”) is due to Pach, Solymosi and Tardos (2010):

$$\text{UDHL}(b | k, \varepsilon) = O_{b, \varepsilon}(k).$$

This bound is clearly optimal.

On the proofs

- The proof of the infinite version is based on stabilization arguments.
- The proof of the finite version is based on a density increment strategy and uses probabilistic (i.e. averaging) arguments. Following Furstenberg and Weiss (2003), for every finite vector homogeneous tree \mathbf{T} define a probability measure on $\otimes \mathbf{T}$ by the rule

$$\mu_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{E}_{n < h(\mathbf{T})} \frac{|\mathbf{A} \cap \otimes \mathbf{T}(n)|}{|\otimes \mathbf{T}(n)|}.$$

The crucial observation is that “lack of density increment” implies a strong concentration hypothesis for the probability measure $\mu_{\mathbf{T}}$.

Consequences – I

Theorem (D, Kanellopoulos & Tyros – 2011)

For every $d \geq 1$, every $b_1, \dots, b_d \geq 2$, every $n \geq 1$ and every $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ there exists a strictly positive constant $c(b_1, \dots, b_d | n, \varepsilon)$ with the following property.

If $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ is a vector homogeneous tree with $b_{T_i} = b_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $\{A_{\mathbf{t}} : \mathbf{t} \in \otimes \mathbf{T}\}$ is a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_{\mathbf{t}}) \geq \varepsilon$ for every $\mathbf{t} \in \otimes \mathbf{T}$, then there exist strong subtrees (S_1, \dots, S_d) of (T_1, \dots, T_d) of infinite height and with a common level set such that for every nonempty subset F of the level product of (S_1, \dots, S_d) of cardinality n we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{\mathbf{t} \in F} A_{\mathbf{t}}\right) \geq c(b_1, \dots, b_d | n, \varepsilon).$$

Consequences – II

- We obtain lower bounds of the form

$$c(b_1, \dots, b_d | n, \varepsilon) \geq (\varepsilon / C)^{\alpha^{2dn}}$$

where C is a large constant depending on b_1, \dots, b_d and ε but *not* on n and $\alpha = \text{UDHL}(b_1, \dots, b_d | 2, \varepsilon/2)$.

Consequences – III

- In the one-dimensional case (that is, when we deal with events indexed by a single homogeneous tree) we get significantly better lower bounds, and for certain classes of subsets of trees (such as finite chains, finite combs, doubletons and many more) *optimal* ones.
The proofs in these cases are based, among others, on an appropriate generalization of the notion of a *Shelah line*.

Consequences – IV

For every homogeneous tree T denote by $\text{Str}_2(T)$ the set of all strong subtrees of T of height 2.

Corollary (D, Kanellopoulos & Tyros – 2011)

Let T be a homogeneous tree with branching number b and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$. If $\{A_S : S \in \text{Str}_2(T)\}$ is a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_S) \geq \varepsilon$ for every $S \in \text{Str}_2(T)$, then there exists a strong subtree R of T of infinite height such that for every $n \geq 1$ and every $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \text{Str}_2(R)$ with $S_1(0) = \dots = S_n(0)$ we have

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{S_i}\right) \geq c(\underbrace{b, \dots, b}_{b\text{-times}} | n, \varepsilon).$$