

# STABLE FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC SETS

PANDELIS DODOS

**ABSTRACT.** We give a different proof of the well-known fact that any uncountable family of analytic subsets of a Polish space with the point-finite intersection property must contain a subfamily whose union is not analytic. Our approach is based on the Kunen–Martin theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

It is well-known that any uncountable family of analytic subsets of a Polish space with the point-finite intersection property must contain a subfamily whose union is not analytic (see [1, 2, 3, 5]). In [1], this (in fact a much stronger) result is proved but the proof heavily depends on the Axiom of Choice. In [2, 3, 5], the proofs are effective but the argument is more complicated. In this note we give a short proof using the Kunen–Martin theorem.

**Notation.** In what follows  $X$  and  $Y$  will be Polish spaces. By  $\mathcal{N}$  we denote the Baire space. If  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  and  $U$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $Y$ , then we set

$$A(U) := \text{proj}_X\{A \cap (X \times U)\}.$$

All the other pieces of notation we use are standard (see, e.g., [4]).

## 2. STABLE FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC SETS

Departing from standard terminology, we make the following definition.

**Definition 1.** A family  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  of analytic subsets of  $X$  will be called *stable* if for every  $J \subseteq I$  the set  $\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$  is an analytic subset of  $X$ .

Clearly any subfamily of a stable family is stable. Furthermore any countable family of analytic sets is stable. There exist, however, uncountable stable families of analytic sets.

**Example 1.** Let  $A \subset X$  be an analytic non-Borel set. By a classical result of Sierpiński (see [4, page 201]), there exists a transfinite sequence  $(B_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  of Borel sets such that  $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} B_\xi$ . Clearly, we may assume that the sequence  $(B_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  is increasing. Since  $A$  is not Borel, there exists an uncountable subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $B_\xi \subsetneq B_\zeta$  for every  $\xi, \zeta \in \Lambda$  with  $\xi < \zeta$ . Then the family  $\mathcal{F} = (B_\xi)_{\xi \in \Lambda}$

is an uncountable stable family of mutually different analytic sets (note that the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  are actually Borel sets).

**Definition 2.** A family  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to have the point-finite intersection property (abbreviated as p.f.i.p.) provided that for every  $x \in X$  the set  $I_x = \{i \in I : x \in A_i\}$  is finite.

As before, any subfamily of a family with the point-finite intersection property has the point-finite intersection property. We will show that stable families of analytic sets with the p.f.i.p. are necessarily countable. To that end we need a couple of lemmas. The one that follows is elementary.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Polish spaces. If  $A \in \mathbf{\Pi}_1^1(X)$  and  $U \subseteq Y$  is open, then  $A \times U \in \mathbf{\Pi}_1^1(X \times Y)$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Polish spaces. Assume that  $X \times Y$  has closed sections (that is, for every  $x \in X$  the set  $A_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in A\}$  is closed) and, moreover, for every open set  $U \subseteq Y$  the set  $A(U)$  is analytic. Then  $A$  is also analytic.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = (V_n)$  be a countable base for  $Y$ . Observe that  $(x, y) \notin A$  if and only if there exists a basic open subset  $V_n$  of  $Y$  such that  $x \notin A(V_n)$ . It follows that

$$(X \times Y) \setminus A = \bigcup_n (X \setminus A(V_n)) \times V_n$$

and so, by Lemma 3, the set  $A$  is analytic.  $\square$

We have the following stability result.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a stable family of analytic subsets of  $X$  with the point-finite intersection property. Then for every Polish space  $Y$  and for every family  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  of analytic subsets of  $Y$ , the set

$$A := \bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \times B_i)$$

is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  be as above. Since every  $B_i$  is analytic, there exists  $C_i \subseteq Y \times \mathcal{N}$  closed such that  $B_i = \text{proj}_Y C_i$ . We define  $\tilde{A} \subseteq X \times Y \times \mathcal{N}$  by

$$\tilde{A} = \bigcup_{i \in I} (A_i \times C_i).$$

Clearly,  $A = \text{proj}_{X \times Y} \tilde{A}$ . Note that for every  $x \in X$  the section

$$\tilde{A}_x = \{(y, z) \in Y \times \mathcal{N} : (x, y, z) \in \tilde{A}\}$$

is exactly the set  $\bigcup_{i \in I_x} C_i$ . As the family  $\mathcal{F}$  has the point-finite intersection property, for every  $x \in X$  the section  $\tilde{A}_x$  of  $\tilde{A}$  is closed. Observe that for every open

subset  $U$  of  $Y \times \mathcal{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}(U) &= \text{proj}_X\{\tilde{A} \cap (X \times U)\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \exists i \in I_x \text{ such that } C_i \cap U \neq \emptyset\} = \bigcup \{A_i : C_i \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows directly from the stability of the family that  $\tilde{A}(U)$  is analytic and so, by Lemma 4, we see that  $\tilde{A}$  is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y \times \mathcal{N}$ . Hence so is  $A$ .  $\square$

Let  $\prec$  be a strict well-founded binary relation on  $X$ . By recursion, we define the rank function  $\varrho_\prec : X \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  as follows. We set  $\varrho_\prec(x) = 0$  if  $x$  is minimal; otherwise, let  $\varrho_\prec(x) = \sup\{\varrho_\prec(y) : y \prec x\} + 1$ . Finally, we define the rank of  $\prec$  by setting  $\varrho(\prec) = \sup\{\varrho_\prec(x) + 1 : x \in X\}$ . We will need the following boundedness principle of analytic well-founded relations due to Kunen and Martin (see [4, 6]).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\prec$  be a strict well-founded relation and assume that  $\prec$  is analytic (as a subset of  $X \times X$ ). Then  $\varrho(\prec)$  is countable.*

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a stable family of mutually disjoint analytic subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not countable. Select an uncountable subfamily  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}'| = \aleph_1$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}' = (A_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  be a well-ordering of  $\mathcal{F}'$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F}'$  remains stable. As the sets  $A_\xi$  are pairwise disjoint, we define  $\phi : \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} A_\xi \rightarrow \text{Ord}$  by setting  $\phi(x)$  to be the unique  $\xi$  such that  $x \in A_\xi$ . Define the binary relation  $\prec$  by

$$x \prec y \Leftrightarrow \phi(x) < \phi(y).$$

Clearly  $\prec$  is well-founded and strict. Moreover note that  $\prec$ , as a subset of  $X \times X$ , is the set

$$\bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} (A_\xi \times B_\xi)$$

where  $B_\xi = \bigcup_{\zeta > \xi} A_\zeta$ . From the stability of  $\mathcal{F}'$ , we see that the sets  $B_\xi$  are analytic subsets of  $X$  for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}'$  is stable and has the p.f.i.p., by Lemma 5, we obtain that  $\prec$  is a  $\Sigma_1^1$  relation. By Theorem 6,  $\varrho(\prec)$  must be countable and we derive a contradiction.  $\square$

Finally we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a stable family of analytic sets with the point-finite intersection property. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is countable.*

*Proof.* Assume not. Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be as in Lemma 7. Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary uncountable Polish space, and let  $(y_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  be a transfinite sequence of distinct members of  $Y$ . For every  $\xi < \omega_1$ , we set  $L_\xi := A_\xi \times \{y_\xi\}$ ; clearly,  $L_\xi$  is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y$  and, moreover,  $L_\xi \cap L_\zeta = \emptyset$  if  $\xi \neq \zeta$ . As the family (and every subfamily of)  $\mathcal{F}'$  is stable and has the p.f.i.p., by Lemma 5, we see that for every  $G \subseteq \omega_1$  the set

$$\bigcup_{\xi \in G} (A_\xi \times \{y_\xi\}) = \bigcup_{\xi \in G} L_\xi$$

is an analytic subset of  $X \times Y$ . It follows that the family  $\mathcal{L} = (L_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  is a stable family of mutually disjoint analytic subsets of  $X \times Y$ . By Lemma 7, the family  $\mathcal{L}$  must be countable and again we derive a contradiction.  $\square$

By Theorem 8, we have the following corollary (see also [7]).

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $X$  be a Polish space,  $Y$  a metrizable space and  $A \in \Sigma_1^1(X)$ . Also let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a Borel measurable function. Then  $f(A)$  is separable.*

*Proof.* Assume not. Let  $C \subseteq f(A)$  be an uncountable closed discrete set with  $|C| > \aleph_0$ . For every  $y \in C$  we set  $A_y := f^{-1}(\{y\})$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = (A_y)_{y \in C}$  is a stable family of mutually disjoint analytic subsets of  $X$ . By Theorem 8, the family  $\mathcal{F}$  must be countable and we derive a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Say that a family  $\mathcal{F} = (A_i)_{i \in I}$  has the point-countable intersection property if for every  $x \in X$  the set  $I_x = \{i \in I : x \in A_i\}$  is countable. One can easily see that Theorem 8 is not valid for stable families with the point-countable intersection property. For instance, let  $(A_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  be a strictly decreasing transfinite sequence of analytic sets with  $\bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} A_\xi = \emptyset$ . As the sequence is decreasing, the family  $\mathcal{F} = (A_\xi)_{\xi < \omega_1}$  is stable. Moreover, note that for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $\xi < \omega_1$  such that  $x \notin A_\zeta$  for every  $\zeta > \xi$ . (For if not, there would exist  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in A_\xi$  for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ , that is,  $x \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} A_\xi$ .) Hence, the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is an uncountable stable family of analytic sets with the point-countable intersection property.

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank the anonymous referee for his comments which substantially improved the presentation of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brzuchowski, J. Cichoń, E. Grzegorek and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *On the existence of non-measurable unions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 447–448.
- [2] A. Emeryk, R. Frankiewicz and W. Kulpa, *Remarks on Kuratowski's theorem on meager sets*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 493–498.
- [3] R. W. Hansell, *Borel measurable mappings for non-separable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (1971), 145–169.
- [4] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [5] J. Kaniewski and R. Pol, *Borel measurable selectors for compact-valued mappings in the non-separable case*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 23 (1975), 1043–1050.
- [6] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive Set Theory*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [7] S. M. Srivastava, *A course on Borel sets*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 180, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZOGRAFOU CAMPUS, 157 80, ATHENS, GREECE.

*E-mail address:* pdodos@math.ntua.gr