Journal of Number Theory 216 (2020) 1–68

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

General Section

Galois structure of the holomorphic differentials of curves

Frauke M. Bleher [a,1], Ted Chinburg [b,*,2], Aristides Kontogeorgis [c]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, University of Iowa, 14 MacLean Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242-1419, USA*
[b] *Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA*
[c] *Department of Mathematics, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis, 15784 Athens, Greece*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 13 October 2017
Received in revised form 20 September 2019
Accepted 18 April 2020
Available online 20 May 2020
Communicated by A. Pal

MSC:
primary 11G20
secondary 14H05, 14G17, 20C20

Keywords:
Holomorphic differentials
Projective curves
Modular curves

ABSTRACT

Let X be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over a perfect field k of positive characteristic p. Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on X such that G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. We show that the decomposition of the space of holomorphic differentials of X into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points of X that are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. We apply our method to determine the $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$-module structure of the space of holomorphic differentials of the reduction of the modular curve $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p when p and ℓ are distinct odd primes and the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ on this reduction is not tamely ramified. This provides some non-trivial congruences modulo appropriate maximal ideals

* Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: frauke-bleher@uiowa.edu (F.M. Bleher), ted@math.upenn.edu (T. Chinburg), kontogar@math.uoa.gr (A. Kontogeorgis).

[1] The first author was supported in part by NSF FRG Grant No. DMS-1360621, and NSF Grant No. DMS-1801328.
[2] The second author was supported in part by NSF FRG Grant No. DMS-1360767, NSF SaTC Grants No. CNS-1513671 and CNS-1701785, and Simons Foundation Grant No. 338379.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.04.015

containing p between modular forms arising from isotypic components with respect to the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$.

1. Introduction

Let k be a perfect field, and let X be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over k. Denote the sheaf of relative differentials of X over k by Ω_X. The space of holomorphic differentials of X is the space of global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Suppose G is a finite group acting faithfully on the right on X over k. Then G acts on the left on Ω_X and on $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is a left $k[G]$-module of k-dimension equal to the genus $g(X)$ of X. It is a classical problem, which was first posed by Hecke [20], to determine the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. In other words, this amounts to determining the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ into its indecomposable direct $k[G]$-module summands. In the case when k is algebraically closed and its characteristic does not divide $\#G$, this problem was solved by Chevalley and Weil [9] using character theory (see also [23]).

For the remainder of the paper, we assume that the characteristic of k is a prime p that divides $\#G$. Two main difficulties then arise. One is the appearance of wild ramification and the other is that one needs to use positive characteristic representation theory. In particular, there are indecomposable $k[G]$-modules that are not irreducible.

If k is algebraically closed and the ramification of the Galois cover $X \to X/G$ is tame, then Nakajima [32, Thm. 2] and, independently, Kani [25, Thm. 3] determined the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ for an arbitrary group G. In particular, Nakajima showed that if $\mathcal{E}$ is any locally free G-sheaf of finite rank then there is an exact sequence of $k[G]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow L^0 \longrightarrow L^1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where L^0 and L^1 are projective $k[G]$-modules.

The case when G is a cyclic group and the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is arbitrary was initiated by Valentini and Madan [37, Thm. 1] who considered cyclic p-groups (and also revisited cyclic p'-groups [37, Thm. 2]). The case of general cyclic G was treated by Karanikolopoulos and the third author [26, Thm. 7]. In these papers, formulas are given of the multiplicities of the indecomposable direct $k[G]$-module summands of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ in terms of invariants introduced by Boseck [7] when constructing bases of holomorphic differentials. These Boseck invariants have also been used by Rzedowski-Calderón, Villa-Salvador and Madan [34] and Marques and Ward [29] for some other groups under additional hypotheses on the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. A different, general approach to determining the decomposition of coherent cohomology groups into indecomposable direct

summands was developed by Borne in [6], using the notion of rings with several objects. Some formulas concerning the case of cyclic groups and curves are given in [6, §7.2].

The goal of this article is to determine the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules for every group G with non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Even though there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules in this case, G can have quite a complicated structure. For example, every finite simple non-abelian group has a non-trivial cyclic Sylow subgroup for at least one prime (see, e.g., [21, Prop. 3] for a proof). Our main objective is to prove that the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by the ramification data consisting of the lower ramification groups and the associated characters of closed points of X that are ramified over X/G.

More precisely, for each closed point $x \in X$, let $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ be the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and let $k(x)$ be the residue field of x. For $i \geq 0$, the ith lower ramification subgroup $G_{x,i}$ of G at x is the subgroup of all elements $\sigma \in G$ that fix x and that act trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^{i+1}$. The fundamental character of the inertia group $G_{x,0}$ of x is the character $\theta_x : G_{x,0} \longrightarrow k(x)^* = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2)$ giving the action of $G_{x,0}$ on the cotangent space of x. Here θ_x factors through the maximal p'-quotient $G_{x,0}/G_{x,1}$ of $G_{x,0}$. Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Then the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points x of X that are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.*

There are two main differences between Theorem 1.1 and previous literature on this subject. The first is that we do not require the group G to be solvable or any restrictions on the ramification of the G-cover, but we only require the Sylow p-subgroups of G to be cyclic. The second difference is that we work mostly locally rather than globally and we phrase our results only in terms of ramification groups and fundamental characters. In particular, our results do not involve invariants constructed from equations for successive Artin-Schreier extensions of function fields. In previous work, such equations were involved in defining the invariants necessary to calculate the Galois structure of the holomorphic differentials. Here we only use Artin-Schreier extensions in our proof, but the statement of Theorem 1.1 does not involve invariants associated to solutions of such equations.

Our work is relevant to the study of classical modular forms of weight two. Suppose $N \geq 3$ is an integer prime to p, and let $\Gamma(N)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of level N. Let F be a number field that is unramified over p and that contains a primitive Nth root of unity ζ_N. Suppose A is a Dedekind subring of F that has fraction field F and that contains $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$. By [27,28] (see also [24]), there is a proper smooth canonical model $\mathcal{X}(N)$ of the modular curve associated to $\Gamma(N)$ over A. The global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(N), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(N)})$ are naturally identified with the A-lattice $\mathcal{S}(A)$ of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms for $\Gamma(N)$ that have q-expansion coefficients in A at all

the cusps, in the sense of [27, §1.6]. See §5 for details. Note that in the classic references, such as [35], the action of elements of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is on the right. As usual, one can turn any right action of a group on a module into a left action by letting the left action of a group element equal the right action of its inverse.

Let $\mathcal{V}(F,p)$ be the set of places v of F over p, and let $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ be the ring of integers of the completion F_v of F at v. We now suppose A is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$. We further suppose that $N = \ell$ is an odd prime number, and we let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N) = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. By analyzing the action of G on the holomorphic differentials of the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p, we will show the following result on the structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $A \subset \mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, $N = \ell$ is an odd prime number with $\ell \neq p$ and $p \geq 3$. For all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module*

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)}) = \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathcal{S}(A)$$

is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B \oplus U_B$ in which P_B is a projective B-module and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. One can determine P_B and the reduction $\overline{U}_B$ of U_B modulo the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ from the ramification data associated to the action of G on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p.

The fact that at most one non-projective indecomposable module U_B is associated to each block B is fortuitous. When $p > 3$ we show how this follows from work of Nakajima [32, Thm. 2], and in particular from (1.1). When $p = 3$ the result is more difficult because the ramification of the action of G on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 is wild. We determine the module structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 in Theorem 1.4 below, and this leads to Theorem 1.2 in this case. Note that the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are not cyclic, so the methods of this article are not sufficient to treat the case when $p = 2$.

We now describe one approach to defining congruences modulo p between modular forms. This basically follows the approach in [33]. However, we consider weight 2 cusp forms for the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ (rather than for $\Gamma_0(N)$ or $\Gamma_1(N)$) and we allow more general rings $\mathbb{T}$ of Hecke operators to act (see below). We then show how Theorem 1.2 enables us to characterize when such congruences can arise from the decomposition of $F \otimes_A \mathcal{S}(A)$ into G-isotypic pieces. We refer to [35, Chap. 3] for a discussion of Hecke operators and their actions on modular forms.

Define $\mathcal{S}(F) = F \otimes_A \mathcal{S}(A)$ to be the space of weight two cusp forms that have q-expansion coefficients in F at all cusps, in the sense of [27, §1.6]. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a ring of Hecke operators acting on $\mathcal{S}(F)$. Suppose there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{S}(F) = E_1 \oplus E_2 \tag{1.2}$$

into a direct sum of F-subspaces that are stable under the action of $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of A. Following [33], a non-trivial congruence modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ linking E_1 and E_2 is defined to be a pair of forms $f \in \mathcal{S}(A) \cap E_1$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(A) \cap E_2$ such that

$$f \equiv g \mod \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{S}(A) \quad \text{but} \quad f \notin \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{S}(A).$$

Congruences of this kind have played an important role in the development of the theory of modular forms, Galois representations and arithmetic geometry. For further discussion of them, see for example [15,16].

Our results are relevant to a method for producing congruences of the above kind. Letting $N = \ell$ and $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ as before, we can form a decomposition (1.2) in the following way. Write 1 in $F[G]$ as the sum $e_1 + e_2$ of two orthogonal central idempotents. Define

$$E_1 = e_1 \mathcal{S}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = e_2 \mathcal{S}(F). \tag{1.3}$$

We will call a decomposition (1.2) of the form in (1.3) a G-isotypic $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition of $\mathcal{S}(F)$.

In an appendix in §7 we show how to construct non-trivial G-isotypic $\mathbb{T}$-stable decompositions of $\mathcal{S}(F)$ when $\mathbb{T}$ is the ring of Hecke operators that have index prime to ℓ (see Proposition 7.1). In this case, one can take $E_i = e_i \mathcal{S}(F)$ when $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is any pair of orthogonal central idempotents of $F[G]$ such that $1 = e_1 + e_2$ and each e_i is fixed by the conjugation action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ on G.

We will show the following theorem regarding non-trivial congruences arising from G-isotypic $\mathbb{T}$-stable decompositions of $\mathcal{S}(F)$.

Theorem 1.3. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, suppose further that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to p part of the order of G. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal ideal over p in A associated to $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$. A $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition (1.2) that is G-isotypic, in the sense that it arises from idempotents as in (1.3), results in non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ between modular forms if and only if the following is true. There is a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ such that when P_B and U_B are as in Theorem 1.2, $M_B = P_B \oplus U_B$ is not equal to the direct sum $(M_B \cap e_1 M_B) \oplus (M_B \cap e_2 M_B)$. For a given B, there will be orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which this is true if and only if B has non-trivial defect groups, and either $P_B \neq \{0\}$ or $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents.*

To describe the module structure of the holomorphic differentials of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3, let $\ell \neq 3$ be an odd prime number. Let $\mathcal{P}_3$ be a maximal ideal of A containing 3, define $k(\mathcal{P}_3) = A/\mathcal{P}_3$ to be the corresponding residue field, and let k be an algebraically closed field containing $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$. Define the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k to be

$$X_3(\ell) = k \otimes_{k(\mathcal{P}_3)} \left(k(\mathcal{P}_3) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell)\right).$$

If $\ell = 5$ then $X_3(\ell)$ has genus 0. For $\ell \geq 7$, we obtain Theorem 1.4 below; for more detailed versions of part (i) of this theorem, see Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4. For a discussion of uniserial modules over Artin algebras, see, e.g., [2, §IV.2].

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\ell \geq 7$ be a prime number, and define $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_3$, $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$ and k be as above, and define $X = X_3(\ell)$ to be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k.*

(i) *Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$ be such that $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 3$. Write $\ell - \epsilon = 2 \cdot 3^n \cdot m$ where 3 does not divide m, and let $\delta_{n,1}$ be the Kronecker delta. If T is a simple $k[G]$-module, then $U_{T,b}^{(G)}$ denotes a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length b whose socle is isomorphic to T. There exists a projective $k[G]$-module Q_ℓ such that the following is true:*

(1) *Suppose $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. For $0 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, let $\widetilde{T}_t$ be representatives of simple $k[G]$-modules of k-dimension $\ell - 1$ such that $\widetilde{T}_0$ belongs to the principal block of $k[G]$. As a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1 - \delta_{n,1})\, U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0, (3^{n-1}-1)/2} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 3^{n-1}}.$$

(2) *Suppose $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. Let T_1 be a simple $k[G]$-module of k-dimension ℓ. For $1 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, let $\widetilde{T}_t$ be representatives of simple $k[G]$-modules of k-dimension $\ell + 1$. As a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1 - \delta_{n,1})\, U^{(G)}_{T_1, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}}.$$

(3) *Suppose $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$. Let $T_{1,1}$ be a simple $k[G]$-module of k-dimension ℓ. For $1 \leq t \leq (m/2 - 1)$, let $\widetilde{T}_t$ be representatives of simple $k[G]$-modules of k-dimension $\ell + 1$. There exists a simple $k[G]$-module $T_{0,1}$ of k-dimension $(\ell + 1)/2$ such that, as a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1 - \delta_{n,1})\, U^{(G)}_{T_{1,1}, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1}, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}}.$$

(4) *Suppose $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$. For $0 \leq t \leq (m/2 - 1)$, let $\widetilde{T}_t$ be representatives of simple $k[G]$-modules of k-dimension $\ell - 1$ such that $\widetilde{T}_0$ belongs to the principal block of $k[G]$. There exists a simple $k[G]$-module $T_{0,1}$ of k-dimension $(\ell - 1)/2$ such that, as a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong Q_\ell \oplus (1 - \delta_{n,1})\, U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0, (3^{n-1}-1)/2} \oplus U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1}, 3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 3^{n-1}}.$$

The multiplicities of the projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules in Q_ℓ are known explicitly. The isomorphism classes of the uniserial $k[G]$-modules occurring in parts (1) *through* (4) *are uniquely determined by their socles and their composition series lengths. In parts* (3) *and* (4)*, there are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G, represented by H_1 and H_2, that are isomorphic to the symmetric group Σ_3 such that the conjugates of H_1 (resp. H_2) occur (resp. do not occur) as inertia groups of closed points of X. This characterizes the simple $k[G]$-module $T_{0,1}$ in parts* (3) *and* (4) *as follows. The restriction of $T_{0,1}$ to H_1 (resp. H_2) is a direct sum of a projective module and a non-projective indecomposable module whose socle is the trivial simple module (resp. the simple module corresponding to the sign character).*

(ii) *Let k_1 be a perfect field containing $k(\mathcal{P}_3)$ and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1. Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(\mathcal{P}_3)} (k(\mathcal{P}_3) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell))$. Then*

$$k \otimes_{k_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$$

as $k[G]$-modules, and the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$-modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into indecomposable $k[G]$-modules. Moreover, the $k_1[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_1 of $k_1[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_1} \oplus U_{B_1}$ in which P_{B_1} is a projective B_1-module and U_{B_1} is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B_1-module. Moreover, one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.4 are Theorem 1.1 together with a description of the blocks of $k[G]$ and their Brauer trees in [8].

We now describe the main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We first use the Conlon induction theorem [12, Thm. (80.51)] to reduce the problem of determining the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ to the problem of determining the $k[H]$-module structure of restrictions of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ to the so-called p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G. These p-hypo-elementary subgroups are semi-direct products of the form $H = P \rtimes C$, where P is a normal cyclic p-subgroup of H and C is a cyclic p'-group.

We then prove Theorem 1.1 in the case when $G = H$ is p-hypo-elementary. The proof in this case is constructive and can be used as an algorithm to determine the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules, see Remark 4.4. More precisely, let $H = P \rtimes C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group as above, and let $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ be the character determining the action of C on P. Let $I \leq P$ be the (cyclic, characteristic) subgroup of P generated by all inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/P$, say $I = \langle \tau \rangle$. If M is a $k[I]$-module or a sheaf of $k[I]$-modules on a scheme, we use the notation $M^{(j)}$, for $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, to denote the kernel of the action of $(\tau - 1)^j$ on M. Let $\pi : X \longrightarrow X/I$ be the quotient morphism. For ease of notation, we write $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ instead of $(\pi_* \Omega_X)^{(j)}$. We prove that the quotient sheaves $\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}$ are line bundles for $\mathcal{O}_{X/I}$ isomorphic to $\chi^{-j} \otimes_k \Omega_{X/I}(D_j)$ for effective divisors D_j on X/I which

may be explicitly determined by the lower ramification groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/I$. Using a dimension count, we show that there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}) \tag{1.4}$$

of $k[H/I]$-modules for $0 \leq j \leq \#I-1$. Then we use that $X/I \longrightarrow X/H$ is tamely ramified, together with (1.1), to prove that the $k[H/I]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)})$, for $0 \leq j \leq \#I-1$, is uniquely determined by the p'-parts of the (non-trivial) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters. Finally, we argue, using (1.4), that this is sufficient to obtain the $k[H]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we recall some well known definitions regarding finite groups acting on schemes and sheaves. In §3, we show how to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the case of p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G, using the Conlon induction theorem (see Lemma 3.2). We also reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed. In §4, we first prove Theorem 1.1 when $G = H$ is p-hypo-elementary; see Propositions 4.1 and 4.3 for the key steps. We then summarize these key steps of the proof in Remark 4.4. In §5, we discuss the holomorphic differentials of the reductions of the modular curves $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p, and we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $p > 3$. In §6, we fully determine the $k[\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{F}_\ell)]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_3(\ell),\Omega_{X_3(\ell)})$ when k is an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$; see Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4 for the precise statements. In particular, this proves Theorem 1.4, which we then use to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $p = 3$.

2. Finite groups acting on schemes and sheaves

In this section, we recall some well known definitions regarding finite groups acting on schemes and sheaves. We will also set up some notation which will be used later in this paper.

Let X be a Noetherian scheme, locally separated over a field k, and let H be a finite group acting on the right on X over k. We view H as a constant group scheme over k, and we write $m : H \times_k H \longrightarrow H$ for the group law and $e : k \longrightarrow H$ for the identity section of H. Let $\vartheta : X \times_k H \longrightarrow X$ denote the right action of H on X, which on points we denote by $(x,h) \mapsto x \cdot h$. Let $p_1 : X \times_k H \longrightarrow X$ denote the natural projection.

We recall from [36, §1.2] (see also [31, §1.3]) the notion of a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module $\mathcal{F}$. The concept of an $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module goes back to Grothendieck (see, for example, [17, Chap. V]). Such an $\mathcal{F}$ is also called a quasi-coherent H-sheaf (or H-equivariant sheaf) on X. An $\mathcal{F}$ of this kind is defined to be a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, together with an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X\times_k H}$-modules

$$\phi : \vartheta^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow p_1^*\mathcal{F}.$$

This isomorphism ϕ must be associative, in the sense that it satisfies the cocycle condition

$$(p_{12}^*\phi) \circ ((\vartheta \times 1_H)^*\phi) = (1_X \times m)^*\phi \tag{2.1}$$

on $X \times_k H \times_k H$, where $p_{12} : X \times_k H \times_k H \longrightarrow X \times_k H$ denotes the projection onto the first and second components. On the stalk level, the cocycle condition says that the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{x \cdot hh'} \cong \mathcal{F}_x$ is the same as the composition $\mathcal{F}_{(x \cdot h) \cdot h'} \cong \mathcal{F}_{x \cdot h} \cong \mathcal{F}_x$, i.e., the associativity of the group action. The unitarity of the group action is also a consequence. Namely, applying $(1_X \times e \times e)^*$ to both sides of (2.1) we get $(1_X \times e)^*\phi \circ (1_X \times e)^*\phi = (1_X \times e)^*\phi$ and so $(1_X \times e)^*\phi$ is the identity.

Equivalently (compare with [10, §1.2.5]), a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module can be defined to be a quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with a compatible action of H in the following sense. Suppose $x \in X$ and $h \in H$. The action of $h \in H$ on X and on $\mathcal{F}$ gives isomorphisms of stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x \cdot h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{x \cdot h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$, which we will both denote by h. We require $h(\alpha \cdot f) = h(\alpha) \cdot h(f)$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x \cdot h}$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_{x \cdot h}$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is moreover coherent (resp. locally free coherent) as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, we will call $\mathcal{F}$ a coherent (resp. locally free coherent) $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module.

The concept of an $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module can be viewed as the sheafification of the concept of modules for skew group algebras. More precisely, if B is a k-algebra and H acts by left k-algebra automorphisms on B, we can form the skew group algebra

$$B \rtimes [H] = \left\{ \sum_{h \in H} b_h \cdot h \ ; \ b_h \in B \right\}.$$

Here addition on $B \rtimes [H]$ is natural and multiplication is defined distributively using $h \cdot b = h(b) \cdot h$, where $h(b)$ denotes the image of $b \in B$ under the action of $h \in H$. If $U = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ is an affine open set of X that is taken to itself by the action of H, and $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module, then $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is just a module for the skew group algebra $B \rtimes [H]$.

An important example of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module, which will be of interest to us, is the sheaf Ω_X of relative differentials of X over k with the natural action of H on Ω_X resulting from the action of H on $\mathcal{O}_X$. If X is a smooth projective curve over k, then Ω_X is moreover locally free of rank one as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

By [18, Exposé V, Prop. 1.8], a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a quotient scheme $Z = X/H$ is that the H-orbit of every point of X is contained in an open affine subset of X. Equivalently, X can be covered by affine open sets of the form $U = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ that are taken to themselves by the action of H. This will always be the case, for example, if X is quasi-projective.

Suppose now that the quotient scheme $Z = X/H$ exists, and let I be a subgroup of H. By [18, Exposé V, Cor. 1.7], the quotient scheme $Y = X/I$ also exists, and we let $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y = X/I$ denote the quotient morphism. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a sheaf of rings on Y, and $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with an action of H that is compatible with the action of H on $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ over $\mathcal{O}_Y$. We have a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of rings on Y. Therefore, we can view $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ as a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module. Note that if $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent (resp.

locally free coherent) as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module, then so is $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module then $\pi_*\mathcal{F}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{G}$ is also a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module by letting H act diagonally.

Suppose finally that I is a normal subgroup of H, and that J is an ideal of $k[I]$ that is taken to itself by the conjugation action of H on I. Since I acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_Y$, we can regard $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ as a module for the sheaf of group rings $\mathcal{O}_Y[I]$ on Y. We define the kernel $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{F}, I, J)$ of J acting on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}$ to be the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules having sections over each open set V of Y equal to the kernel of J acting on $\pi_*\mathcal{F}(V)$. Since J was assumed to be taken to itself by the conjugation action of H on $k[I]$, $\mathcal{K}$ will in fact be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module.

3. Reduction to p-hypo-elementary subgroups and algebraically closed base fields

Let k be a perfect field of positive characteristic p, and suppose G is a finite group such that p divides $\#G$. In this section, we show how we can reduce the problem of finding the $k[G]$-module structure of a finitely generated $k[G]$-module M to determining the $k[H]$-module structure of the restrictions of M to all p-hypo-elementary subgroups H of G. We follow [12, §80D] and [4, §5.6]. At the end of this section, we show how we can further reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed.

Definition 3.1.

(a) Let $a(k[G])$ be the representation ring, also called the Green ring, of $k[G]$. This is the ring consisting of $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of symbols $[M]$, one for each isomorphism class of finitely generated $k[G]$-modules M, with relations

$$[M] + [M'] = [M \oplus M'].$$

Multiplication is defined by the tensor product over k

$$[M] \cdot [M'] = [M \otimes_k M']$$

where G acts diagonally on $M \otimes_k M'$. Since the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem holds for finitely generated $k[G]$-modules, it follows that $a(k[G])$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis consisting of all $[M]$ with M finitely generated indecomposable. Moreover, $[M] = [M']$ if and only if $M \cong M'$ as $k[G]$-modules. Define

$$A(k[G]) = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(k[G])$$

which is called the representation algebra. Then $a(k[G])$ is embedded into $A(k[G])$ as a subring, and both have the same identity element $[k_G]$, where k_G denotes the trivial simple $k[G]$-module. We also have induction maps

$$a(k[H]) \longrightarrow a(k[G]) \quad \text{and} \quad A(k[H]) \longrightarrow A(k[G])$$

for each subgroup $H \leq G$.

(b) A p-hypo-elementary group is a group H such that $H = P \rtimes C$, where P is a normal p-subgroup and C is a cyclic p'-group. We denote the set of p-hypo-elementary subgroups of G by $\mathcal{H}'$.

The Conlon induction theorem [12, Thm. (80.51)] says that there is a relation

$$[k_G] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}'} \alpha_H \, [\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(k_H)] \tag{3.1}$$

in $A(k[G])$, for certain rational numbers α_H. Since by [11, Cor. (10.20)],

$$M \otimes_k \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(k_H) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M_H \otimes_k k_H) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M_H)$$

for every finitely generated $k[G]$-module M, (3.1) implies that we have the relation

$$[M] = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}'} \alpha_H \, [\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M_H)] \tag{3.2}$$

in $A(k[G])$, for the same rational numbers α_H as in (3.1). In other words, if M' is another finitely generated $k[G]$-module such that $[M_H] = [M'_H]$ in $a(k[H])$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$, then $[M] = [M']$ in $A(k[G])$, and hence in $a(k[G])$. In particular, this proves the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose M is a finitely generated $k[G]$-module. Then the decomposition of M into its indecomposable direct $k[G]$-module summands is uniquely determined by the decompositions of the restrictions M_H of M into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules as H ranges over all elements in $\mathcal{H}'$.*

Remark 3.3. Suppose M is as in Lemma 3.2, and suppose we know the explicit decomposition of M_H into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$. If G does not have cyclic Sylow p-subgroups, there might be infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable $k[G]$-modules of k-dimension less than or equal to $\dim_k M$. To determine explicitly the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(M_H)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules in (3.2), we have to test in principle all of these to see if they could be direct summands.

However, if G has cyclic Sylow p-subgroups, then there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules, and also only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules, for all $H \in \mathcal{H}'$. Moreover, one can use the Green correspondence [11, Thm. (20.6)] to obtain a different, more explicit, proof that the $k[G]$-module structure of M is uniquely determined by the $k[H]$-module structure of M_H, as H ranges over all elements in $\mathcal{H}'$.

Namely, if P is a cyclic Sylow p-subgroup of G (not necessarily unique), let P_1 be the unique subgroup of P of order p, and let N_1 be the normalizer of P_1 in G. The Green correspondence shows that induction and restriction sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-projective $k[G]$-modules and the isomorphism classes of indecomposable non-projective $k[N_1]$-modules. By work of Dade [13] (and in particular, [13, Thm. 5]), it follows (in the case when k contains all $(\#G)$th roots of unity) that the indecomposable $k[N_1]$-modules are all uniserial, and hence uniquely determined by their top radical layer and their composition series length (see, e.g., [2, §IV.2] for a discussion of uniserial modules). Using a filtration of the $k[N_1]$-modules by powers of the augmentation ideal of $k[P_1]$, one then proves that the $k[N_1]$-module structure of M is uniquely determined by the restrictions M_H to elements $H \in \mathcal{H}'$.

For the remainder of the paper, we assume, as in Theorem 1.1, that G has non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroups. Then every p-hypo-elementary subgroup H of G has a unique non-trivial cyclic Sylow p-subgroup.

Suppose $H = P \rtimes_\psi C$, where $P = \langle\sigma\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ and $C = \langle\rho\rangle$ is a cyclic p'-group of order c. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(P) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^* \times Q$ for an abelian p-group Q, and $\psi : C \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(P)$ factors through a character $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$. To emphasize this character, we write $H = P \rtimes_\chi C$. Note that the order of χ divides $(p-1)$, which means in particular that $\chi^{p-1} = \chi^{-(p-1)}$ is the trivial one-dimensional character. For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, χ^i defines a simple $k[C]$-module of k-dimension one, which we denote by T_{χ^i}. We also view T_{χ^i} as a $k[H]$-module by inflation.

Let $\overline{k}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of k, and let ζ be a primitive cth root of unity in $\overline{k}$. For $0 \leq a \leq c-1$, let S_a be the simple $\overline{k}[C]$-module on which ρ acts as ζ^a. We also view S_a as a $\overline{k}[H]$-module by inflation. Moreover, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $S_{\chi^i} = \overline{k} \otimes_k T_{\chi^i}$ and, for $0 \leq a \leq c-1$, define $\chi^i(a) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, c-1\}$ to be such that $S_{\chi^i(a)} \cong S_a \otimes_{\overline{k}} S_{\chi^i}$.

The following remark describes the indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules (see, e.g., [1, pp. 35-37 & 42-43]).

Remark 3.4. Let $H = P \rtimes_\chi C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group, where $P = \langle\sigma\rangle$, $C = \langle\rho\rangle$ and $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is a character, and use the notation introduced in the two paragraphs preceding the remark. The projective cover of the trivial simple $\overline{k}[H]$-module S_0 is uniserial, in the sense that it has a unique composition series, with p^n **ascending** composition factors of the form

$$S_0, S_{\chi^{-1}}, S_{\chi^{-2}}, \ldots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}}, S_0, S_{\chi^{-1}}, \ldots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}}, S_0. \tag{3.3}$$

More generally, the projective cover of the simple $\overline{k}[H]$-module S_a, for $0 \leq a \leq c-1$, is uniserial with p^n **ascending** composition factors of the form

$$S_a, S_{\chi^{-1}(a)}, S_{\chi^{-2}(a)}, \ldots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}(a)}, S_a, S_{\chi-1(a)}, \ldots, S_{\chi^{-(p-2)}(a)}, S_a. \tag{3.4}$$

There are precisely $\#H$ isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules, and they are all uniserial. If U is an indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-module, then it is uniquely determined by its socle, which is the kernel of the action of $(\sigma - 1)$ on U, and its k-dimension. For $0 \leq a \leq c-1$ and $1 \leq b \leq p^n$, let $U_{a,b}$ be an indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-module with socle S_a and k-dimension b. Then $U_{a,b}$ is uniserial and its b ascending composition factors are equal to the first b ascending composition factors in (3.4).

We next show how we can reduce to the case when k is algebraically closed when considering indecomposable $k[H]$-modules.

Let $Z_1, \ldots, Z_d$ be the distinct orbits of $\{\zeta^a \ ; \ 0 \leq a \leq c-1\}$ under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. For $1 \leq j \leq d$, let S_{Z_j} be the direct sum of the S_a for $a \in Z_j$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $H = P \rtimes_\chi C$ be a p-hypo-elementary group as in Remark 3.4.*

(i) *The number of isomorphism classes of simple $k[C]$-modules is equal to d. Moreover, for each $1 \leq j \leq d$, there exists a simple $k[C]$-module T_j with $\overline{k} \otimes_k T_j \cong S_{Z_j}$.*

(ii) *The number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules is equal to $d \cdot p^n$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq j \leq d$ and each $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, there exists a uniserial $k[H]$-module $V_{j,t}$ such that $\overline{k} \otimes_k \mathrm{soc}(V_{j,t}) \cong S_{Z_j}$ and such that $\overline{k} \otimes_k V_{j,t}$ is a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules of k-dimension t that all lie in a single orbit under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$.*

(iii) *If M is a finitely generated $k[H]$-module, then its decomposition into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $\overline{k} \otimes_k M$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules*

Proof. Let T be a simple $k[C]$-module. Since c is relatively prime to p, $\overline{k} \otimes_k T$ is a direct sum of simple $\overline{k}[C]$-modules that lie in precisely one Galois orbit under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. In other words, there exists a unique $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ with $\overline{k} \otimes_k T \cong S_{Z_j}$. This proves part (i).

For part (ii), we use the description of the projective cover Q_0 of the trivial simple $\overline{k}[H]$-module S_0 in Remark 3.4, and in particular the description of its ascending composition factors in (3.3). Since χ is a character with values in $\mathbb{F}_p^* \subseteq k^*$, this means that Q_0 is realizable over k, i.e., $Q_0 = \overline{k} \otimes_k P_0$, where P_0 is the projective cover of the trivial simple $k[H]$-module. In particular, if $S_{Z_1} = \{S_0\}$, then, for all $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, there exists an indecomposable $k[H]$-module $V_{1,t}$ of k-dimension t with $\overline{k} \otimes_k \mathrm{soc}(V_{1,t}) \cong S_{Z_1}$. Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be arbitrary. Then, for all $1 \leq t \leq p^n$, $T_j \otimes_k V_{1,t}$ is a uniserial $k[H]$-module of k-dimension equal to $(\dim_k T_j)t = (\#Z_j)t$, with t ascending composition factors $T_j, T_{\chi^{-1}} \otimes_k T_j, T_{\chi^{-2}} \otimes_k T_j, \ldots$. Now suppose V is an arbitrary indecomposable $k[H]$-module. Write $\overline{k} \otimes_k V$ as a direct sum of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules. The socle layers W_1 and W_2 of two of these summands are in the same Galois orbit if and only if for all integers $i \geq 0$, $S_{\chi^{-i}} \otimes_{\overline{k}} W_1$ and $S_{\chi^{-i}} \otimes_{\overline{k}} W_2$ are in the same Galois orbit. Since the socle layers of V are $k[H]$-modules, it follows that $\overline{k} \otimes_k V$ is a sum of Galois orbits

of indecomposable $\overline{k}[H]$-modules. Since the sum of modules in a Galois orbit is an indecomposable $k[H]$-module, we conclude that there can be only one such orbit since V is indecomposable. Hence V is isomorphic to $T_j \otimes_k V_{1,t}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $1 \leq t \leq p^n$. This proves part (ii). Part (iii) is an immediate consequence of part (ii). □

4. Filtrations on differentials and ramification data

We assume throughout this section that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, and that $H = P \rtimes_\chi C$ is a p-hypo-elementary group, where $P = \langle\sigma\rangle$ is a cyclic p-group of order p^n, $C = \langle\rho\rangle$ is a cyclic p'-group of order c, and $\chi : C \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is a character, as in the previous section. We again view χ as a character of H by inflation, and denote, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the one-dimensional $k[H]$-module corresponding to χ^i by S_{χ^i}.

Let X be a smooth projective curve over k, and fix a faithful right action of H on X over k. Then X is a regular scheme of dimension one, and the sheaf Ω_X of holomorphic differentials of X over k is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module, as defined in §2, which is a locally free rank one $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Throughout this section, we adopt the conventions and notation from §2.

Recall that if x is a closed point of X and $i \geq 0$, the ith lower ramification subgroup $H_{x,i}$ of H is the group of all elements in H that fix x and act trivially on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^{i+1}$. Moreover, the fundamental character of the inertia group $H_x = H_{x,0}$ of x is the character $\theta_x : H_x \longrightarrow k^* = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}^2)$ giving the action of H_x on the cotangent space of x. Since θ_x factors through the maximal p'-quotient of H_x, θ_x is trivial if H_x is a p-group. We will call the collection of the groups $H_{x,i}$ together with the characters θ_x, as x varies over the closed points of X and i ranges over all non-negative integers, the ramification data associated to the action of H on X.

Let $I = \langle\tau\rangle$ be the (cyclic) subgroup of P generated by the Sylow p-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points of X. In particular, I is a normal subgroup of H. Let Y be the quotient curve X/I, and let $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y$ denote the quotient morphism. In particular, Y is a regular scheme of dimension one, and hence a smooth projective curve over k, since k is perfect. Then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module, and we identify $\mathcal{O}_Y$ with the subsheaf of I-invariants of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$. The Jacobson radical of the group ring $k[I]$ is then $\mathcal{J} = k[I](\tau - 1)$. For all integers $j \geq 0$, let $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ denote the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j = k[I](\tau-1)^j$ on $\pi_*\Omega_X$. Because $\mathcal{J}^j$ is taken to itself by the conjugation action of H on I, it follows as in §2 that $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module. Since Y is a regular scheme of dimension one and $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ is a subsheaf of a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of finite rank, $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ is also a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module. Thus in the terminology of §2, $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module. If D is a divisor on Y, then we will denote by $\Omega_Y(D)$ the tensor product $\Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(D)$.

Proposition 4.1. *For $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, the action of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and of H on $\pi_*\Omega_X$ makes the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}$ into a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module. There exists an H-invariant divisor D_j on Y with the following properties:*

(i) *The divisor D_j may be determined from the ramification data associated to the action of I on X.*
(ii) *We have $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, and D_j is effective of positive degree for $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$.*
(iii) *There is an isomorphism of locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules between $\mathcal{L}_j$ and $S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)$.*

Proof. Let K be the function field of X, and let $L = K^I$ be the function field of $Y = X/I$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ be the inverse different of X over Y. In other words, $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ is the largest $\mathcal{O}_X$ fractional ideal in K such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{K/L}(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Y$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-H-module that is a locally free rank one $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. By the projection formula [19, Ex. II.5.1], it follows that there are isomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules

$$\pi_*\Omega_X \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^*\Omega_Y) \cong \pi_*\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y. \tag{4.1}$$

Fix $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, and consider the short exact sequences of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j)} \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_j \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}$$

where we again use the notation $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$ for the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j = k[I](\tau-1)^j$ on $\pi_*\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$. In particular, since I acts trivially on $\mathcal{O}_Y$ and Ω_Y and since $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$ is right exact, we can identify $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{H}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$ as coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules.

We now show that $\mathcal{L}_j$ is a line bundle for $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Let η_X (resp. η_Y) be the generic point on X (resp. Y). Then for all $y \in Y$ and all $j \geq 0$, there is a canonical homomorphism $(\Omega_X^{(j)})_y \longrightarrow (\Omega_X^{(j)})_{\eta_Y}$ between stalks. Since $(\Omega_X^{(j)})_{\eta_Y}$ is a vector space over $L = k(Y)$ and $\Omega_X^{(j)}$ is a locally free coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module, it follows that this homomorphism is injective. On the other hand, we can identify the stalk $(\pi_*\Omega_X)_{\eta_Y} = (\Omega_X)_{\eta_X}$ with the relative differentials $\Omega_{K/k}^1$ of K/k. We can write $\Omega_{K/k}^1 = K\,dt$ for some $t \in K^H$. For all integers $j \geq 0$, we again write $(\Omega_{K/k}^1)^{(j)}$ for the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j$. In particular, we can identify $(\Omega_X^{(j)})_{\eta_Y} = (\Omega_{K/k}^1)^{(j)}$. We have a canonical injective homomorphism

$$(\mathcal{L}_j)_y = \frac{(\Omega_X^{(j+1)})_y}{(\Omega_X^{(j)})_y} \hookrightarrow \frac{(\Omega_{K/k}^1)^{(j+1)}}{(\Omega_{K/k}^1)^{(j)}}$$

whose image generates the right hand side as an L-vector space. Note that the module on the right is a one-dimensional vector space over $L = K^I$, since $K \cong L[I]$ as $L[I]$-modules, by the normal basis theorem, which means that $\Omega_{K/k}^1 = K\,dt$ is also a free rank one $L[I]$-module. Hence $(\mathcal{L}_j)_y$ is a non-zero $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$-submodule of a one-dimensional

vector space over $L = k(Y)$ for all $y \in Y$ and it is one-dimensional when $y = \eta_Y$. This implies that $\mathcal{L}_j$ is a line bundle for $\mathcal{O}_Y$ since Y is a regular scheme of dimension one.

Since $\mathcal{L}_j = \mathcal{H}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$, we have that $\mathcal{H}_j$ is also a line bundle for $\mathcal{O}_Y$. Because $\mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$, it follows that the map given by $(\tau-1)^j$ sends $\mathcal{H}_j$ onto an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-line bundle that is a subbundle of the constant sheaf on Y associated to $L = K^I$. We claim that there is an H-invariant divisor D_j on Y for which there is an isomorphism

$$(\tau-1)^j : \quad \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(D_j) \tag{4.4}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. To show this, first observe that since H/I stabilizes $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$, the class of $\mathcal{H}_j$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)$ is fixed by the action of H/I. To show that there is an H-invariant divisor D_j on Y as in (4.4), it will be enough to show that $\mathrm{Div}(Y)^{H/I} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(Y)^{H/I}$ is surjective. We have a natural exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow k^* \longrightarrow k(Y)^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Div}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(Y) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.5}$$

On taking the H/I cohomology of the two short exact sequences produced by (4.5) and using Hilbert's theorem 90, we conclude that it is enough to show $\mathrm{H}^2(H/I, k^*) = 0$. Here k is algebraically closed of characteristic p and H/I is an extension of the cyclic p'-group H/P by the normal cyclic p-subgroup P/I. Since $\mathrm{H}^q(P/I, k^*) = 0$ for $q > 0$, we find, using the corresponding Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, that

$$\mathrm{H}^2(H/I, k^*) = \mathrm{H}^2(H/P, H^0(P/I, k^*)) = \mathrm{H}^2(H/P, k^*) = \hat{\mathrm{H}}^0(H/P, k^*) = 0$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{H}}^0(H/P, k^*)$ denotes the 0th Tate cohomology group. This establishes that there exists an H-invariant divisor D_j on Y as in (4.4).

Let now V be an affine open set of Y that is taken to itself by the action of H and let $f \in \mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}(V) \subset L$. Since τ commutes with σ, we obtain

$$\sigma\,(\tau-1)^j f = (\tau-1)^j\,(\sigma\, f)$$

showing that (4.4) is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-P-modules. On the other hand, considering the generator ρ of C and using that $\rho\,\sigma\,\rho^{-1} = \sigma^{\chi(\rho)}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\,(\tau-1)^j f &= \rho\,(\tau-1)^j\,\rho^{-1}\,(\rho\, f) \\ &= (\tau^{\chi(\rho)}-1)^j\,(\rho\, f) \\ &= (\tau-1)^j\,(\chi(\rho)^j\,\rho\, f) \end{aligned}$$

since $(\tau-1)^{j+1}\,\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}(V) = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$(\tau-1)^j : \quad \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_Y(D_j) \tag{4.6}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules. In particular, (4.6) gives an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules between $\mathcal{L}_j$ and $S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)$.

It remains to show that, for $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \#I - 1\}$, D_j may be determined from the ramification data associated to the action of I on X, and to establish the statements of part (ii). Using (4.3) and (4.4), we identify $\mathcal{O}_Y(D_j)$ with the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$. Recall that $L = K^I$ is the fixed field of $I = \langle\tau\rangle$. Write $\#I = p^{n_I}$, where $n_I \leq n$, and write

$$D_j = \sum_{y \in Y} d_{y,j}\, y.$$

Fix a point $y \in Y$ and a point $x \in X$ above y. Let $I_x \subseteq I$ be the inertia group of x, which is cyclic of order $p^{n(x)} \leq p^{n_I}$. Let $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\tau_x = \tau^{p^{i(x)}}$, so that $I_x = \langle\tau_x\rangle$. Define $L_x = K^{I_x} \supseteq K^I = L$, define $Y_x = X/I_x$, and let $y_x \in Y_x$ be a point above y and below x. Note that x is totally ramified over y_x for the action of I_x, and y splits into $p^{i(x)}$ points in Y_x, where y_x is one of them. By the tower formula for inverse differents, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f_x^*\, \mathcal{D}_{Y_x/Y}^{-1}$$

where $f_x : X \longrightarrow Y_x$ is the quotient map. Since the quotient map $g_x : Y_x \longrightarrow Y$ is étale over y, it follows that the stalk of $\mathcal{D}_{Y_x/Y}^{-1}$ is equal to the stalk of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$ at all points of Y_x over y. Hence at all points of X over y, the stalks of $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$ are the same. It follows that if we take the inverse image $U_y = (g_x \circ f_x)^{-1}(V_y) \subset X$ of a sufficiently small open neighborhood V_y of y, then we have an equality

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}\right)\Big|_{U_y} = \left(\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}\right)\Big|_{U_y} \tag{4.7}$$

of the restrictions of the inverse differents $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$ to U_y.

We now determine $d_{y,j}$ using the filtration of $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$ coming from the powers of the Jacobson radical of the group ring $k[I_x]$, which is given as $\mathcal{J}_x = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1) = k[I_x](\tau - 1)^{p^{i(x)}}$. For all integers $t \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t)}$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}_x^t = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1)^t = k[I_x](\tau - 1)^{p^{i(x)}t}$ on $(f_x)_*\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1}$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t)}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$-H-module. Using the same arguments as in the first part of the proof, it follows that for $0 \leq t \leq \#I_x - 1$, there exists an H-invariant divisor $D'_{t,x}$ on Y_x such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y_x}^{-1,(t)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x})$$

as $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$-modules. Writing

$$D'_{t,x} = \sum_{y' \in Y_x} d'_{y',x,t}\, y'$$

we claim that

$$d_{y,j} = d'_{y_x,x,t} \qquad \text{for all } t, j \text{ satisfying } p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1). \tag{4.8}$$

To see this, note that for all $y' \in Y_x$ lying over y and for all $t \geq 0$, we have $d'_{y',x,t} = d'_{y_x,x,t}$. This means that locally, above y, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x})$ for $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$ is the pullback of a line bundle for $\mathcal{O}_Y$. On the other hand, if we consider two consecutive powers $\mathcal{J}_x^t$ and $\mathcal{J}_x^{t+1}$ of the radical $\mathcal{J}_x$ of $k[I_x]$, then they generate in $k[I]$ the two powers $\mathcal{J}^{p^{i(x)}t}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{p^{i(x)}(t+1)}$ of the radical $\mathcal{J}$ of $k[I]$. Using (4.7), it follows that the restriction of the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-module

$$\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(p^{i(x)}(t+1))}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(p^{i(x)}t)} \tag{4.9}$$

to a sufficiently small neighborhood V_y of y, is as a module for $\mathcal{O}_Y\big|_{V_y}$ given by $(g_x)_*\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(D'_{t,x})$ restricted to V_y.

Considering the quotient (4.9), there are $p^{i(x)}$ intermediate quotients $\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j+1)}/\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1,(j)}$, for $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$. Hence, to prove the claim in (4.8), it suffices to prove that in each of these intermediate quotients the multiplicity of y in the corresponding divisor D_j, given by $d_{y,j}$, is the same as the multiplicity of y_x in the divisor $D'_{t,x}$, given by $d'_{y_x,x,t}$. To see this, we take a line bundle for $\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$ of the form $g_x^*\,\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y)$, where $g_x : Y_x \longrightarrow Y = (Y_x)/(I/I_x)$ is the quotient map, as above. Recall that g_x is étale over a sufficiently small neighborhood V_y of y in Y.

We now consider the action of I/I_x on $g_x^*\,\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y)$. By the projection formula [19, Ex. II.5.1], we have

$$(g_x)_*\left(g_x^*\,\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y)\right) \cong (g_x)_*\,\mathcal{O}_{Y_x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y) \tag{4.10}$$

where the action of I/I_x on $\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y)$ is trivial. We have a local normal basis theorem for the action of I/I_x on $(g_x)_*\,\mathcal{O}_{Y_x}$ restricted to V_y, since $g_x : Y_x \longrightarrow Y$ is étale over V_y. This means that the stalk $((g_x)_*\,\mathcal{O}_{Y_x})_y$ is a free rank one module for $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}[I/I_x]$. Using this fact together with the isomorphism (4.10), it follows that for all $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$, the quotient of $(g_x)_*(g_x^*\,\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y))$ with respect to the kernels of two successive powers $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^j$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^{j+1}$ of the radical $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ of $k[I/I_x]$ is an $\mathcal{O}_Y$-line bundle that looks like $\mathcal{O}_Y(d'_{y_x,x,t}\,y)$ in the neighborhood V_y of y. Identifying the quotient with respect to the kernels of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^j$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}}^{j+1}$ with the quotient with respect to the kernels of $\mathcal{J}^j$ and $\mathcal{J}^{j+1}$, for $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$, the claim in (4.8) follows.

We next show how the integers $d'_{y_x,x,t}$ in (4.8), for $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$, are determined by the ramification data associated to the action of I_x on X. If I_x is the trivial subgroup of I, then $Y_x = X$ and hence $d'_{y_x,x,t} = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, this means by (4.8) that if $y \in Y$ does not ramify in X then $d_{y,j} = 0$ for all $j \geq 0$.

Assume now that $I_x = \langle \tau_x \rangle$ is not the trivial subgroup of I. Recall that $\#(I_x) = p^{n(x)}$ and $L_x = K^{I_x} \supseteq K^I = L$. Consider the unique tower of intermediate fields

$$L_x = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_{n(x)} = K \tag{4.11}$$

with $[L_l : L_{l-1}] = p$ for $1 \leq l \leq n(x)$. In particular, each extension L_l/L_{l-1} is an Artin-Schreier extension, meaning there exist $z_l \in L_l$ and $\lambda_l \in L_{l-1}$ such that $L_l = L_{l-1}(z_l)$ and $z_l^p - z_l = \lambda_l$. By Artin-Schreier theory, we may, and will, assume that the z_l and λ_l have been chosen to satisfy:

(a) $\mathrm{ord}_x(\lambda_l)/p^{n(x)-l+1}$ is a negative integer that is relatively prime to p, and
(b) $\tau_x^{p^{l-1}}(z_l) = z_l + 1$, meaning $(\tau_x - 1)^{p^{l-1}}(z_l) = 1$.

This provides the following basis for K over L_x. For $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$, write

$$t = a_{1,t} + a_{2,t}\, p + \cdots + a_{n(x),t}\, p^{n(x)-1}$$

with $0 \leq a_{1,t}, \ldots, a_{n(x),t} \leq p - 1$, and define

$$w_t = z_1^{a_{1,t}} z_2^{a_{2,t}} \cdots z_{n(x)}^{a_{n(x),t}}.$$

As in [37, Lemma 1], we obtain that for all $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$,

$$(\tau_x - 1)^t w_t = (a_{1,t})!\,(a_{2,t})! \cdots (a_{n(x),t})!.$$

In particular, this implies

$$(\tau_x - 1)^i w_t = 0 \qquad \text{for } t + 1 \leq i \leq p^{n(x)} - 1.$$

For $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$, define $K^{(t)}$ to be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}_x^t = k[I_x](\tau_x - 1)^t$. We obtain that

$$\{w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{t-1}\}$$

is an L_x-basis for $K^{(t)}$. Hence, we obtain an isomorphism

$$(\tau_x - 1)^t : \quad \frac{K^{(t+1)}}{K^{(t)}} \longrightarrow L_x$$

which sends the residue class of w_t to the non-zero scalar $(a_{1,t})!\,(a_{2,t})! \cdots (a_{n(x),t})!$ in L_x. Since the stalk of $(f_x)_* \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x}$ at y_x is naturally identified with the stalk of $\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x}$ at x, we obtain

$$-d'_{y_x,x,t} = \min\left\{ \mathrm{ord}_{y_x}(c_t)\ ;\ c_0 w_0 + \cdots + c_t w_t \in (\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x})_x \text{ for some } c_0, \ldots, c_t \in L_x \right\} \tag{4.12}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$. Note that $c_0 w_0 + \cdots + c_t w_t \in (\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x})_x$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{ord}_x(c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t) \;\geq\; \operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x}) \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$\operatorname{ord}_x(\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x}) = -\sum_{i\geq 0}(\#I_{x,i} - 1) \tag{4.14}$$

and, as before, $I_{x,i}$ denotes the ith lower ramification subgroup of I_x. Since I_x is cyclic of order $p^{n(x)}$, there are exactly $n(x)$ jumps $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n(x)-1}$ in the numbering of the lower ramification groups $I_{x,i}$. The jumps b_l are all congruent modulo p and relatively prime to p. Moreover, if $0 \leq i \leq b_0$, then $I_{x,i} = I_x$, and if $1 \leq l \leq n(x) - 1$ and $b_{l-1} < i \leq b_l$, then $\#I_{x,i} = p^{n(x)-l}$. Hence

$$\sum_{i\geq 0}(\#I_{x,i} - 1) = \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)}(p-1)\,p^{n(x)-l}\,(b_{l-1}+1). \tag{4.15}$$

Because $\operatorname{ord}_x(z_l) = -p^{n(x)-l}\,b_{l-1}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n(x)$, we obtain for all $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{ord}_x(c_sw_s) &= \operatorname{ord}_x(c_s) + \operatorname{ord}_x(w_s) \\ &= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_s) + \operatorname{ord}_x\left(z_1^{a_{1,s}}z_2^{a_{2,s}}\cdots z_{n(x)}^{a_{n(x),s}}\right) \\ &= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_s) + \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,s}\operatorname{ord}_x(z_l) \\ &= p^{n(x)}\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_s) - \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,s}\,p^{n(x)-l}\,b_{l-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Since for all $1 \leq l \leq n(x)$, we have $a_{l,s} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and b_{l-1} is not divisible by p, it follows that the residue classes $\operatorname{ord}_x(c_sw_s) \mod p^{n(x)}$ are all different for $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, t\}$. But this implies

$$\operatorname{ord}_x(c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t) = \min_{0\leq s\leq t}\operatorname{ord}_x(c_sw_s).$$

Using (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain that $c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t \in (\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x})_x$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{ord}_x(c_sw_s) \geq -\sum_{i\geq 0}(\#I_{x,i} - 1)$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t$. In particular, this is true for $s = t$. Therefore, letting $s = t$ in (4.16), we obtain

$$\operatorname{ord}_{y_x}(c_t) \geq \frac{-\sum_{i\geq 0}(\#I_{x,i}-1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,t}\,p^{n(x)-l}\,b_{l-1}}{p^{n(x)}} \tag{4.17}$$

whenever $c_0w_0 + \cdots + c_tw_t \in (\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{X/Y_x})_x$. But this means that the ramification data associated to the action of I_x on X uniquely determines $d'_{y_x,x,t}$, for $0 \le t \le p^{n(x)} - 1$. More precisely, it follows from (4.8), (4.12) and (4.17) that

$$d_{y,j} = d'_{y_x,x,t} = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i\ge 0} (\#I_{x,i} - 1) - \sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} a_{l,t}\, p^{n(x)-l}\, b_{l-1}}{p^{n(x)}} \right\rfloor \tag{4.18}$$

for all $t, j \ge 0$ satisfying $p^{i(x)}t \le j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$ when $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r. Moreover, the formula in (4.18), together with (4.14) and (4.15), shows that $d'_{y_x,x,t} \ge 1$ for $0 \le t < p^{n(x)} - 1$, and $d'_{y_x,x,t} = 0$ for $t = p^{n(x)} - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{y,j} \ge 1 \ \text{ for } \ 0 \le j < p^{i(x)}(p^{n(x)} - 1), \ \text{and} \\ &d_{y,j} = 0 \ \text{ for } \ p^{i(x)}(p^{n(x)} - 1) \le j < p^{i(x)}p^{n(x)} = \#I. \end{aligned}$$

Since I is cyclic, there is at least one point x_0 in X with $I_{x_0} = I$. In particular, $n(x_0) = n_I$ and $i(x_0) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that if x_0 lies above the point $y_0 \in Y$ then $d_{y_0,j} \ge 1$ for all $0 \le j < \#I - 1$, which means that D_j is effective of positive degree for $0 \le j < \#I - 1$. On the other hand, the above calculations show that $d_{y,\#I-1} = 0$ for all $y \in Y$, implying $D_{\#I-1} = 0$. □

Lemma 4.2. *For $0 \le j \le \#I - 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j)} \cong \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}) \cong S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$$

of $k[H/I]$-modules, where D_j is the divisor from Proposition 4.1.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, we know that there is a $k[H]$-module isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_X^{(j+1)}/\Omega_X^{(j)}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(Y, S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \Omega_Y(D_j)) \cong S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)).$$

Since I acts trivially on all modules involved, these are also $k[H/I]$-module isomorphisms. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{(j)} \longrightarrow \pi_*\Omega_X \xrightarrow{(\tau-1)^j} \pi_*\Omega_X$$

of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-H-modules is exact. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \pi_*\Omega_X) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as $k[H]$-modules and $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, -)$ is left exact, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_X^{(j)}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \xrightarrow{(\tau-1)^j} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$$

is an exact sequence of $k[H]$-modules. In particular, this shows that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_j} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_{j+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_j} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega_X^{(j)}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega_X^{(j+1)}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathcal{L}_j) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(Y,\Omega_X^{(j)})\cdots \end{array}$$

where β_j and β_{j+1} are isomorphisms and γ_j is injective. To show that γ_j is also an isomorphism of $k[H]$-modules, it suffices to show that the k-dimensions of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j+1)}/\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)^{(j)}$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathcal{L}_j)$ coincide. To do so, we first use the Riemann-Roch theorem to describe $\dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathcal{L}_j)$. By Proposition 4.1, $D_{\#I-1}=0$, and hence $\mathcal{L}_{\#I-1}=\Omega_Y$ as $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules, meaning that

$$\dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathcal{L}_{\#I-1}) = \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\Omega_Y) = g(Y). \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand, for $0 \le j < \#I-1$, by Proposition 4.1, D_j is an effective divisor of positive degree, which implies that

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}_j) = \deg(\Omega_Y(D_j)) = \deg(D_j) + \deg(\Omega_Y) > \deg(\Omega_Y) = 2\,g(Y)-2.$$

Hence $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,\mathcal{L}_j)=0$, and we obtain by the Riemann-Roch theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y,\mathcal{L}_j) &= \deg(\mathcal{L}_j) + 1 - g(Y) \\ &= \deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1 \qquad \text{for } 0 \le j < \#I-1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Using the Riemann-Roch theorem for $\pi_*\Omega_X = \pi_*\mathcal{D}_{X/Y}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \Omega_Y$ (see (4.1)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(X)-1 &= \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) - \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1(X,\Omega_X) \\ &= \deg_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\pi_*\Omega_X) + \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\pi_*\Omega_X)(1-g(Y)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} (\deg(D_j) + (2\,g(Y)-2)) + (\#I)(1-g(Y)) \\ &= (\#I)(g(Y)-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \deg(D_j). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, we get

$$g(X) = 1 + (\#I)(g(Y)-1) + \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \deg(D_j). \tag{4.21}$$

On the other hand, using (4.19) and (4.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \dim_k \left(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j+1)} / \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-1} \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-2} (\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1) + g(Y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\#I-2} \deg(D_j) + (\#I) g(Y) - (\#I - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, we obtain by (4.20) that the inequality in the third row must be an equality. But this means that for all $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$, we have

$$\dim_k \left(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j+1)} / \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)^{(j)} \right) = \dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{L}_j)$$

finishing the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Proposition 4.3. *For $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, let D_j be the divisor from Proposition 4.1, which is determined by the ramification data associated to the action of I on X. The $k[H/I]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters.*

Proof. As before, let K be the function field of X, and let $L = K^I$ be the function field of $Y = X/I$. Moreover, let $Z = X/H$. Then $Y \longrightarrow Z$ is tamely ramified with Galois group H/I.

Let $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$. By (1.1), there exist finitely generated projective $k[H/I]$-modules $P_{1,j}$ and $P_{0,j}$ together with an exact sequence of $k[H/I]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) \longrightarrow P_{1,j} \longrightarrow P_{0,j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.22}$$

By Serre duality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)), k), \\ \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)), k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

In other words, the $k[H/I]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the $k[H/I]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$. So it is enough to show that the latter is uniquely determined by the inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H = Z$ and their fundamental characters.

For $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$, D_j is an effective divisor of positive degree by Proposition 4.1. This implies that $\deg(\Omega_Y(D_j)) > \deg(\Omega_Y) = 2\,g(Y) - 2$, and hence $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) = 0$, for $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$. Since $D_{\#I-1} = 0$, we obtain, using (4.23),

$$\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) = \begin{cases} 0 : 0 \leq j < \#I - 1, \\ k : j = \#I - 1, \end{cases} \tag{4.24}$$

where k has trivial action by H/I, meaning $k = S_0$ in the notation of Remark 3.4.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$ to (4.22) and using (4.23), we obtain an exact sequence of $k[H/I]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \longrightarrow Q_{0,j} \longrightarrow Q_{1,j} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.25}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, where $Q_{i,j} = \mathrm{Hom}_k(P_{i,j}, k)$ is a finitely generated projective and injective $k[H/I]$-module for $i = 0, 1$. By (4.24) and using Remark 3.4, this implies the following:

(a) For $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$, $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is a projective $k[H/I]$-module.
(b) If $j = \#I - 1$ and $I = P$, then $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is a projective $k[H/I]$-module. If $j = \#I - 1$ and p divides $\#(H/I)$, then $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)) \cong S_{\chi^{-1}} \oplus Q_j$, where Q_j is a projective $k[H/I]$-module.

This implies that in all cases, the $k[H/I]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is uniquely determined by its Brauer character. In other words, the character values of $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ on all elements of H/I of p'-order uniquely determine $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ as a $k[H/I]$-module. We now show that these character values are uniquely determined by the (p'-parts of the) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters.

Let $\overline{H} = H/I$, so that $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/H$ is tamely ramified with Galois group $\overline{H}$. Let Z_{ram} be the set of points in Z that ramify in Y. For each $z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}$, let $y(z) \in Y$ and $x(z) \in X$ be points above z so that $x(z)$ lies above $y(z)$. Let $\overline{H}_{y(z)} \leq \overline{H}$ be the inertia group of $y(z)$ inside $\overline{H}$, and let $H_{x(z)} \leq H$ be the inertia group of $x(z)$ inside H. Since $Y \longrightarrow Z$ is tamely ramified, it follows that $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ is a cyclic p'-group. Moreover, if $I_{x(z)} \leq I$ is the inertia group of $x(z)$ inside I, then $H_{x(z)}/I_{x(z)} \cong \overline{H}_{y(z)}$. The fundamental character of the inertia group $H_{x(z)}$ is the character $\theta_{x(z)} : H_{x(z)} \longrightarrow k^* = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{m}_{X,x(z)}/\mathfrak{m}^2_{X,x(z)})$ giving the action of $H_{x(z)}$ on the cotangent space of $x(z)$. More precisely, if $h \in H_{x(z)}$ then

$$\theta_{x(z)}(h) = \frac{h(\pi)}{\pi} \mod (\pi)$$

where $\pi = \pi_{x(z)}$ denotes the local uniformizer at $x(z)$. Note that $\theta_{x(z)}$ factors through the maximal p'-quotient of $H_{x(z)}$, which is isomorphic to $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$. Similarly, we can define

the fundamental character $\theta_{y(z)} : \overline{H}_{y(z)} \longrightarrow k^*$. Since $X/I \longrightarrow X/P$ is étale, we can identify

$$\theta_{y(z)} = \left(\theta_{x(z)}\right)^{\#I_{x(z)}} \tag{4.26}$$

on the maximal p'-quotient of $H_{x(z)}$ which we identify with $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$. Abusing notation, we will use $\theta_{y(z)}$ to also refer to the corresponding one-dimensional $k[\overline{H}_{y(z)}]$-module and to its Brauer character.

For $z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}$, we have that

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j)_{y(z)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y(z)}} k = \left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{\mathrm{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j)}.$$

Following [32, §3], we define $\ell_{y(z),j} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1\}$ by

$$\ell_{y(z),j} \equiv -\mathrm{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j) \mod (\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}). \tag{4.27}$$

For a $k[\overline{H}]$-module M, let $\beta(M)$ denote the Brauer character of M, and let β_0 be the Brauer character of the trivial simple $k[\overline{H}]$-module. By (4.24) and (4.25), we have

$$\beta\left(\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))\right) = \delta_{j,\#I-1}\, \beta_0 + \beta\left(Q_{1,j}\right) - \beta\left(Q_{0,j}\right) \tag{4.28}$$

where $\delta_{j,\#I-1}$ is the usual Kronecker delta. By [32, Thm. 2 and Eq. (*) on p. 120], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta\left(Q_{1,j}\right) - \beta\left(Q_{0,j}\right) = &\sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}-1} \frac{t}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}}\left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^t\right) \\ &- \sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{y(z),j}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}}\left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{-t}\right) \\ &+ n_j\, \beta(k[\overline{H}])\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

for some integer n_j. Since the value of $\beta(k[\overline{H}])$ at any non-trivial element of $\overline{H}$ of p'-order is zero, n_j is determined by the values of all the involved Brauer characters at the identity element $e_{\overline{H}}$ of $\overline{H}$. These values are as follows:

- the value of $\beta(k[\overline{H}])$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $(\#\overline{H})$;
- the value of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}}\left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{\pm t}\right)$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $(\#\overline{H})/(\#\overline{H}_{y(z)})$, for any integer $t \geq 0$;
- by (4.19), (4.20) and (4.22)–(4.25), the value of $\beta\left(Q_{1,j}\right) - \beta\left(Q_{0,j}\right)$ at $e_{\overline{H}}$ is $\dim_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) - \dim_k \mathrm{H}^1(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j)) = \deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1$.

In particular, this implies

$$n_j = \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}}\left(\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1\right) + \sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}}\left(\ell_{y(z),j} - \frac{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1}{2}\right). \tag{4.30}$$

Therefore, it follows by (4.26)–(4.29) that the Brauer character of the module $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-D_j))$ is uniquely determined by the (p'-parts of the) inertia groups of the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$ and their fundamental characters. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 3.2, we can assume $G = H$ is p-hypo-elementary. We write $H = P \rtimes_\chi C$ and use the notation introduced at the beginning of §4. By Proposition 3.5, we can assume k is algebraically closed. In particular, the above results in §4 apply. Let $M = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$. As before, let $I = \langle\tau\rangle$, and, for all integers $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$, let $M^{(j)}$ be the kernel of the action of $\mathcal{J}^j = k[I](\tau - 1)^j$. It follows from Proposition 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 that the $k[H/I]$-module structure of the subquotient modules

$$\frac{M^{(j+1)}}{M^{(j)}}, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1, \tag{4.31}$$

is uniquely determined by the lower ramification groups and the fundamental characters of closed points x of X that are ramified in the cover $X \longrightarrow X/H$. It remains to show that the $k[H/I]$-module structures of the quotients in (4.31) uniquely determine the $k[H]$-module structure of M. This follows basically from the description of the indecomposable $k[H]$-modules in Remark 3.4 (recall that we assume $k = \overline{k}$).

To be a bit more precise, fix integeres a, b with $0 \leq a \leq c - 1$ and $1 \leq b \leq p^n$, and let $n(a, b)$ be the number of indecomposable direct $k[H]$-module summands of M that are isomorphic to $U_{a,b}$, using the notation from Remark 3.4. Let $\#I = p^{n_I}$, and write $b = b' + b'' p^{n - n_I}$ where $0 \leq b' < p^{n-n_I}$, $0 \leq b'' \leq p^{n_I}$. As before, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\chi^i(a) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, c-1\}$ to be such that $S_{\chi^i(a)} \cong S_a \otimes_k S_{\chi^i}$. We obtain:

- If $b' \geq 1$, then $n(a, b)$ equals the number of indecomposable direct $k[H/I]$-module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$ and k-dimension b'.
- If $b' = 0$, then $b'' \geq 1$. In this case, define $n_1(a, b)$ to be the number of indecomposable direct $k[H/I]$-module summands of $M^{(b'')}/M^{(b''-1)}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-(b''-1)}(a)}$ and k-dimension p^{n-n_I}. Also, define $n_2(a, b)$ to be the number of indecomposable direct $k[H/I]$-module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$, where we set $n_2(a, b) = 0$ if $b'' = p^{n_I}$. Then $n(a, b) = n_1(a, b) - n_2(a, b)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

The following remark provides a summary of the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and can be used as an algorithm to determine the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[H]$-modules.

Remark 4.4. We keep the notation introduced at the beginning of §4. Let $M = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$, and let $\#I = p^{n_I}$.

(1) For $0 \leq j \leq \#I-1$, let $D_j = \sum_{y \in Y} d_{y,j}\, y$ be the divisor from Proposition 4.1. For $y \in Y$, let $x \in X$ be a point above it, and let $I_x \leq I$ be its inertia group inside I of order $p^{n(x)}$. Let $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n(x)-1}$ be the jumps in the numbering of the lower ramification subgroups of I_x. For $0 \leq t \leq p^{n(x)} - 1$, write $t = a_{1,t} + a_{2,t}\, p + \cdots + a_{n(x),t}\, p^{n(x)-1}$ with $0 \leq a_{l,t} \leq p-1$. By the proof of Proposition 4.3, we have

$$d_{y,j} = \left\lfloor \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n(x)} p^{n(x)-l}\, (p-1+(p-1-a_{l,t})\, b_{l-1})}{p^{n(x)}} \right\rfloor$$

for all $j \geq 0$ satisfying $p^{i(x)}t \leq j < p^{i(x)}(t+1)$ when $i(x) = n_I - n(x)$ and $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r. By Lemma 4.2, there is a $k[H/I]$-module isomorphism $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)} \cong S_{\chi^{-j}} \otimes_k \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \Omega_Y(D_j))$ for all $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$.

(2) Let $Z = X/H$ and let Z_{ram} be the set of points in Z that ramify in the cover $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/H$. Let $\overline{H} = H/I$. For each $z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}$, choose a point $y(z) \in Y$ above z and a point $x(z) \in X$ above $y(z)$. Let $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ be the inertia group of $y(z)$ inside $\overline{H}$, and identify $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$ with the maximal p'-quotient of the inertia group $H_{x(z)}$. Define $\theta_{x(z)} : H_{x(z)} \longrightarrow k^*$ by

$$\theta_{x(z)}(h) = \frac{h(\pi_{x(z)})}{\pi_{x(z)}} \mod (\pi_{x(z)})$$

for $h \in H_{x(z)}$. Then $\theta_{x(z)}$ factors through $\overline{H}_{y(z)}$. Define

$$\theta_{y(z)} = \left(\theta_{x(z)}\right)^{\#I_{x(z)}}.$$

By abuse of notation, we let $\theta_{y(z)}$ refer to the character $\overline{H}_{y(z)} \longrightarrow k^*$ and also to the corresponding Brauer character. Moreover, define $\ell_{y(z),j} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1\}$ by

$$\ell_{y(z),j} \equiv -\mathrm{ord}_{y(z)}(D_j) \mod (\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}).$$

Let $0 \leq j \leq \#I - 1$. By Lemma 4.2 and the proof of Proposition 4.3, the Brauer character of the k-dual of $S_{\chi^j} \otimes_k (M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ is equal to

$$\delta_{j,\#I-1}\, \beta_0 + \sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}-1} \frac{t}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^t \right)$$

$$- \sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell_{y(z),j}} \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{H}_{y(z)}}^{\overline{H}} \left(\left(\theta_{y(z)}\right)^{-t} \right) + n_j \, \beta(k[\overline{H}])$$

where

$$n_j = \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}} \left(\deg(D_j) + g(Y) - 1\right) + \sum_{z \in Z_{\mathrm{ram}}} \frac{1}{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)}} \left(\ell_{y(z),j} - \frac{\#\overline{H}_{y(z)} - 1}{2} \right).$$

Hence this can be used to determine the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$. Recall that $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ is a projective $k[\overline{H}]$-module for $0 \leq j < \#I - 1$. If $I = P$ then $M^{(\#I)}/M^{(\#I-1)}$ is also a projective $k[\overline{H}]$-module. If p divides $\#\overline{H}$ then $M^{(\#I)}/M^{(\#I-1)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the simple $k[\overline{H}]$-module S_χ and a projective $k[\overline{H}]$-module. Thus, this provides the decomposition of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[\overline{H}]$-modules.

(3) Use the notation from Remark 3.4. Fix integeres a, b with $0 \leq a \leq c-1$ and $1 \leq b \leq p^n$. Write $b = b' + b'' \, p^{n-n_I}$ where $0 \leq b' < p^{n-n_I}$, $0 \leq b'' \leq p^{n_I}$. Then, by the proof of Theorem 1.1, the number $n(a,b)$ of indecomposable direct $k[H]$-module summands of M that are isomorphic to $U_{a,b}$ is given as follows:
   (a) If $b' \geq 1$, then $n(a,b)$ equals the number of indecomposable direct $k[\overline{H}]$-module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$ and k-dimension b'.
   (b) If $b' = 0$, then $b'' \geq 1$. In this case, define $n_1(a,b)$ to be the number of indecomposable direct $k[\overline{H}]$-module summands of $M^{(b'')}/M^{(b''-1)}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-(b''-1)}(a)}$ and k-dimension p^{n-n_I}. Also, define $n_2(a,b)$ to be the number of indecomposable direct $k[\overline{H}]$-module summands of $M^{(b''+1)}/M^{(b'')}$ with socle $S_{\chi^{-b''}(a)}$, where we set $n_2(a,b) = 0$ if $b'' = p^{n_I}$. Then $n(a,b) = n_1(a,b) - n_2(a,b)$.

5. Holomorphic differentials of the modular curves $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p

The geometric theory of modular forms and the associated arithmetic theory of moduli spaces of elliptic curves were studied by Deligne-Rapoport [14], Katz [27] and Katz-Mazur [28] (see also [24]).

Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer, and let $\Gamma(N)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ of level N. The moduli problem associated to $\Gamma(N)$ described in [28, §3.1] coincides with the "naive" level N moduli problem discussed in [27, Chap. 1] when working over the ground ring $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ (see [28, §3.7 and §4.6]). By [27, §1.4] (see also [28, Cor. 4.7.2]), the naive level N moduli problem is representable by a smooth affine curve $\mathcal{M}(N)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(N)$ is finite and flat over the affine j-line $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, j])$, and étale over the open set of the affine j-line where j and $j - 1728$ are invertible (see also [28, Thm. 8.6.8]). The normalization of the projective j-line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}$ in $\mathcal{M}(N)$ is a proper and smooth curve $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ and the ring of global sections of the structure sheaf of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$, where ζ_N is a primitive Nth root of unity. Since the inclusion map $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}, \zeta_N]$ is étale, this makes $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)$ into a

proper smooth curve over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$. Moreover, we obtain as in [28, (9.1.4.5)] that $\mathcal{M}(N)$ is a scheme over the j-line $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N,j])$. By [28, Prop. 9.1.7], the canonical level N moduli problem over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ defined in [28, §9.1 and §9.4] is representable by a scheme $\mathcal{M}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(N)$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N,j]$-schemes. Moreover, by [28, Prop. 9.3.1], we obtain that the normalization $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$ of the projective j-line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]}$ in $\mathcal{M}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)$ as proper smooth $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$-schemes over $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]}$. By [27, §1.4], the curve $\mathcal{M}(N)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$) is a disjoint union of $\varphi(N)$ affine (resp. proper) smooth geometrically connected curves over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ (see also [28, (9.4.3.1)]). In particular, this identifies $\mathcal{M}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$) with any one of these geometrically connected components of $\mathcal{M}(N)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$). Note that by [28, (9.4.1) and (9.4.3.1)], we have a natural right action of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ on the canonical level N moduli problem over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$, and hence on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$.

It follows from the extension of the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)$ in [27, §1.5] (see also [28, Thm. 10.13.11]) that $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N),\Omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)})$ equals the space of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms of level N defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$. By [27, §1.2], each holomorphic weight 2 cusp form of level N defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$ has q-expansion coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ at all cusps. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]\hookrightarrow\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ is étale, the q-expansion principle [28, Cor. 1.6.2] shows that the global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}},\Omega_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}})$ are naturally identified with the $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$-lattice $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N])$ of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms for $\Gamma(N)$ that have q-expansion coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$ at all the cusps. By [28, Cor. 10.13.12] (take Γ to be trivial), it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}$ has geometrically connected fibers that all have the same genus.

If A is a Dedekind domain that contains $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]$, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(N)^{\mathrm{can}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N},\zeta_N]}A$ defines a smooth projective canonical model $\mathcal{X}(N)$ over A of the modular curve associated to $\Gamma(N)$. By flat base change and using [27, §1.6], we see that the global sections $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(N),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}(N)})$ are naturally identified with the A-lattice $\mathcal{S}(A)$ of holomorphic weight 2 cusp forms for $\Gamma(N)$ that have q-expansion coefficients in A at all the cusps. Using flat base change on the residue fields, we moreover obtain that $\mathcal{X}(N)$ has geometrically connected fibers that all have the same genus.

Let now $\ell\neq p$ be prime numbers and assume $\ell\geq 3$. Let F be a number field that is unramified over p and that contains a primitive ℓth root of unity ζ_ℓ. Suppose A is a Dedekind subring of F that has fraction field F and that contains $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{\ell},\zeta_\ell]$. Let $\mathcal{V}(F,p)$ be the set of places v of F over p, and let $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ be the ring of integers of the completion F_v of F at v. We assume A is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$ for all $v\in\mathcal{V}(F,p)$. Let $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ be the smooth projective canonical model over A of the modular curve associated to $\Gamma(\ell)$ constructed above.

For $v\in\mathcal{V}(F,p)$, let $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Define $\mathcal{P}_v=A\cap\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ which is a maximal ideal over p in A, and define $k(v)=A/\mathcal{P}_v$ to be the corresponding residue field. Then

$$\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)=k(v)\otimes_A\mathcal{X}(\ell) \tag{5.1}$$

is a smooth projective curve over $k(v)$, and

$$(A/pA) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell) = \coprod_{v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell).$$

Since $k(v)$ is a finite field for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$, we can identify its algebraic closure $\overline{k(v)}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and hence containing $k(v)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$. Then the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over k, which is denoted by $X_p(\ell)$ in [3], is defined as

$$X_p(\ell) = k \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell) \tag{5.2}$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)$. Since $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ has geometrically connected fibers that all have the same genus, it follows that the injective maps

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})}{\mathcal{P}_v \cdot \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_v(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)})$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})}{p \cdot \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}(F,p)} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}_v(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)})$$

are isomorphisms. When $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ in (5.2) then this last isomorphism gives an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)}) = \mathrm{H}^0(X_p(\ell), \Omega_{X_p(\ell)})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ on $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$.

Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$, let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and let $X_p(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over k. By [3, Thm. 1.1], if $\ell \geq 7$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(X_p(\ell)) = G$ unless $p = 3$ and $\ell \in \{7, 11\}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_3(7)) \cong \mathrm{PGU}(3, \mathbb{F}_3)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X_3(11)) \cong M_{11}$. If $\ell < 7$ then $X_p(\ell)$ has genus 0.

The genus $g(X_p(\ell))$ is given as (see, for example, [3, Cor. 3.2])

$$g(X_p(\ell)) - 1 = (\ell - 1)(\ell + 1)(\ell - 6)/24. \tag{5.3}$$

Remark 5.1. Suppose $\ell \geq 7$, and define $X = X_p(\ell)$. By [30, Prop. 5.5], the genus of X/G is zero, and the lower ramification groups associated to the cover $X \to X/G$ are as follows:

(i) If $p > 3$, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 3 points with inertia groups of order $2, 3$ and ℓ.

(ii) If $p = 3$, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 2 points with inertia groups Σ_3 and $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$, where Σ_3 denotes the symmetric group on three letters. Moreover, in the first case the second ramification group is trivial.

(iii) If $p = 2$, then $X \to X/G$ is branched at 2 points with inertia groups A_4 and $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$, where A_4 denotes the alternating group on four letters. Moreover, in the first case the second ramification group is trivial.

If $p > 3$, the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is tame and the $k[G]$-module structure of the holomorphic differentials $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ can be determined using [32, Thm. 2] or [25, Thm. 3]. If $p = 3$, we will determine in §6.4 the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ using Theorem 1.1. Since the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are not cyclic, the methods of this article are not sufficient to treat the case when $p = 2$.

When the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/G$ is tame, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $p > 3$ and $p \neq \ell \geq 7$. Let $X = X_p(\ell)$, and let k be an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.*

(i) *The $k[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is a direct sum of the form $\overline{P} \oplus \overline{U}$ in which $\overline{P}$ is a projective $k[G]$-module and $\overline{U}$ is either the zero module or a single uniserial non-projective $k[G]$-module that belongs to the principal block of $k[G]$.*

(ii) *Let $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$, let k_1 be a perfect field containing $k(v)$, and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1. Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ where $\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ is as in (5.1). The $k_1[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum of the form $\overline{P}_1 \oplus \overline{U}_1$ in which $\overline{P}_1$ is a projective $k_1[G]$-module and $\overline{U}_1$ is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective $k_1[G]$-module that belongs to the principal block of $k_1[G]$. Moreover, the $k[G]$-module $\overline{U}$ from part (i) is isomorphic to $k \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1$.*

The decompositions of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as in (i) and of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ as in (ii) are both determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$.

Proof. By (1.1), there exist finitely generated projective $k[G]$-modules P_1 and P_0 together with an exact sequence of $k[G]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.4}$$

If p does not divide $\#G$ then (5.4) splits and $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is a projective $k[G]$-module, which means $\overline{U} = \{0\}$. Suppose now that p divides $\#G$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$ is the trivial simple $k[G]$-module k, it follows that, as a $k[G]$-module, $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of a projective $k[G]$-module and the second syzygy $\overline{U}$ of the trivial simple $k[G]$-module k. Recall that $\overline{U}$ is defined as follows (see, e.g., [2, §IV.3]). Let $P(k)$ be the projective $k[G]$-module cover of k, let $R(k)$ be the Jacobson radical of $P(k)$, and let $P(R(k))$ be the projective $k[G]$-module cover of $R(k)$. Then the kernel of the natural

projection from $P(R(k)) \longrightarrow R(k)$ is the second syzygy $\overline{U}$ of the trivial simple $k[G]$-module k. Since syzygy modules of indecomposable non-projective $k[G]$-modules are always indecomposable non-projective (see, e.g., [2, Prop. IV.3.6]), $\overline{U}$ is indecomposable non-projective. The explicit description of the blocks of $k[G]$ in [8] shows moreover that $\overline{U}$ is uniserial. Therefore, $\overline{U}$ is a uniserial non-projective $k[G]$-module belonging to the principal block of $k[G]$. The definition of $\overline{U}$ determines its Brauer character. Since projective $k[G]$-modules are uniquely determined by their Brauer characters, it now follows from [32, Thm. 2 and Eq. (*) on p. 120] that, for all p, the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k[G]$-modules is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. This proves part (i) in addition to the last sentence of the statement of Lemma 5.2 about the decomposition in part (i).

For part (ii), we note that tensoring with k over k_1 sends a projective $k_1[G]$-module cover of a $k_1[G]$-module V_1 to a projective $k[G]$-module cover of $k \otimes_{k_1} V_1$. If p does not divide $\#G$, let $\overline{U}_1 = \{0\}$. Suppose now that p divides $\#G$. If $P(k_1)$ is the projective $k_1[G]$-module cover of the trivial simple $k_1[G]$-module k_1 then $P(k) = k \otimes_{k_1} P(k_1)$, where $P(k)$ is as above. Therefore, if $R(k_1)$ is the Jacobson radical of $P(k_1)$ then $R(k) = k \otimes_{k_1} R(k_1)$. Additionally, if $P(R(k_1))$ is the projective $k_1[G]$-module cover of $R(k_1)$ then this implies that the kernel of the natural projection $P(R(k_1)) \longrightarrow R(k_1)$ is a $k_1[G]$-module $\overline{U}_1$ that satisfies

$$\overline{U} \cong k \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1 \tag{5.5}$$

as $k[G]$-modules. In other words, $\overline{U}$ is realizable over k_1. Since $\overline{U}$ is an indecomposable $k[G]$-module, it follows that $\overline{U}_1$ is an indecomposable $k_1[G]$-module. Note that $\overline{U}_1$ belongs to the principal block of $k_1[G]$.

For all p, let now k_2 be a finite field extension of k_1 such that $k_2 \subseteq k$ and such that all the indecomposable $k[G]$-modules occurring in the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are realizable over k_2. Letting $X_2 = k_2 \otimes_{k_1} X_1$, and using (5.5) if p divides $\#G$, we obtain that the $k_2[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum of a projective $k_2[G]$-module and the indecomposable $k_2[G]$-module $k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \overline{U}_1$ (which is zero if p does not divide $\#G$). Moreover, the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $k_2[G]$-modules is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. We have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$$

as $k_2[G]$-modules, and

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})^{[k_2:k_1]}$$

as $k_1[G]$-modules. Note that the restriction of each projective indecomposable $k_2[G]$-module to a $k_1[G]$-module is a projective $k_1[G]$-module. We can therefore use the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem to obtain part (ii).

To prove the last sentence of the statement of Lemma 5.2 about the decomposition in part (ii), we note that tensoring with k_2 over k_1 sends a projective indecomposable $k_1[G]$-module cover of a simple $k_1[G]$-module S_1 to a projective $k_2[G]$-module cover of $k_2 \otimes_{k_1} S_1$. Therefore, it follows that the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$-modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into indecomposable $k_2[G]$-modules. As noted above, the latter is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $p > 3$. Suppose $p > 3$, and fix $v \in \mathcal{V}(F, p)$. Define $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module

$$M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} = \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \otimes_A \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell), \Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})$$

which is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Note that the residue fields $k(v) = A/\mathcal{P}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}/\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ coincide. Define

$$X_v = \mathcal{X}_v(\ell) = k(v) \otimes_A \mathcal{X}(\ell).$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a lift of the $k(v)[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Let $k = \overline{k(v)} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and let $X = X_p(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo p over k, as in (5.2). In other words, $X = k \otimes_{k(v)} X_v$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) = k \otimes_{k_v} \mathrm{H}^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ as $k[G]$-modules. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) = \{0\}$ for $\ell < 7$, we can assume that $\ell \geq 7$.

By Lemma 5.2(ii), $\mathrm{H}^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ is a direct sum of a projective $k(v)[G]$-module and a $k(v)[G]$-module $\overline{U}_v$, where $\overline{U}_v$ is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective $k(v)[G]$-module that belongs to the principal block of $k(v)[G]$. By the Theorem on Lifting Idempotents (see [11, Thm. (6.7)] and [12, Prop. (56.7)]) and by [5, Prop. 2.6], it follows that $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of a projective $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module and an $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module U that is a lift of $\overline{U}_v$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Moreover, if $\overline{U}_v$ is not zero then U is a single indecomposable non-projective $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module that belongs to the principal block of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$. Since, by Lemma 5.2, the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_v, \Omega_{X_v})$ is determined by the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$, this implies Theorem 1.2 for $p > 3$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.3 when $p > 3$. In particular, we assume now that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to p part of the order of G. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B \oplus U_B$ in which P_B is projective and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. Moreover, we know that U_B is non-zero if and only if B is the principal block. Define $M_B = P_B \oplus U_B$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal ideal over p in A associated to v. In other words, $\mathfrak{a}$ corresponds to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Consider a $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition (1.2) that is G-isotypic, in the sense that it arises from idempotents as in (1.3). Since $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is the direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of the modules M_B and since for different blocks B and B'

there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between M_B and $M_{B'}$, it follows that a G-isotypic $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition (1.2) results in non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if there is a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ such that

$$M_B \neq (M_B \cap e_1 M_B) \oplus (M_B \cap e_2 M_B). \tag{5.6}$$

Now fix a block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$. Since there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between P_B and U_B, there will be orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (5.6) holds if and only if this holds when M_B is replaced by either P_B or U_B. If B has trivial defect groups, then $U_B = \{0\}$ and $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} P_B$ involves only one G-isotypic component, which means that there are no orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (5.6) holds for B. Assume now that B has non-trivial defect groups. If $P_B \neq \{0\}$ then P_B is a direct sum of non-zero projective indecomposable B-modules. When we tensor any non-zero projective indecomposable B-module Q_B with F_v over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$, then the resulting $F_v[G]$-module $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} Q_B$ has at least two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This means that Q_B cannot be equal to the direct sum of the intersections of Q_B with the G-isotypic components of $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} Q_B$. Therefore, there exist orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (5.6) holds when M_B is replaced by P_B. Now suppose $U_B \neq \{0\}$. Then there exist orthogonal idempotents e_1 and e_2 for which (5.6) holds when M_B is replaced by U_B if and only if U_B is not equal to the direct sum of the intersections of U_B with the G-isotypic components of $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$. But the latter occurs if and only if $F_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} U_B$ has two non-isomorphic irreducible constituents. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $p > 3$. □

6. Holomorphic differentials of the modular curves $X(\ell)$ modulo 3

Assume the notation of §5 for $p = 3$. In particular, $\ell \neq 3$ is an odd prime number, k is an algebraically closed field containing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$, and $X = X_3(\ell)$ is the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over k, as in (5.2). Since $X_3(5)$ has genus zero, we assume $\ell \geq 7$. Let $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Our goal is to determine explicitly the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$. In particular, this will prove part (i) of Theorem 1.4. At the end of this section, we will prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.4 in §6.5, and we will then use this in §6.6 to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $p = 3$.

We use that there is precise knowledge about the subgroup structure of $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (see, for example, [22, §II.8]). Define $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 3. \tag{6.1}$$

Write

$$\ell - \epsilon = 3^n \cdot 2 \cdot m \quad \text{such that 3 does not divide } m. \tag{6.2}$$

Let P be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G, so P is cyclic of order 3^n, and let P_1 be the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Let N_1 be the normalizer of P_1 in G. Then N_1 is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$. It follows from the Green correspondence (see Remark 3.3) that the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is uniquely determined by its $k[N_1]$-module structure together with its Brauer character. The $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ can be determined from its $k[H]$-module structure for the 3-hypo-elementary subgroups H of N_1 that are isomorphic to dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, respectively to cyclic groups of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. Note that in all cases N_1 has a unique cyclic subgroup of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. If $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$ then N_1 has a unique conjugacy class of dihedral subgroups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, whereas if $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$ then N_1 has precisely two conjugacy classes of dihedral subgroups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$.

We determine the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ following four key steps:

(1) Determine the lower ramification groups associated to $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \leq G$ such that either Γ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$ or a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, or Γ is a maximal cyclic group of order prime to 3.
(2) Determine the $k[H]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when H is a subgroup of N_1 that is either dihedral of order $2 \cdot 3^n$ or cyclic of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. Use this to determine the $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$.
(3) Determine the Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ as a $k[G]$-module.
(4) Use (2) and (3), together with the Green correspondence to determine the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$.

Step (1) is accomplished in §6.1 and is a computation based on Remark 5.1(ii) and the subgroup structure of $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ as given in [22, §II.8]. Steps 2 and 3 are accomplished in §6.2 and §6.3 using the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, which are summarized in Remark 4.4. For Step (4), which is accomplished in §6.4, we use [8]. Note that we have to distinguish four different cases according to the congruence classes of ℓ modulo 3 and 4. The precise $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ in all four cases can be found in Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4.

6.1. The lower ramification groups associated to $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for certain $\Gamma \leq G$

We first determine the ramification of $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for certain 3-hypo-elementary subgroups Γ of G. We need to consider two cases.

6.1.1. The ramification groups when $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$

In this case there is a unique conjugacy class in G of dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$. We fix subgroups of G as follows:

(a) a cyclic subgroup $V = \langle v \rangle$ of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is odd;

(b) a dihedral group $\Delta = \langle v', s\rangle$ of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m \in V$ is an element of order 3^n and $s \in N_G(V) - V$ is an element of order 2;
(c) a cyclic subgroup $W = \langle w\rangle$ of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$;
(d) a cyclic subgroup R of order ℓ.

Note that $N_G(V)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$, $N_G(W)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$, and $N_G(R)$ is a semidirect product with normal subgroup R and cyclic quotient group of order $(\ell - 1)/2$. We now use Remark 5.1(ii) to determine the lower ramification groups associated to $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta, W, R\}$.

(1) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \Sigma_3$. Let I be the unique subgroup of order 3 in V. Since all subgroups of G isomorphic to Σ_3 are conjugate in G, we can choose a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = \langle I, s\rangle \cong \Sigma_3$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta, W\}$.
Suppose first that Γ contains a subgroup of order 3. Then $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta\}$ and $I \leq \Gamma$ is the unique subgroup of order 3 in Γ. Let $g \in G$. Then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ contains I if and only if $G_x \geq g^{-1}Ig$, which happens if and only if $I = g^{-1}Ig$. In other words, this happens if and only if $g \in N_G(I) = N_G(V)$. Therefore,

$$\#\{gG_x\ ;\ g \in G, I \leq \Gamma_{gx}\} = \#(N_G(V)/G_x) = (\ell - \epsilon)/6.$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta$, we also need to analyze the case when $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3$. This happens if and only if $g \in N_G(V)$ and $gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Delta$ contains an element of order 2. Since each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1}s\tau g^{-1} \in \Delta$. Since each element of order 2 in Δ is conjugate to s by a unique element in $\langle v'\rangle$, this happens if and only if there exists a unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v'\rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in N_G(V)$ and $N_G(V) \cap C_G(s) = \{e, s\} \leq \Delta$, it follows that $g \in N_G(V)$ satisfies $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta$. Thus

$$\#\{gG_x\ ;\ g \in G, \Delta_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3\} = \#\{gG_x\ ;\ g \in \Delta\} = \#(\Delta/G_x) = 3^{n-1}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed}\ ;\ V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} &= (\ell - \epsilon)/6 \ = \ 3^{n-1} \cdot m,\\
\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed}\ ;\ \Delta_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} &= (\ell - \epsilon)/6 - 3^{n-1} \ = \ 3^{n-1} \cdot (m-1),\\
\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed}\ ;\ \Delta_{x'} \cong \Sigma_3\} &= 3^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta$, it can also happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. This happens if and only if $g \in G - N_G(V)$ and $gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Delta$ has order 2. Since each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1}s\tau g^{-1} \in \Delta$. Since each element of order

2 in Δ is conjugate to s by a unique element in $\langle v' \rangle$, this happens if and only if there exists a unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1} g \tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. We have $C_G(s) = N_G(s)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$. Moreover, $C_G(s) \cap N_G(V) = \{e, s\}$, which means that the number of $g \in G - N_G(V)$ such that $\tilde{g}^{-1} g \tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ for unique $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ and $\tau \in I$ is equal to $(\#\langle v' \rangle)(\#C_G(s) - 2)(\#I)$. Hence

$$\#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in G, \Delta_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = (\#\langle v' \rangle)(\#C_G(s) - 2)(\#I)/6$$

meaning

$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ \Delta_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2} - 1 \right).$$

Suppose finally that $\Gamma = W$. Then it can only happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. This happens if and only if $g \in G$ and $gG_x g^{-1} \cap W$ has order 2. Since W has a unique element of order 2 given by $w' = w^{(\ell+\epsilon)/4}$ and each element of order 2 in G_x is conjugate to s by a unique element of I, this happens if and only if there exists a unique element $\tau \in I$ such that $g\tau^{-1} s \tau g^{-1} = w'$. Let $g_0 \in G$ be a fixed element with $g_0 w' g_0^{-1} = s$, then this happens if and only if $g_0 g \tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. Since $C_G(s) = N_G(s)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$ and 3 does not divide $\ell + \epsilon$, it follows that the number of $g \in G$ such that $g_0 g \tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ is equal to $(\ell + \epsilon)(\#I)$. Hence

$$\#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in G, W_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = (\ell + \epsilon)(\#I)/6$$

meaning

$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ W_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = \frac{\ell + \epsilon}{2}.$$

(2) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell$. Since all subgroups of G of order ℓ are conjugate, we can choose a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = R$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_x g^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma = R$. Moreover, R_{gx} is non-trivial if and only if it is equal to R, which happens if and only if $g \in N_G(R)$. Thus

$$\#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in G, R_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = \#(N_G(R)/G_x)$$

meaning

$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ R_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = (\ell - 1)/2.$$

6.1.2. The ramification groups when $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$

In this case $\ell - \epsilon$ is divisible by 12, and m is even. There are precisely two conjugacy classes in G of dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$. We fix subgroups of G as follows:

(a) a cyclic subgroup $V = \langle v \rangle$ of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is even;
(b) two non-conjugate dihedral groups $\Delta_1 = \langle v', s \rangle$ and $\Delta_2 = \langle v', vs \rangle$ of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m$ and $s \in N_G(V) - V$ is an element of order 2;
(c) a cyclic subgroup $W = \langle w \rangle$ of order $(\ell + \epsilon)/2$;
(d) a cyclic subgroup R of order ℓ.

Similarly to §6.1.1, $N_G(V)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$, $N_G(W)$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell + \epsilon$, and $N_G(R)$ is a semidirect product with normal subgroup R and cyclic quotient group of order $(\ell - 1)/2$. We now use Remark 5.1(ii) to determine the lower ramification groups associated to $X \longrightarrow X/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, W, R\}$.

(1) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \Sigma_3$. Let I be the unique subgroup of order 3 in V. There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G isomorphic to Σ_3, which are represented by $\langle I, s \rangle$ and $\langle I, vs \rangle$. Since there is exactly one branch point in X/G such that the ramification points in X above it have inertia groups isomorphic to Σ_3, only one of these two conjugacy classes occurs as inertia groups. Without loss of generality, assume there exists a closed point $x \in X$ such that $G_x = \langle I, s \rangle \cong \Sigma_3$. If $g \in G$ then $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, W\}$. Suppose first that Γ contains a subgroup of order 3. Then $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$ and $I \leq \Gamma$ is the unique subgroup of order 3 in Γ. We argue as in §6.1.1 to see that

$$\#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in G, I \leq \Gamma_{gx}\} = \#(N_G(V)/G_x) = (\ell - \epsilon)/6.$$

If $\Gamma = \Delta_1$, we also need to analyze the case when $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3$. Arguing as in §6.1.1, we see this happens if and only if there exist unique elements $\tau \in I$ and $\tilde{g} \in \langle v' \rangle$ with $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$. If $z = v^{(\ell-\epsilon)/4}$ is the unique non-trivial central element of $N_G(V)$, then $C_G(s) \cap N_G(V) = \{e, s, z, zs\}$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in N_G(V)$, it follows that $g \in N_G(V)$ satisfies $\tilde{g}^{-1}g\tau^{-1} \in C_G(s)$ if and only if $g \in \Delta_1$ or $g \in z\Delta_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in G, (\Delta_1)_{gx} \cong \Sigma_3\} &= \#\{gG_x \ ; \ g \in \Delta_1 \text{ or } g \in z\Delta_1\} \\ &= 2 \cdot \#(\Delta_1/G_x) \ = \ 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} &= (\ell - \epsilon)/6 \ = \ 3^{n-1} \cdot m, \\ \#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} &= (\ell - \epsilon)/6 - 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} \ = \ 3^{n-1} \cdot (m-2), \\ \#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ (\Delta_2)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\} &= (\ell - \epsilon)/6 \ = \ 3^{n-1} \cdot m, \\ \#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} \ ; \ (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \Sigma_3\} &= 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

In all three cases $\Gamma \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$, it can also happen that $\Gamma_{gx} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for some $g \in G$. Arguing similarly as in §6.1.1, we obtain

$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} ;\ V_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = \frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2} = 3^n \cdot m,$$
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} ;\ (\Delta_1)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2} - 2\right),$$
$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} ;\ (\Delta_2)_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\} = 3^n \left(\frac{\ell - \epsilon}{2}\right).$$

Since $\#W$ is not divisible by any divisor of 6ℓ, it follows that $W_{x'} = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x' \in X$.

(2) Let $x \in X$ be a closed point such that $G_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell$. As in §6.1.1, we have that $\Gamma_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ can only be non-trivial if $\Gamma = R$. Moreover,

$$\#\{x' \in X \text{ closed} ;\ R_{x'} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\} = (\ell - 1)/2.$$

6.2. The $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$

Recall that P is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G, P_1 is the unique subgroup of P of order 3, and $N_1 = N_G(P_1)$, so N_1 is a dihedral group of order $\ell - \epsilon$. In this section, we first determine the $k[H]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ for the 3-hypo-elementary subgroups H of N_1 that are isomorphic to dihedral groups of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, respectively to cyclic groups of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2$. We then use this to determine the $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Again, we need to consider two cases.

6.2.1. The $k[N_1]$-module structure when $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$

We use the notation from §6.1.1. In particular, $V = \langle v \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell - \epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is odd, and $\Delta = \langle v', s \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2 \cdot 3^n$, where $v' = v^m$ and $s \in N_G(V) - V$ is an element of order 2. Moreover, let I be the unique subgroup of V of order 3. We use the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, as summarized in Remark 4.4, to determine the $k[H]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ for $H \in \{V, \Delta\}$.

In both cases, it follows from §6.1.1 that the subgroup of the Sylow 3-subgroup $P_H = \langle v' \rangle$ of H generated by the Sylow 3-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points in X is equal to $I = \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau = (v')^{3^{n-1}}$. Moreover, there are precisely $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ closed points x in X with $H_x \geq I$. In particular, the non-trivial lower ramification groups for any closed point $x \in X$ with $I \leq H_x$ are $H_{x,1} = I$ and $H_{x,2} = \{e\}$. Let $Y = X/I$. For $1 \leq t \leq m$, let $y_{t,1}, \ldots, y_{t,3^{n-1}} \in Y$ be points that ramify in X. For $0 \leq j \leq 2$, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}_j$ from Proposition 4.1 is given as $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_Y(D_j)$, where, by the proof of Proposition 4.1 or by step (1) of Remark 4.4,

$$D_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{n-1}} y_{t,i} \ , & j = 0, 1, \\ 0 \qquad\qquad , & j = 2. \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

Since $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ points in $Y = X/I$ ramify in X, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem shows that

$$g(Y) - 1 = 3^{n-1} m \cdot \frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6) - 8}{12}. \tag{6.4}$$

(a) We first consider the case $H = V$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m)$, where 3 does not divide m. By §6.1.1, we have either $V_x = I$ or $V_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$. If $Z = X/V$, then $Y = X/I \longrightarrow X/V = Z$ is unramified with Galois group $\overline{V} = V/I$. Hence Proposition 4.3, or step (2) of Remark 4.4, gives the following in this situation for $M = \mathrm{Res}_V^G \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Let $\gamma(j)$ be the Brauer character of the k-dual of $(M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then

$$\gamma(j) = \delta_{j,2}\, \beta_0 + n_j(V)\, \beta(k[\overline{V}])$$

where

$$n_0(V) \ = \ n_1(V) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{V}} \left(3^{n-1} m + g(Y) - 1\right) = 1 + \frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6) - 8}{12}$$

and

$$n_2(V) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{V}} \left(g(Y) - 1\right) = \frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6) - 8}{12}. \tag{6.5}$$

In particular, $n_1(V) = n_2(V) + 1$. Since β_0 and $\beta(k[\overline{V}])$ are self-dual, we obtain that the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$, for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(M^{(1)}/M^{(0)}) \ &= \ \beta(M^{(2)}/M^{(1)}) = (n_2(V) + 1)\, \beta(k[\overline{V}]), \\ &\qquad \beta(M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}) = \beta_0 + n_2(V)\, \beta(k[\overline{V}]). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation of Remark 3.4, there are m isomorphism classes of simple $k[V]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(V)}, S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$, where we use the superscript (V) to indicate these are simple $k[V]$-modules.
Using the proof of Theorem 1.1, or step (3) of Remark 4.4, it follows that $\mathrm{Res}_V^G \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) = \mathrm{Res}_V^G M$ is a direct sum of n_2 copies of $k[V]$ together with an indecomposable $k[V]$-module of k-dimension $2 \cdot 3^{n-1} + 1$ with socle $S_0^{(V)}$ and $m - 1$ indecomposable $k[V]$-modules of k-dimension $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ with respective socles given by $S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(V)}$ for an indecomposable $k[V]$-module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(V)}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_V^G \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong n_2(V)\, k[V] \oplus U_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(V)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m-1} U_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(V)}$$

where $n_2(V)$ is as in (6.5).

(b) We next consider the case $H = \Delta$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \rtimes_\chi (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. In particular, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta)}$, and $S_\chi \cong S_1^{(\Delta)}$. By §6.1.1, the possible isomorphism types for non-trivial inertia groups Δ_x for closed points $x \in X$ are either Σ_3 or $\mathbb{Z}/3$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Moreover, there are precisely 3^{n-1} (resp. $3^{n-1}(m-1)$, resp. $3^n((\ell+\epsilon)/2-1)$) closed points x in X with $\Delta_x \cong \Sigma_3$ (resp. $\Delta_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/3$, resp. $\Delta_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$). Using the notation introduced above, suppose that the inertia groups of the points in X above the points $y_{1,1},\dots,y_{1,3^{n-1}} \in Y$ are isomorphic to Σ_3, whereas the inertia groups of the points in X above the remaining $y_{t,1},\dots,y_{t,3^{n-1}} \in Y$, for $2 \le t \le m$, are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3$. If $Z = X/\Delta$, then $Y = X/I \longrightarrow X/\Delta = Z$ is tamely ramified with Galois group $\overline{\Delta} = \Delta/I$.

The ramification data of the tame cover $Y = X/I \longrightarrow Z = X/\Delta$ is as follows. There are precisely $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ points in Z that ramify in Y. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $3^{n-1}(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ points in Y lying above these points in Z is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $z_1 \in Z$ be the unique point that ramifies in X with inertia group isomorphic to Σ_3, and let $z_2,\dots,z_{(\ell+\epsilon)/2}$ be the points in Z that ramify in X with inertia group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Define $y_1 = y_{1,1} \in Y$ and let $y_2,\dots,y_{(\ell+\epsilon)/2} \in Y$ be points lying above $z_2,\dots,z_{(\ell+\epsilon)/2}$, respectively. For all $i \in \{1,2,\dots,(\ell+\epsilon)/2\}$, it follows that $\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}$ is a subgroup of order 2 in $\overline{\Delta}$ and the fundamental character θ_{y_i} is the unique non-trivial character of $\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}$. In particular, the Brauer characters $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_i}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_i})$, for $i \in \{1,2,\dots,(\ell+\epsilon)/2\}$, are all equal to the Brauer character of the projective indecomposable $k[\overline{\Delta}]$-module whose socle is non-trivial. Moreover, for $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, we have that $\ell_{y_i,j} \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\ell_{y_i,j} \equiv -\mathrm{ord}_{y_i}(D_j) \mod (\#\overline{\Delta}_{y_i})$ is only non-zero for $(i,j) \in \{(1,0),(1,1)\}$. Let $M = \mathrm{Res}_\Delta^G \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, and fix $j \in \{0,1,2\}$. Following Proposition 4.3, or step (2) of Remark 4.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of $S_{\chi^j} \otimes_k (M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)})$ is equal to

$$\gamma(j) = \delta_{j,2}\,\beta_0 + \left(\frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}\right) \mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) - (1-\delta_{j,2})\,\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) + n_j(\Delta)\,\beta(k[\overline{\Delta}])$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n_0(\Delta) \;=\; n_1(\Delta) &= \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Delta}}\left(3^{n-1}m + g(Y) - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ell+\epsilon}{2}-1\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{m+1}{2} + \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{8} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$n_2(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\#\overline{\Delta}}\,(g(Y)-1) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ell+\epsilon}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{8}. \quad (6.6)$$

In particular,

$$n_1(\Delta) = n_2(\Delta) + (m+1)/2.$$

Let $P(\overline{\Delta},0)$ (resp. $P(\overline{\Delta},1)$) be a projective indecomposable $k[\overline{\Delta}]$-module with trivial (resp. non-trivial) socle. Then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{\Delta}_{y_1}}^{\overline{\Delta}}(\theta_{y_1}) = \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1))$ and $\beta(k[\overline{\Delta}]) = \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) + \beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1))$. Since β_0, $\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0))$ and $\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1))$ are self-dual, we obtain that the Brauer character of $M^{(j+1)}/M^{(j)}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(M^{(1)}/M^{(0)}) &= \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) \\
&\quad + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1 + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)), \\
\beta(M^{(2)}/M^{(1)}) &= \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) \\
&\quad + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1 + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)), \\
\beta(M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}) &= \beta_0 + n_2(\Delta)\,\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) \\
&= (n_2(\Delta)+1)\,\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},0)) \\
&\quad + \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1\right)\beta(P(\overline{\Delta},1)) + \beta(S_\chi),
\end{aligned}$$

where we rewrote the Brauer character of $M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}$ to reflect the fact that, by step (2) of Remark 4.4, the quotient $M^{(3)}/M^{(2)}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the simple $k[\overline{\Delta}]$-module S_χ and a projective $k[\overline{\Delta}]$-module. As above, let $S_0^{(\Delta)}, S_1^{(\Delta)}$ be representatives of the 2 isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta]$-modules, such that $S_\chi \cong S_1^{(\Delta)}$.

Using the proof of Theorem 1.1, or step (3) of Remark 4.4, it follows that $\mathrm{Res}_\Delta^G \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) = \mathrm{Res}_\Delta^G M$ is a direct sum of $n_2(\Delta)+1$ copies of the projective $k[\Delta]$-module with socle $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $n_2(\Delta)+\frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}-1$ copies of the projective $k[\Delta]$-module with socle $S_1^{(\Delta)}$ together with an indecomposable $k[\Delta]$-module of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ with socle $S_1^{(\Delta)}$ and $(m-1)/2$ indecomposable $k[\Delta]$-modules of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ with socle $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $(m-1)/2$ indecomposable $k[\Delta]$-modules of k-dimension $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ with socle $S_1^{(\Delta)}$. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta]$-module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta)}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_\Delta^G \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong (n_2(\Delta)+1)\,U_{0,3^n}^{(\Delta)} \oplus \left(n_2(\Delta) + \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4} - 1\right)U_{1,3^n}^{(\Delta)} \oplus$$

$$U^{(\Delta)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) U^{(\Delta)}_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right) U^{(\Delta)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$$

where $n_2(\Delta)$ is as in (6.6).

We now want to use (a) and (b) above to determine the $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Using the notation introduced in §6.1.1, $P=\langle v'\rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and $P_1=I$ is the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Hence $N_1=N_G(P)=\langle v,s\rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell-\epsilon=2\cdot 3^n\cdot m$. There are $2+(m-1)/2$ isomorphism classes of simple $k[N_1]$-modules. These are represented by 2 one-dimensional $k[N_1]$-modules $S_0^{(N_1)}$ and $S_1^{(N_1)}$, which are the inflations of the two simple $k[\Delta]$-modules $S_0^{(\Delta)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta)}$, together with $(m-1)/2$ two-dimensional simple $k[N_1]$-modules $\widetilde{S}_1^{(N_1)},\dots,\widetilde{S}_{(m-1)/2}^{(N_1)}$, where $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}=\mathrm{Ind}_V^{N_1} S_t^{(V)}$ for $1\le t\le (m-1)/2$. The indecomposable $k[N_1]$-modules are uniserial, where the projective modules all have length 3^n. For $\{i,j\}=\{0,1\}$, the projective cover of $S_i^{(N_1)}$ has ascending composition factors

$$S_i^{(N_1)}, S_j^{(N_1)}, S_i^{(N_1)}, \dots, S_j^{(N_1)}, S_i^{(N_1)}.$$

For $t\in\{1,\dots,(m-1)/2\}$, the composition factors of the projective cover of $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$ are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. For $i\in\{0,1\}$, we write $U_{i,b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$-module of k-dimension b whose socle is isomorphic to $S_i^{(N_1)}$. For $t\in\{1,\dots,(m-1)/2\}$, we write $\widetilde{U}_{t,b}^{(N_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$-module of k-dimension $2b$ whose socle is isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}_t^{(N_1)}$. By (a) and (b) above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong{}& \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-9)+16}{24}\right) U_{0,3^n}^{(N_1)} \oplus \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-3)-32}{24}\right) U_{1,3^n}^{(N_1)} \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8}{12}\right) \widetilde{U}_{t,3^n}^{(N_1)} \oplus \\ & U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(N_1)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(N_1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

6.2.2. The $k[N_1]$-module structure when $\ell\equiv\epsilon \mod 4$

We use the notation from §6.1.2. In particular, $V=\langle v\rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $(\ell-\epsilon)/2=3^n\cdot m$, where m is even, and $\Delta_1=\langle v',s\rangle$ and $\Delta_2=\langle v',vs\rangle$ are two non-conjugate dihedral groups of order $2\cdot 3^n$, where $v'=v^m$ and $s\in N_G(V)-V$ is an element of order 2. Moreover, let I be the unique subgroup of V of order 3. Similarly to §6.2.1, we use the key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, as summarized in Remark 4.4, to determine the $k[H]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ for $H\in\{V,\Delta_1,\Delta_2\}$.

In all cases, it follows from §6.1.2 that the subgroup of the Sylow 3-subgroup $P_H=\langle v'\rangle$ of H generated by the Sylow 3-subgroups of the inertia groups of all closed points in X is equal to $I=\langle\tau\rangle$, where $\tau=(v')^{3^{n-1}}$. Moreover, there are precisely $3^{n-1}\cdot m$ closed

points x in X with $H_x \geq I$. Let $Y = X/I$. For $1 \leq t \leq m$, let $y_{t,1}, \ldots, y_{t,3^{n-1}} \in Y$ be points that ramify in X. For $0 \leq j \leq 2$, we obtain that $\mathcal{L}_j$ from Proposition 4.1 is given as $\mathcal{L}_j = \Omega_Y(D_j)$, where D_j has the same form as in (6.3). Since $3^{n-1} \cdot m$ points in $Y = X/I$ ramify in X, the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem shows that $g(Y)$ satisfies the same equation as in (6.4).

The ramification data is slightly more difficult than in §6.2.1, but the arguments are very similar. We therefore just list the final answers for each $H \in \{V, \Delta_1, \Delta_2\}$.

(a) We first consider the case $H = V$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m)$, where 3 does not divide m. Using the notation of Remark 3.4, there are m isomorphism classes of simple $k[V]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(V)}, S_1^{(V)}, \ldots, S_{m-1}^{(V)}$, where we use the superscript (V) to indicate these are simple $k[V]$-modules. Moreover, the projective indecomposable $k[V]$-modules all have length 3^n. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(V)}$ for an indecomposable $k[V]$-module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(V)}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_V^G \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong n_2(V)\, k[V] \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2} U_{2t-1,3^n}^{(V)} \oplus U_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(V)} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m-1} U_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(V)}$$

where

$$n_2(V) = \frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-14}{12}.$$

(b) We next consider the case $H = \Delta_1$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \rtimes_\chi (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. In particular, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta_1]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta_1)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta_1)}$, and $S_\chi \cong S_1^{(\Delta_1)}$. Moreover, the projective indecomposable $k[\Delta_1]$-modules all have length 3^n. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta_1)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta_1]$-module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta_1)}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\Delta_1}^G \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong (n_2(\Delta_1)+1)\, U_{0,3^n}^{(\Delta_1)} \oplus \left(n_2(\Delta_1) + \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{4} - 1\right) U_{1,3^n}^{(\Delta_1)} \oplus U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}^{(\Delta_1)} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2}\right) U_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_1)} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) U_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}^{(\Delta_1)}$$

where

$$n_2(\Delta_1) = \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}.$$

(c) Finally, we consider the case $H = \Delta_2$, so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3^n) \rtimes_\chi (\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Again, there are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[\Delta_2]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(\Delta_2)}$ and $S_1^{(\Delta_2)}$, and $S_\chi \cong S_1^{(\Delta_2)}$. Moreover, the projective indecomposable $k[\Delta_2]$-modules all have length 3^n. Writing $U_{a,b}^{(\Delta_2)}$ for an indecomposable $k[\Delta_2]$-module of k-dimension b with socle isomorphic to $S_a^{(\Delta_2)}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}^G_{\Delta_2}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong (n_2(\Delta_2)+1)\,U^{(\Delta_2)}_{0,3^n} \oplus \left(n_2(\Delta_2)+\frac{\ell-\epsilon}{4}-1\right)U^{(\Delta_2)}_{1,3^n} \oplus U^{(\Delta_2)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2}-1\right)U^{(\Delta_2)}_{0,2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)U^{(\Delta_2)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$$

where

$$n_2(\Delta_2) = \frac{m((\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-8)}{24} - \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}.$$

We now want to use (a), (b) and (c) above to determine the $k[N_1]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Using the notation introduced in §6.1.2, $P=\langle v'\rangle$ is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G and $P_1 = I$ is the unique subgroup of P of order 3. Hence $N_1 = N_G(P) = \langle v, s\rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $\ell-\epsilon = 2\cdot 3^n\cdot m$, where m is even. There are $4+(m/2-1)$ isomorphism classes of simple $k[N_1]$-modules. These are represented by 4 one-dimensional $k[N_1]$-modules $S^{(N_1)}_{0,0}$, $S^{(N_1)}_{0,1}$, $S^{(N_1)}_{1,0}$ and $S^{(N_1)}_{1,1}$ such that $S^{(N_1)}_{i_1,i_2}$ restricts to $S^{(\Delta_1)}_{i_1}$ and to $S^{(\Delta_2)}_{i_2}$ for $i_1,i_2\in\{0,1\}$, together with $(m/2-1)$ two-dimensional simple $k[N_1]$-modules $\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_1,\dots,\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_{(m/2-1)}$, where $\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_t = \mathrm{Ind}^{N_1}_V S^{(V)}_t$ for $1\le t\le (m/2-1)$. The indecomposable $k[N_1]$-modules are uniserial, where the projective modules all have length 3^n. If $\{i,j\}=\{0,1\}$ then the projective cover of $S^{(N_1)}_{i,i}$ has ascending composition factors

$$S^{(N_1)}_{i,i}, S^{(N_1)}_{j,j}, S^{(N_1)}_{i,i}, \dots, S^{(N_1)}_{j,j}, S^{(N_1)}_{i,i}$$

and the projective cover of $S^{(N_1)}_{i,j}$ has ascending composition factors

$$S^{(N_1)}_{i,j}, S^{(N_1)}_{j,i}, S^{(N_1)}_{i,j}, \dots, S^{(N_1)}_{j,i}, S^{(N_1)}_{i,j}.$$

For $t\in\{1,\dots,(m/2-1)\}$, the composition factors of the projective cover of $\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_t$ are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_t$. For $i_1,i_2\in\{0,1\}$, we write $U^{(N_1)}_{i_1,i_2,b}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$-module of k-dimension b whose socle is isomorphic to $S^{(N_1)}_{i_1,i_2}$. For $t\in\{1,\dots,(m/2-1)\}$, we write $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,b}$ for an indecomposable $k[N_1]$-module of k-dimension $2b$ whose socle is isomorphic to $\widetilde{S}^{(N_1)}_t$. By (a), (b) and (c) above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)\\
&\quad\cong \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-14}{24}-\frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}+1\right)U^{(N_1)}_{0,0,3^n} \oplus \left\lfloor\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-2}{24}\right\rfloor U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,3^n} \oplus\\
&\qquad \left\lfloor\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-2}{24}\right\rfloor U^{(N_1)}_{1,0,3^n} \oplus \left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-14}{24}+\frac{\ell-\epsilon}{8}-1\right)U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,3^n} \oplus\\
&\qquad \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lfloor (m-2)/4\rfloor}\left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-14}{12}\right)\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{2t,3^n} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\lfloor m/4\rfloor}\left(\frac{(\ell+\epsilon)(\ell-6)-2}{12}\right)\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{2t-1,3^n} \oplus
\end{aligned}$$

$$U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \tag{6.8}$$

where, as before, $\lfloor r \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that is less than or equal to a given rational number r.

6.3. The Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ *as a* $k[G]$*-module*

In this section, we compute the values of the Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ as a $k[G]$-module. We use the notation from the previous two sections, §6.1 and §6.2. We determine the values of the Brauer character $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ for all elements $g \in G$ that are 3-regular, i.e. whose order is not divisible by 3. By [22, §II.8], the elements of order ℓ fall into 2 conjugacy classes. Let r_1 and r_2 be representatives of these conjugacy classes. Since all subgroups of G of order ℓ are conjugate, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $R = \langle r_1 \rangle = \langle r_2 \rangle$. In fact, if $1 \le \mu \le \ell - 1$ is such that $\mathbb{F}_\ell^* = \langle \mu \rangle$ then we can choose $r_2 = r_1^\mu$. Moreover, for $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $1 \le a \le (\ell-1)/2$, we have that $(r_i)^{a^2}$ is conjugate to r_i. All elements $g \in G$ of a given order $\neq \ell$ lie in a single conjugacy class. We first determine the value of the Brauer character $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2.

6.3.1. The Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ *at elements of order* ℓ

By §6.1.1 and §6.1.2, we have either $R_x = R$ or $R_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$, and there are precisely $(\ell-1)/2$ closed points x in X with $R_x = R$. In particular, this means that $X \longrightarrow X/R$ is tamely ramified. Letting $Y = X$ and $Z = X/R$, we have $g(Y) - 1 = g(X) - 1$ as in (5.3).

There are precisely $(\ell-1)/2$ points in Z that ramify in $Y = X$. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $(\ell-1)/2$ points in $Y = X$ lying above these points in Z is equal to R. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_{(\ell-1)/2} \in Z$ be the points in Z that ramify in $Y = X$ with inertia group equal to R. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_{(\ell-1)/2}$ be points lying above $z_1, \ldots, z_{(\ell-1)/2}$, respectively. Following Proposition 4.3, or step (2) of Remark 4.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of $\mathrm{Res}^G_R \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is equal to

$$\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{t}{\ell} (\theta_{y_i})^t + n_0(R)\, \beta(k[R])$$

where

$$n_0(R) \;=\; \frac{1}{\#R}\,(g(X)-1) + \frac{\ell-1}{2\ell}\left(-\frac{\ell-1}{2}\right) = \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell-11)}{24}.$$

Suppose $\theta_{y_1}(r_1) = \xi_\ell$ is a primitive ℓth root of unity. Then it follows that

$$\{\theta_{y_i}(r_1)\ ;\ 1 \le i \le (\ell-1)/2\} = \{(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}\ ;\ 1 \le a \le (\ell-1)/2\}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1}\frac{t}{\ell}\,(\theta_{y_i})^t(r_1)=\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1}t\,(\xi_\ell)^{a^2t}=\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\frac{1}{(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}-1}. \tag{6.9}$$

(a) If $\ell\equiv 1 \mod 4$ then -1 is a square mod ℓ. Since

$$\frac{1}{(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}-1}+\frac{1}{(\xi_\ell)^{-a^2}-1}=\frac{(\xi_\ell)^{-a^2}-1+(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}-1}{((\xi_\ell)^{a^2}-1)((\xi_\ell)^{-a^2}-1)}=-1$$

(6.9) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1}\frac{t}{\ell}\,(\theta_{y_i})^t(r_1)=-\frac{\ell-1}{4}.$$

Therefore, since $\theta_{y_i}(r_2)=\theta_{y_i}(r_1^\mu)$, we get

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_1)=1-\frac{\ell-1}{4}=\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_2). \tag{6.10}$$

(b) Next suppose $\ell\equiv -1 \mod 4$. Using Gauss sums, we see that there exists a choice of square root of $-\ell$, say $\sqrt{-\ell}$, such that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}(\xi_\ell)^{a^2}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}\quad\text{and}\quad\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}(\xi_\ell)^{\mu a^2}=\frac{-1-\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}. \tag{6.11}$$

Letting $\square_\ell\subset\{1,\dots,\ell-1\}$ be the set of squares in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^*$, it follows that $\{\ell-t\,;\ t\in\square_\ell\}$ is the set of non-squares in $\mathbb{F}_\ell^*$, since -1 is not a square mod ℓ. Then (6.9) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}t\,(\xi_\ell)^{a^2t}&=\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t\in\square_\ell}t\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}\right)\ +\ \frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t\in\square_\ell}(\ell-t)\left(\frac{-1-\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}\right)\\&=\frac{\sqrt{-\ell}}{\ell}\sum_{t\in\square_\ell}t\ -\ \frac{\ell-1}{4}\left(1+\sqrt{-\ell}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Let $h_\ell=h_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})}$ be the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell})$, and let χ be the quadratic character mod ℓ. By [38, Ex. 4.5], we have

$$\ell\,h_\ell=-2\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\chi(a)\,a+\ell\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2}\chi(a)=-\sum_{a=1}^{\ell-1}\chi(a)\,a$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t\in\square_\ell} t = \frac{\ell-1}{4} - \frac{h_\ell}{2}.$$

Therefore, (6.9) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{t=0}^{\ell-1}\sum_{a=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} t\,(\xi_\ell)^{a^2 t} = -\frac{\ell-1}{4} - \frac{h_\ell}{2}\sqrt{-\ell}.$$

Using $\theta_{y_i}(r_2) = \theta_{y_i}(r_1^\mu)$ and (6.11), we get

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_1) = 1 - \frac{\ell-1}{4} - \frac{h_\ell}{2}\sqrt{-\ell}; \tag{6.12}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(r_2) = 1 - \frac{\ell-1}{4} + \frac{h_\ell}{2}\sqrt{-\ell}. \tag{6.13}$$

6.3.2. The Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ *when* $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$

We use the notation from §6.1.1. In particular, v is an element of order $(\ell-\epsilon)/2 = 3^n\cdot m$, where m is odd, s is an element of order 2, and w is an element of order $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$. Let $v'' = v^{3^n}$ be of order m. Then a full set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of 3-regular elements of G is given by

$$\{e, r_1, r_2, s, (v'')^i, w^j\}$$

where $1 \le i \le (m-1)/2$ and $1 \le j < (\ell+\epsilon)/4$.

From §6.3.1, we know the values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2. The other values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ are as follows:

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(e) = 1 + \frac{(\ell^2-1)(\ell-6)}{24}, \tag{6.14}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(s) = 1 - \frac{\ell+\epsilon}{4}, \tag{6.15}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))((v'')^i) = 1, \tag{6.16}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(w^j) = 1, \tag{6.17}$$

when $(v'')^i \ne e$ and $w^j \notin \{e,s\}$. Note that we obtain the values in (6.14)–(6.16) from §6.2.1.

We next consider the case $W = \langle w\rangle$. By §6.1.1, we have either $W_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $W_x = \{e\}$ for all closed points $x \in X$, and there are precisely $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ closed points x in X with $W_x \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular, this means that $X \longrightarrow X/W$ is tamely ramified. Letting $Y = X$ and $Z = X/W$, we have $g(Y) - 1 = g(X) - 1$ as in (5.3).

There are precisely 2 points in Z that ramify in $Y = X$. Moreover, the inertia group of each of the $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ points in $Y = X$ lying above these points in Z is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ be the points in Z that ramify in $Y = X$ with inertia group isomorphic to

$\mathbb{Z}/2$. Let y_1, y_2 be points lying above z_1, z_2, respectively. Since W has a unique subgroup of order 2, it follows that $W_{y_1} = W_{y_2}$ and the fundamental character $\theta_{y_1} = \theta_{y_2}$ is the unique non-trivial character of $W_{y_1} = W_{y_2}$. Following Proposition 4.3, or step (2) of Remark 4.4, we obtain that the Brauer character of the k-dual of $\mathrm{Res}^G_W \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ is equal to

$$\beta_0 + \mathrm{Ind}^W_{W_{y_1}}(\theta_{y_1}) + n_0(W)\,\beta(k[W])$$

where

$$n_0(W) \;=\; \frac{1}{\#W}\;(g(Y)-1) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{(\ell-\epsilon)(\ell-6)-6}{12}.$$

Note that β_0, $\mathrm{Ind}^W_{W_{y_1}}(\theta_{y_1})$ and $\beta(k[W])$ are self-dual. Since $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ is not divisible by 3, $k[W]$ is semisimple. There are $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$ isomorphism classes of simple $k[W]$-modules, represented by $S_0^{(W)}, S_1^{(W)}, \ldots, S_{(\ell+\epsilon)/2-1}^{(W)}$, where we use the superscript (W) to indicate these are simple $k[W]$-modules. We obtain

$$\beta(\mathrm{Res}^G_W \mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)) = \beta(S_0^{(W)}) + \sum_{t=1}^{(\ell+\epsilon)/4} \beta(S_{2t-1}^{(W)}) + n_0(W)\,\beta(k[W]).$$

This gives the values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ in (6.17).

6.3.3. The Brauer character of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ *when* $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$

We use the notation from §6.1.2. In particular, v is an element of order $(\ell-\epsilon)/2 = 3^n \cdot m$, where m is even, s is an element of order 2, and w is an element of order $(\ell+\epsilon)/2$. Let $v'' = v^{3^n}$ be of order m. Then a full set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of 3-regular elements of G is given by

$$\{e, r_1, r_2, s, (v'')^i, w^j\}$$

where $1 \le i < m/2$ and $1 \le j \le \lfloor(\ell+\epsilon)/4\rfloor$.

From §6.3.1, we know the values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ at r_1 and r_2. The other values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))$ are as follows:

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(e) = 1 + \frac{(\ell^2-1)(\ell-6)}{24}, \tag{6.18}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(s) = 1 - \frac{\ell-\epsilon}{4}, \tag{6.19}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))((v'')^i) = 1, \tag{6.20}$$

$$\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X))(w^j) = 1, \tag{6.21}$$

when $(v'')^i \notin \{e, s\}$ and $w^j \neq e$. Note that we obtain the values in (6.18)–(6.20) from §6.2.2. Since the order of W is not divisible by any divisor of 6ℓ, we also obtain the values of $\beta(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X))$ in (6.21).

6.4. *The $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$*

In this section, we determine the $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$, using §6.1–§6.3 together with [8]. We have to consider 4 cases.

6.4.1. The $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$

This is the case when $\epsilon = -1$ and $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. By (6.7), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are given by

$$U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}. \tag{6.22}$$

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands, using the information in [8, §IV]. There are $1+(m-1)/2$ blocks of $k[G]$ of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_0 and $(m-1)/2$ blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m-1)/2}$, and there are $1+(\ell-1)/4$ blocks of $k[G]$ of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[G]$-modules that belong to B_0, represented by the trivial simple $k[G]$-module T_0 and a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_0$ of k-dimension $\ell - 1$. For each $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m-1)/2\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple $k[G]$-modules belonging to B_t, represented by a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_t$ of k-dimension $\ell - 1$. Note that the Brauer character of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $0 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}^*_t$, $0 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, with the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{\delta}^*_t(e) = \ell - 1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_1) = -1 = \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(s) = 0 = \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(w^j); \\ &\widetilde{\delta}^*_t((v'')^i) = -((\xi_m)^{ti} + (\xi_m)^{-ti}) \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive mth root of unity.

To determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$, we use that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of $k[G]$ and $k[N_1]$. This allows us to use the results from [2, §X.1] on almost split sequences to be able to detect the Green correspondents. If $n = 1$ then $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = U^{(N_1)}_{1,3^n}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$-module. If $n > 1$ then the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ belongs to B_0. Since the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_0$ is T_0, it follows that the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_1$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $(3^n - 1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$. We now follow the irreducible homomorphisms in the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of B_0 starting with the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_1$ to arrive, after $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ such morphisms, at a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length

$(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$. This must be the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$. For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ belongs to the block B_t. Since $\ell - 1 \equiv -2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length 3^{n-1} whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$.

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$. Since $(3^{n-1}-1)/2 = 0$ when $n = 1$, we do not need to distinguish between the cases $n = 1$ and $n > 1$. Using (6.10), (6.14)–(6.17) and (6.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\beta}(e) &= 1 + \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell^2-7\ell+4)}{24};\\
\widetilde{\beta}(r_i) &= 1 - \frac{\ell+1}{6} \qquad (i = 1, 2);\\
\widetilde{\beta}(s) &= 1 - \frac{\ell-1}{4};\\
\widetilde{\beta}(w^j) &= 1 \qquad (w^j \notin \{e, s\});\\
\widetilde{\beta}((v'')^i) &= 0 \qquad ((v'')^i \neq e).
\end{aligned}$$

Let Ψ_0 be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G, T_0)$ of T_0, and let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $0 \leq t \leq (m-1)/2$. We have $1 + (\ell-1)/4$ additional Brauer characters of projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules that are also irreducible: γ_1, γ_2 and $(\ell-5)/4$ characters η^G that are constructed from characters η of W with values

	e	r_1	r_2	s	w^j $(w^j \notin \{e,s\})$	$(v'')^i$ $((v'')^i \neq e)$
γ_1	$\frac{\ell+1}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{\ell}}{2}$	$\frac{1-\sqrt{\ell}}{2}$	$(-1)^{(\ell-1)/4}$	$(-1)^j$	0
γ_2	$\frac{\ell+1}{2}$	$\frac{1-\sqrt{\ell}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{\ell}}{2}$	$(-1)^{(\ell-1)/4}$	$(-1)^j$	0
η^G	$\ell+1$	1	1	$\eta(s)+\overline{\eta}(s)$	$\eta(w^j)+\overline{\eta}(w^j)$	0

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules by $P(G, \gamma_1)$, $P(G, \gamma_2)$ and $P(G, \eta^G)$, respectively.

If Φ_E is the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover of the simple $k[G]$-module E and $\phi_{E'}$ is the Brauer character of the simple $k[G]$-module E', then

$$\langle \Phi_E, \phi_{E'} \rangle = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{x \in G'_3} \Phi_E(x^{-1}) \phi_{E'}(x)$$

is the Kronecker symbol $\delta_{E,E'}$, where G'_3 denotes the 3-regular elements of G. Since

$$\Phi_E = \sum_{E'} C_{E',E}\, \phi_{E'}$$

where $C_{E',E}$ denotes the (E',E)th entry of the Cartan matrix and E' ranges over the simple $k[G]$-modules, we can find the multiplicities of Φ_E in $\widetilde{\beta}$ by computing $\langle \Phi_E, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle$ for all simple $k[G]$-modules E. For Φ_E belonging to blocks of maximal defect, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Psi_0, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle &= \frac{\ell-5}{12};\\
\langle \widetilde{\Psi}_0, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle &= \frac{(\ell-5)(3^n+1)}{24};\\
\langle \widetilde{\Psi}_t, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle &= \frac{(\ell-5)3^n}{12} \qquad (1 \le t \le (m-1)/2).
\end{aligned}$$

For Φ_E belonging to blocks of defect 0, we get:

$$\langle \gamma_i, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell-17}{24} & : \quad \ell \equiv 1 \mod 8 \\ \frac{\ell-5}{24} & : \quad \ell \equiv 5 \mod 8 \end{cases} \qquad (i=1,2); \tag{6.24}$$

$$\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell-5}{12} & : \quad \eta(s) = -1 \\ \frac{\ell-17}{12} & : \quad \eta(s) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.25}$$

The Cartan matrix has the following form (see [8, §IV]):

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 1 & & & & & & & \\
1 & \frac{3^n+1}{2} & & & & & & & \\
 & & 3^n & & & & & & \\
 & & & \ddots & & & & & \\
 & & & & 3^n & & & & \\
 & & & & & 1 & & & \\
 & & & & & & \ddots & & \\
 & & & & & & & 1 &
\end{pmatrix}$$

where the 2×2 block in the top left corner corresponds to the principal block B_0, the diagonal entries 3^n correspond to the blocks $B_1, \dots, B_{(m-1)/2}$, and the remaining diagonal entries 1 correspond to the $1 + (\ell-1)/4$ additional blocks of defect 0. This implies that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \sum_{t=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell-5}{12}\, \widetilde{\Psi}_t + \langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, \gamma_1 + \langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, \gamma_2 + \sum_{\eta} \langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, \eta^G.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 6.4.1. *When $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$, let $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}$ (resp. $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}$) denote the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ (resp. 3^{n-1}) with*

composition factors all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$ *(resp.* $\widetilde{T}_t$*). In particular, if* $n = 1$ *then* $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2} = 0$*. As a* $k[G]$*-module,*

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong \bigoplus_{t=0}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell-5}{12}\, P(G,\widetilde{T}_t) \oplus \langle \gamma_1,\widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, P(G,\gamma_1) \oplus \langle \gamma_2,\widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, P(G,\gamma_2) \oplus$$

$$\bigoplus_{\eta} \langle \eta^G,\widetilde{\beta}\rangle\, P(G,\eta^G) \oplus U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}$$

where $\langle \gamma_i,\widetilde{\beta}\rangle$ *and* $\langle \eta^G,\widetilde{\beta}\rangle$ *are as in* (6.24) *and* (6.25)*.*

6.4.2. The $k[G]$*-module structure of* $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ *when* $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ *and* $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$

This is the case when $\epsilon = 1$ and $\ell \equiv -\epsilon \mod 4$. By (6.7), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ are again given as in (6.22).

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands, using the information in [8, §V]. There are $1+(m-1)/2$ blocks of $k[G]$ of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_0 and $(m-1)/2$ blocks $B_1,\dots,B_{(m-1)/2}$, and there are $1+(\ell+1)/4$ blocks of $k[G]$ of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[G]$-modules that belong to B_0, represented by the trivial simple $k[G]$-module T_0 and a simple $k[G]$-module T_1 of k-dimension ℓ. For each $t \in \{1,\dots,(m-1)/2\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple $k[G]$-modules belonging to B_t, represented by a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_t$ of k-dimension $\ell+1$. Let $\widetilde{T}_0 = T_0 \oplus T_1$. Note that the Brauer character of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $0 \le t \le (m-1)/2$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}^*_t$, $0 \le t \le (m-1)/2$, with the following values:

$$\widetilde{\delta}^*_t(e) = \ell+1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_1) = 1 = \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(s) = 0 = \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(w^j); \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t((v'')^i) = (\xi_m)^{ti} + (\xi_m)^{-ti} \tag{6.26}$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive mth root of unity.

As in §6.4.1, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of $k[G]$ and $k[N_1]$. If $n = 1$ then $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = U^{(N_1)}_{1,3^n}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$-module. If $n > 1$ then the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ belongs to B_0. Note that the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_0$ (resp. $S^{(N_1)}_1$) is T_0 (resp T_1). This means that the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ is the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ whose socle is isomorphic to T_1. For $1 \le t \le (m-1)/2$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ belongs to the block B_t. Since $\ell+1 \equiv 2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$.

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. For $i = 0,1$, let Ψ_i be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module

cover $P(G,T_i)$ of T_i. Define $\widetilde{\beta}'$ to be the function on the 3-regular conjugacy classes of G such that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \delta_{n,1}\,\Psi_1 + \widetilde{\beta}'.$$

Using (6.12) and (6.13), (6.14)–(6.17) and (6.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\beta}'(e) &= (\ell-1)\left(\frac{(\ell+1)(\ell-10)}{24}-1\right);\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(r_1) &= 1-\frac{5(\ell-1)}{12}-\frac{h_\ell}{2}\sqrt{-\ell};\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(r_2) &= 1-\frac{5(\ell-1)}{12}+\frac{h_\ell}{2}\sqrt{-\ell};\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(s) &= 2-\frac{\ell+1}{4};\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(w^j) &= 2 \qquad (w^j\notin\{e,s\});\\
\widetilde{\beta}'((v'')^i) &= 0 \qquad ((v'')^i\neq e).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G,\widetilde{T}_t)$ of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $1\le t\le (m-1)/2$. We have $1+(\ell+1)/4$ additional Brauer characters of projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules that are also irreducible: γ_1,γ_2 and $(\ell-3)/4$ characters η^G that are constructed from characters η of W with values

	e	r_1	r_2	s	w^j $(w^j\notin\{e,s\})$	$(v'')^i$ $((v'')^i\neq e)$
γ_1	$\frac{\ell-1}{2}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}$	$\frac{-1-\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}$	$-(-1)^{(\ell+1)/4}$	$-(-1)^j$	0
γ_2	$\frac{\ell-1}{2}$	$\frac{-1-\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}$	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}$	$-(-1)^{(\ell+1)/4}$	$-(-1)^j$	0
η^G	$\ell-1$	-1	-1	$-(\eta(s)+\overline{\eta}(s))$	$-(\eta(w^j)+\overline{\eta}(w^j))$	0

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules by $P(G,\gamma_1)$, $P(G,\gamma_2)$ and $P(G,\eta^G)$, respectively.

Similarly to §6.4.1, using the Cartan matrix given in [8, §V], we get

$$\widetilde{\beta}' = \frac{\ell-19}{12}\,\Psi_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2}\frac{\ell-19}{12}\,\widetilde{\Psi}_t + \langle\gamma_1,\widetilde{\beta}'\rangle\,\gamma_1 + \langle\gamma_2,\widetilde{\beta}'\rangle\,\gamma_2 + \sum_{\eta}\langle\eta^G,\widetilde{\beta}'\rangle\,\eta^G$$

where

$$\langle\gamma_1,\widetilde{\beta}'\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell-7}{24}-\frac{h_\ell}{2} & : \ \ell\equiv 3 \mod 8\\ \frac{\ell+5}{24}-\frac{h_\ell}{2} & : \ \ell\equiv 7 \mod 8;\end{cases} \tag{6.27}$$

$$\langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell-7}{24} + \frac{h_\ell}{2} & : \quad \ell \equiv 3 \mod 8 \\ \frac{\ell+5}{24} + \frac{h_\ell}{2} & : \quad \ell \equiv 7 \mod 8; \end{cases} \tag{6.28}$$

$$\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell-7}{12} & : \quad \eta(s) = -1, \\ \frac{\ell+5}{12} & : \quad \eta(s) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.29}$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 6.4.2. *When $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$, let $U^{(G)}_{T_1, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ (resp. $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$) denote the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ (resp. $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$) whose socle is isomorphic to T_1 (resp. whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$). In particular, if $n = 1$ then $U^{(G)}_{T_1, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = P(G, T_1)$ is a projective indecomposable $k[G]$-module. As a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong \left(\frac{\ell - 19}{12} + \delta_{n,1} \right) P(G, T_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} \frac{\ell - 19}{12} P(G, \widetilde{T}_t) \oplus \\ \langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle P(G, \gamma_1) \oplus \langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle P(G, \gamma_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle P(G, \eta^G) \oplus \\ (1 - \delta_{n,1}) U^{(G)}_{T_1, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{(m-1)/2} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \gamma_1, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$, $\langle \gamma_2, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$ and $\langle \eta^G, \widetilde{\beta}' \rangle$ are as in (6.27), (6.28) and (6.29).

6.4.3. The $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$

This is the case when $\epsilon = 1$ and $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. By (6.8), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are given by

$$U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t, 2\cdot 3^{n-1}}. \tag{6.30}$$

We first determine the Green correspondents of these summands, using the information in [8, §III]. There are $1 + (m/2)$ blocks of $k[G]$ of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_{00}, another block B_{01} and $(m/2-1)$ blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m/2-1)}$. Moreover, there are $(\ell-1)/4$ blocks of $k[G]$ of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[G]$-modules that belong to B_{00} (resp. B_{01}), represented by the trivial simple $k[G]$-module $T_{0,0}$ and a simple $k[G]$-module $T_{1,1}$ of k-dimension ℓ (resp. by two simple $k[G]$-modules $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ of k-dimension $(\ell+1)/2$). For each $t \in \{1, \ldots, (m/2-1)\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple $k[G]$-modules belonging to B_t, represented by a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_t$ of k-dimension $\ell+1$. Note that the Brauer character of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}^*_t$, $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, with the following values:

$$\widetilde{\delta}_t^*(e) = \ell+1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_1) = 1 = \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*((v'')^i) = (\xi_m)^{ti}+(\xi_m)^{-ti}; \quad \widetilde{\delta}_t^*(w^j) = 0 \tag{6.31}$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive mth root of unity and we allow $i = m/2$, which gives us $\widetilde{\delta}_t^*(s) = 2\,(-1)^t$.

As in the previous subsections, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of $k[G]$ and $k[N_1]$. If $n = 1$ then $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,3^n}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$-module. If $n > 1$ then the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ belongs to B_{00}. Note that the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_{0,0}$ (resp. $S^{(N_1)}_{1,1}$) is $T_{0,0}$ (resp $T_{1,1}$). This means that the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ is the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{1,1}$. On the other hand, the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_{0,1}$ is one of $T_{0,1}$ or $T_{1,0}$. We relabel the simple $k[G]$-modules, if necessary, to be able to assume that the Green correspondent of $S^{(N_1)}_{0,1}$ (resp. $S^{(N_1)}_{1,0}$) is $T_{0,1}$ (resp $T_{1,0}$). This means that the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{0,1}$. For $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ belongs to the block B_t. Since $\ell+1 \equiv 2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$.

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. For $i,j \in \{0,1\}$, let $\Psi_{i,j}$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G,T_{i,j})$ of $T_{i,j}$. Define $\widetilde{\beta}'$ to be the function on the 3-regular conjugacy classes of G such that

$$\widetilde{\beta} = \delta_{n,1}\,\Psi_{1,1} + \widetilde{\beta}'.$$

Using (6.10), (6.18)–(6.21) and (6.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\beta}'(e) &= (\ell-1)\left(\frac{(\ell+1)(\ell-10)}{24} - 1\right);\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(r_i) &= 1 - \frac{5(\ell-1)}{12} \qquad (i=1,2);\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(s) &= -\frac{\ell-1}{4};\\
\widetilde{\beta}'((v'')^i) &= 0 \qquad ((v'')^i \notin \{e,s\});\\
\widetilde{\beta}'(w^j) &= 2 \qquad (w^j \neq e).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G,\widetilde{T}_t)$ of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$. We have $(\ell-1)/4$ additional Brauer characters η^G of projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules that are constructed from characters η of W with values

$$\eta^G(e) = \ell - 1; \quad \eta^G(r_1) = -1 = \eta^G(r_2); \quad \eta^G(s) = 0 = \eta^G((v'')^i);$$
$$\eta^G(w^j) = -(\eta(w^j) + \overline{\eta}(w^j))$$

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules by $P(G, \eta^G)$.

Similarly to the previous subsections, using the Cartan matrix given in [8, §III], we get

$$\widetilde{\beta}' = \frac{\ell - 25}{12}\,\Psi_{1,1} + \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^{m/2}}{24}\,(\Psi_{0,1} + \Psi_{1,0}) + \sum_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^t}{12}\,\widetilde{\Psi}_t + \sum_{\eta} \frac{\ell - 1}{12}\,\eta^G.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 6.4.3. *When $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv 1 \mod 3$, let $U^{(G)}_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ (resp. $U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$) denote the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1$ (resp. $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$) whose socle is isomorphic to $T_{1,1}$ (resp. $T_{0,1}$). In particular, if $n = 1$ then $U^{(G)}_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = P(G, T_{1,1})$ is a projective indecomposable $k[G]$-module. Let $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ denote the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ whose composition factors all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$. As a $k[G]$-module,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X) \cong{}& \left(\frac{\ell - 25}{12} + \delta_{n,1}\right) P(G, T_{1,1}) \oplus \\ & \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^{m/2}}{24}\,(P(G, T_{0,1}) \oplus P(G, T_{1,0})) \oplus \\ & \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{\ell - 19 - 6(-1)^t}{12}\,P(G, \widetilde{T}_t) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \frac{\ell - 1}{12}\,P(G, \eta^G) \oplus \\ & (1 - \delta_{n,1})\,U^{(G)}_{T_{1,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} \oplus U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1},2\cdot 3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

6.4.4. The $k[G]$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ when $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$

This is the case when $\epsilon = -1$ and $\ell \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. By (6.8), the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are again given as in (6.30).

We first determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$, using the information in [8, §VI]. There are $1 + (m/2)$ blocks of $k[G]$ of maximal defect n, consisting of the principal block B_{00}, another block B_{01} and $(m/2 - 1)$ blocks $B_1, \ldots, B_{(m/2-1)}$. Moreover, there are $(\ell - 3)/4$ blocks

of $k[G]$ of defect 0. There are precisely two isomorphism classes of simple $k[G]$-modules that belong to B_{00} (resp. B_{01}), represented by the trivial simple $k[G]$-module T_0 and a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_0$ of k-dimension $\ell-1$ (resp. by two simple $k[G]$-modules $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ of k-dimension $(\ell-1)/2$). For each $t \in \{1,\dots,(m/2-1)\}$, there is precisely one isomorphism class of simple $k[G]$-modules belonging to B_t, represented by a simple $k[G]$-module $\widetilde{T}_t$ of k-dimension $\ell-1$. Note that the Brauer character of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $0 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, is the restriction to the 3-regular classes of the ordinary irreducible character $\widetilde{\delta}^*_t$, $0 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, with the following values:

$$\widetilde{\delta}^*_t(e) = \ell-1; \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_1) = -1 = \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(r_2); \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t((v'')^i) = -((\xi_m)^{ti} + (\xi_m)^{-ti}); \quad \widetilde{\delta}^*_t(w^j) = 0 \tag{6.32}$$

where ξ_m is a fixed primitive mth root of unity and we allow $i = m/2$, which gives us $\widetilde{\delta}^*_t(s) = -2\,(-1)^t$.

As in the previous subsections, we determine the Green correspondents of the non-projective indecomposable direct summands of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{N_1}\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$, by using that there is a stable equivalence between the module categories of $k[G]$ and $k[N_1]$. If $n=1$ then $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1} = U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,3^n}$ is a projective $k[N_1]$-module. If $n>1$ then the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$ belongs to B_{00}. Since the Green correspondent of $S_0^{(N_1)}$ is T_0, it follows that the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $(3^n-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$. We now follow the irreducible homomorphisms in the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of B_{00} starting with the Green correspondent of $S_1^{(N_1)}$ to arrive, after $2\cdot 3^{n-1}$ such morphisms, at a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$. This must be the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{1,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}+1}$. On the other hand, the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ belongs to the block B_{01}. Since $(\ell-1)/2 \equiv -1 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length 3^{n-1} whose socle is isomorphic to either $T_{0,1}$ or $T_{1,0}$. By relabeling the simple $k[G]$-modules, if necessary, we are able to assume that the socle of the Green correspondent of $U^{(N_1)}_{0,1,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is isomorphic to $T_{0,1}$. Note that the Brauer characters of $T_{0,1}$ and $T_{1,0}$ only differ with respect to their values at the elements of order ℓ in G. Since we have already chosen a square root of $-\ell$ to obtain (6.12) and (6.13), we let $s_{01} \in \{\pm 1\}$ be such that the Brauer character $\beta(T_{0,1})$ satisfies

$$\beta(T_{0,1})(r_1) = \frac{-1+s_{01}\sqrt{-\ell}}{2}. \tag{6.33}$$

For $1 \le t \le (m/2-1)$, the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ belongs to the block B_t. Since $\ell-1 \equiv -2 \mod 3^n$, it follows that the Green correspondent of $\widetilde{U}^{(N_1)}_{t,2\cdot 3^{n-1}}$ is a uniserial $k[G]$-module of length 3^{n-1} whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_t$.

Next, we determine the Brauer character $\widetilde{\beta}$ of the largest projective direct summand of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$. Since $(3^{n-1}-1)/2 = 0$ when $n=1$, we do not need to distinguish between

the cases $n = 1$ and $n > 1$. Using (6.12) and (6.13), (6.18)–(6.21), (6.32) and (6.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\beta}(e) &= 1 + \frac{(\ell-1)(\ell^2-7\ell+4)}{24};\\
\widetilde{\beta}(r_1) &= -\frac{\ell-5}{6} - \frac{h_\ell + s_{01}}{2}\sqrt{-\ell};\\
\widetilde{\beta}(r_2) &= -\frac{\ell-5}{6} + \frac{h_\ell + s_{01}}{2}\sqrt{-\ell};\\
\widetilde{\beta}(s) &= -\frac{\ell+1}{4};\\
\widetilde{\beta}((v'')^i) &= 0 \qquad ((v'')^i \notin \{e, s\});\\
\widetilde{\beta}(w^j) &= 1 \qquad (w^j \neq e).
\end{aligned}$$

Let Ψ_0 be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G, T_0)$ of T_0. For $\{i, j\} = \{0, 1\}$, let $\Psi_{i,j}$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G, T_{i,j})$ of $T_{i,j}$. Let $\widetilde{\Psi}_t$ be the Brauer character of the projective $k[G]$-module cover $P(G, \widetilde{T}_t)$ of $\widetilde{T}_t$, $0 \leq t \leq (m/2-1)$. We have $(\ell-3)/4$ additional Brauer characters η^G of projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules that are also irreducible and that are constructed from characters η of W with values

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta^G(e) = \ell+1; \quad \eta^G(r_1) = 1 = \eta^G(r_2); \quad \eta^G(s) = 0 = \eta^G((v'')^i);\\
&\eta^G(w^j) = \eta(w^j) + \overline{\eta}(w^j)
\end{aligned}$$

where η ranges over the characters of W that are not equal to their conjugate $\overline{\eta}$. Denote the corresponding projective indecomposable $k[G]$-modules by $P(G, \eta^G)$.

Similarly to the previous subsections, using the Cartan matrix given in [8, §VI], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\beta} = \frac{\ell+1}{12}\,\widetilde{\Psi}_0 &+ \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} - \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2}\right)\Psi_{0,1} +\\
&\left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} + \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2}\right)\Psi_{1,0} +\\
&\sum_{t=1}^{m/2-1}\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^t)}{12}\,\widetilde{\Psi}_t + \sum_{\eta}\frac{\ell-11}{12}\,\eta^G.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved the following result:

Proposition 6.4.4. *When $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 4$ and $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 3$, let $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2}$ (resp. $U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}$) denote the uniserial $k[G]$-module of length $(3^{n-1}-1)/2$ (resp. 3^{n-1}) whose composition factors are all isomorphic to $\widetilde{T}_0$ (resp. $\widetilde{T}_t$). In particular, if $n = 1$ then*

$U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2} = 0$. *Let* $U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1},3^{n-1}}$ *denote the uniserial* $k[G]$*-module of length* 3^{n-1} *whose socle is isomorphic to* $T_{0,1}$. *As a* $k[G]$*-module,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X) \cong \frac{\ell+1}{12}\,P(G,\widetilde{T}_0) \oplus \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} - \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2}\right) P(G,T_{0,1}) \oplus \\ \left(\frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^{m/2})}{24} + \frac{s_{01}h_\ell+1}{2}\right) P(G,T_{1,0})) \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} \frac{(\ell-5+6(-1)^t)}{12}\,P(G,\widetilde{T}_t) \oplus \bigoplus_{\eta} \frac{\ell-11}{12}\,P(G,\eta^G) \oplus \\ U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_0,(3^{n-1}-1)/2} \oplus U^{(G)}_{T_{0,1},3^{n-1}} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{m/2-1} U^{(G)}_{\widetilde{T}_t,3^{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.4.5. The sign s_{01} from (6.33) depends on the relationship between the socle of the Green correspondent of $T_{0,1}$ and the values of the Brauer character of $T_{0,1}$ on elements of order ℓ. As in Theorem 1.4, let H_1 and H_2 be representatives of the two conjugacy classes of subgroups of G that are isomorphic to Σ_3. By our definition of Δ_1 and Δ_2 in §6.1.2, we can choose $H_1 \leq \Delta_1$ and $H_2 \leq \Delta_2$. Recalling our definition of $S^{(N_1)}_{0,1}$, we see that the restriction of $T_{0,1}$ to H_1 (resp. H_2) is the direct sum of a 2-dimensional uniserial module whose socle is the trivial simple module (resp. the simple module corresponding to the sign character) and a projective module.

Since the Brauer character of a 2-dimensional uniserial module for Σ_3 in characteristic 3 does not determine its isomorphism class, it is not so easy to connect the two possibilities of square roots of $-\ell$ going into the values of the Brauer characters of $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ and of $T_{0,1}$ at elements of order ℓ.

We do not have a formula in general for s_{01} when $\ell \equiv -1 \mod 12$. But, for example, if $\ell = 11$ then $h_\ell = 1$ and $m = 2$, which means that the multiplicity of $P(G,T_{0,1})$ in $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)$ is equal to $-(s_{01}+1)/2$. Since this number must be non-negative, it follows that $s_{01} = -1$ when $\ell = 11$.

6.5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Part (i) of Theorem 1.4 follows directly from Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4. For part (ii), we notice that the maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}_3$ of A containing 3 corresponds uniquely to a place v of F over 3. In other words, $k(\mathcal{P}_3) = k(v)$. Let k_1 be a perfect field containing $k(v)$ and let k be an algebraic closure of k_1. Define $X_1 = k_1 \otimes_{k(v)} \mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ where $\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)$ is as in (5.1). In particular, $X = X_3(\ell) = k \otimes_{k_1} X_1$.

Note that there exists a finite Galois extension k_1' of k_1 such that $k_1' \subseteq k$ and such that the primitive central idempotents of $k[G]$ lie in $k_1'[G]$. This can be seen as follows. By the Theorem on Lifting Idempotents (see [12, Thm. (6.7) and Prop. (56.7)]), each primitive central idempotent e of $k[G]$ can be lifted to a primitive central idempotent $\hat{e}$

of $W(k)[G]$ when $W(k)$ is the ring of infinite Witt vectors over k. If $F(k)$ is the fraction field of $W(k)$ and $\overline{F(k)}$ is an algebraic closure of $F(k)$, then we can use the formula for the primitive central idempotents of $\overline{F(k)}[G]$ (see [12, Prop. (9.21)]) to see that $\hat{e}$ has coefficients in a cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_3$. This implies that $\hat{e}$ has coefficients in the intersection of the maximal cyclotomic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_3$ in $\overline{F(k)}$ and $W(k)$. Therefore, $\hat{e}$ has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_3[\hat{\xi}]$ for some root of unity $\hat{\xi}$ whose order is relatively prime to 3. But this means that there exists a root ξ of unity in k whose order is relatively prime to 3 such that e lies in $k_1(\xi)[G]$. Since $k_1(\xi)$ is finite Galois over k_1, we can take $k_1' = k_1(\xi)$.

Let now k_2 be a finite field extension of k_1' such that $k_2 \subseteq k$ and such that all the indecomposable $k[G]$-modules occurring in the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X)$ are realizable over k_2. Letting $X_2 = k_2 \otimes_{k_1} X_1$, we obtain from Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4 that the $k_2[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_2 of $k_2[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_2} \oplus U_{B_2}$ in which P_{B_2} is a projective B_2-module and U_{B_2} is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B_2-module. Moreover, one can determine P_{B_2} and U_{B_2} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X \longrightarrow X/G$. We have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$$

as $k_2[G]$-modules, and

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})^{[k_2:k_1]}$$

as $k_1[G]$-modules. Therefore, it follows from the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya theorem that the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ into indecomposable $k_1[G]$-modules is uniquely determined by the decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^0(X_2, \Omega_{X_2})$ into indecomposable $k_2[G]$-modules.

Consider next a block B_1 of $k_1[G]$ corresponding to a primitive central idempotent ϵ_1. Then ϵ_1 is a sum of primitive central idempotents in $k_2[G]$

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_{2,1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{2,l}$$

corresponding to blocks $B_{2,1}, \ldots, B_{2,l}$ of $k_2[G]$. Moreover, we have seen above that $\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}$ lie in $k_1'[G]$ where k_1' is a finite Galois extension of k_1. In particular, this means that $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1'/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}\}$. Since every element in $\mathrm{Gal}(k_1'/k_1)$ can be extended to an automorphism in $\mathrm{Aut}(k_2/k_1)$, this means in particular that $\mathrm{Aut}(k_2/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2,l}\}$.

Suppose the B_1-module $\epsilon_1 \mathrm{H}^0(X_1, \Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum of a projective B_1-module together with a direct sum of non-zero indecomposable B_1-modules $U_{B_1,1}, \ldots, U_{B_1,t}$. We need to show that $t \leq 1$. Suppose $t > 1$. For all $1 \leq j \leq t$, we have

$$k_2 \otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \epsilon_{2,i} \left(k_2 \otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j}\right).$$

Since this $k_2[G]$-module is non-zero and since $\mathrm{Aut}(k_2/k_1)$ acts transitively on $\{\epsilon_{2,1},\dots,\epsilon_{2,l}\}$, it follows that the $k_2[G]$-module $\epsilon_{2,i}\,(k_2\otimes_{k_1} U_{B_1,j})$ is a non-zero $B_{2,i}$-module for all $1\le i\le l$. Since we have already seen above that $\epsilon_{2,i}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X_2,\Omega_{X_2})$ is a direct sum of a projective $B_{2,i}$-module with at most one other non-projective indecomposable $B_{2,i}$-module, it follows that $t\le 1$. Note moreover, that the restriction of each projective indecomposable $B_{2,i}$-module to a $k_1[G]$-module is a projective B_1-module. In other words, the $k_1[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_1,\Omega_{X_1})$ is a direct sum over blocks B_1 of $k_1[G]$ of modules of the form $P_{B_1}\oplus U_{B_1}$ in which P_{B_1} is a projective B_1-module and U_{B_1} is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B_1-module. Moreover, P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} are determined by the decomposition of

$$k_2\otimes_{k_1}\ \epsilon_1\,\mathrm{H}^0(X_1,\Omega_{X_1})=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}\epsilon_{2,i}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X_2,\Omega_{X_2})$$

and we know from our discussion above that for all $1\le i\le l$,

$$\epsilon_{2,i}\,\mathrm{H}^0(X_2,\Omega_{X_2})=P_{B_{2,i}}\oplus U_{B_{2,i}}.$$

It follows that one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the modules $P_{B_{2,i}}$ and $U_{B_{2,i}}$ for $1\le i\le l$. Therefore, one can determine P_{B_1} and U_{B_1} from the ramification data associated to the cover $X\longrightarrow X/G$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

6.6. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when $p=3$

Fix a place v of F over 3, and define $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ to be the $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$-module

$$M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}=\mathcal{O}_{F,v}\otimes_A \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X}(\ell),\Omega_{\mathcal{X}(\ell)})$$

which is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Note that the residue fields $k(v)=A/\mathcal{P}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}/\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ coincide. Define

$$X_v=\mathcal{X}_v(\ell)=k(v)\otimes_A\mathcal{X}(\ell).$$

Then $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a lift of the $k(v)[G]$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_v,\Omega_{X_v})$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. As in (5.2), let $X=X_3(\ell)$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{X}(\ell)$ modulo 3 over $k=\overline{k(v)}=\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$. In other words, $X=k\otimes_{k(v)}X_v$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)=k\otimes_{k_v}\mathrm{H}^0(X_v,\Omega_{X_v})$ as $k[G]$-modules. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\Omega_X)=\{0\}$ for $\ell<7$, we can assume that $\ell\ge 7$.

To prove Theorem 1.2 when $p=3$, we follow the same argumentation as in the case when $p>3$, where we use Propositions 6.4.1–6.4.4 and part (ii) of Theorem 1.4 instead of Lemma 5.2. In particular, we obtain that $M_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}}$ is a direct sum over blocks B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$ of modules of the form $P_B\oplus U_B$ in which P_B is projective and U_B is either the zero module or a single indecomposable non-projective B-module. Define $M_B=P_B\oplus U_B$.

To prove Theorem 1.3 when $p = 3$, we assume now that F contains a root of unity of order equal to the prime to 3 part of the order of G. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the maximal ideal over 3 in A associated to v, so that $\mathfrak{a}$ corresponds to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}$. Since for different blocks B and B' of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$, there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between M_B and $M_{B'}$ and since for a fixed block B of $\mathcal{O}_{F,v}[G]$, there are no non-trivial congruences modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F,v}$ between P_B and U_B, we prove Theorem 1.3 when $p = 3$ by following the same argumentation as in the case when $p > 3$. □

7. Appendix: Isotypic Hecke stable decompositions of the space of weight two cusp forms

In this appendix we assume only that $N \geq 3$ is an integer and that F is a number field. Following Shimura's notation in [35, Chap. 3], we let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, and we denote the principal congruence subgroup of Γ by Γ_N (rather than $\Gamma(N)$, as in the introduction). We let $\mathcal{S}(F)$ be the space of all weight two cusp forms for Γ_N that have q-expansion coefficients in F at all cusps, in the sense of [27, §1.6]. By [35, §6.1-6.2], together with flat base change, it follows that $\mathcal{S}(F)$ coincides with the space of all weight two cusp forms for Γ_N whose Fourier expansions with respect to $e^{2\pi iz/N}$ have coefficients in F.

The group $\overline{\Gamma} = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) = \Gamma/\Gamma_N$ then acts F-linearly on $\mathcal{S}(F)$. This action factors through an F-linear action by $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) = \Gamma/\langle \Gamma_N, \pm \mathrm{I} \rangle$, where I denotes the 2×2 identity matrix. In this appendix, we follow the convention of Shimura in [35] by letting $\overline{\Gamma}$ act on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ on the right. As noted in the introduction, right actions of groups can be converted into left actions by letting the left action of a group element coincide with the right action of its inverse.

Let $\mathbb{T}$ denote the ring of Hecke operators of index prime to N (see (7.2) below for the precise definition). As in the introduction, but using right actions, we call a $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition into F-subspaces

$$\mathcal{S}(F) = E_1 \oplus E_2$$

G-isotypic if there are two orthogonal central idempotents e_1, e_2 of $F[G]$ such that $1 = e_1 + e_2$ in $F[G]$ and $E_i = \mathcal{S}(F)e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. The goal of this section is to prove the following result.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose e_1, e_2 are orthogonal central idempotents of $F[G]$ such that $1 = e_1 + e_2$ and each e_i is fixed by the conjugation action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ on G. Then setting $E_i = \mathcal{S}(F)e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ gives a G-isotypic $\mathbb{T}$-stable decomposition of $\mathcal{S}(F)$.*

We discuss in Remark 7.3 the problem of constructing such decompositions for larger rings of Hecke operators.

To define $\mathbb{T}$, we follow Shimura [35, §3.3] and first define

$$\Delta_N = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \,;\, \det(\alpha) > 0 \text{ and } \gcd(\det(\alpha), N) = 1\},$$

$$\Delta'_N = \left\{ \alpha \in \Delta_N \ ; \ \alpha \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \bmod N \text{ for some } x \in (\mathbb{Z}/N)^* \right\}.$$

In Shimura's notation, we let $R(\Gamma, \Delta_N)$ (resp. $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N)$) be the ring that is generated as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module by the double cosets

$$\Gamma\alpha\Gamma \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta_N \qquad (\text{resp. } \Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta'_N).$$

We refer the reader to [35, §3.1] for the definition of the (commutative) ring multiplication in $R(\Gamma, \Delta_N)$ (resp. $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N)$); we will not need this in what follows. By [35, Prop. 3.31], the correspondence

$$\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N \mapsto \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$$

for $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$, defines an isomorphism between $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N)$ and $R(\Gamma, \Delta_N)$.

For each positive integer n with $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, we define $\rho'_N(n)$ to be a set of representatives $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$ of all distinct double cosets in $\Gamma_N \backslash \Delta'_N / \Gamma_N$ such that $\det(\alpha) = n$. We define

$$T'(n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \rho'_N(n)} \Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N. \tag{7.1}$$

By [35, Thm. 3.34],

$$\mathbb{T} = R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \tag{7.2}$$

is the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by all $T'(n)$ when n ranges over all positive integers with $\gcd(n, N) = 1$. A right action of $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N)$, and hence of $\mathbb{T}$, on $f \in \mathcal{S}(F)$ is defined in the following way. For $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$, write

$$\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N = \bigcup_i \Gamma_N\alpha_i$$

as a finite disjoint union of right cosets. Define

$$f\big|\,\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N = \sum_i f|\alpha_i$$

where for a matrix $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q})$ and z in the complex upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}$ we let

$$(f|\gamma)(z) = \det(\gamma)\,(cz + d)^{-2}\, f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right). \tag{7.3}$$

In particular, for all $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$(f|\,r\,\mathrm{I})(z) = r^2\,(r^{-2})\,f(z) = f(z). \tag{7.4}$$

Note that, for $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$, the right action on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ by the double coset $\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N$ defines an F-linear transformation on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, which we denote by $[\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N]$. By [35, Thm. 3.41], the F-linear transformations $[\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N]$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, with $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$, are mutually commutative, and normal with respect to the Petersson inner product on $\mathcal{S}(F)$. In particular, there exists an F-basis of $\mathcal{S}(F)$ consisting of common eigenfunctions of the linear transformations $[\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N]$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$.

A well-defined right action by $\overline{\Gamma} = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N) = \Gamma/\Gamma_N$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ is defined by

$$f \star \overline{\gamma} = f|\gamma \tag{7.5}$$

if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has image $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{\Gamma}$. Since $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N) = \Gamma/\langle\Gamma_N, \pm\mathrm{I}\,\rangle$, it follows by (7.4) that this right action factors through a well-defined right action by $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$, which is defined by

$$f \star \overline{\overline{\gamma}} = f|\gamma \tag{7.6}$$

if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has image $\overline{\overline{\gamma}} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$. These right actions can be made into left actions in the usual way via

$$\overline{\gamma} \star f = f \star (\overline{\gamma})^{-1} \qquad (\text{resp. } \overline{\overline{\gamma}} \star f = f \star (\overline{\overline{\gamma}})^{-1}).$$

We can combine the actions by $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N)$, $\mathbb{T}$ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ using the larger Hecke ring $R = R(\Gamma_N, \Delta)$, where

$$\Delta = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Mat}(2,\mathbb{Z})\ ;\ \det(\alpha) > 0\}.$$

In other words, R is the ring that is generated as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module by the double cosets

$$\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta.$$

As before, we refer the reader to [35, §3.1] for the definition of the (commutative) ring multiplication in $R = R(\Gamma_N, \Delta)$. We have a natural injection of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras

$$\mathbb{T} = R(\Gamma_N, \Delta'_N) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \ \hookrightarrow\ R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}. \tag{7.7}$$

Define left and right actions of $\overline{\Gamma} = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ on R as follows. If $\overline{\gamma}$ is the image of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$, then

$$\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N \cdot \overline{\gamma} = \Gamma_N(\alpha\gamma)\Gamma_N \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\gamma} \cdot \Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N = \Gamma_N(\gamma\alpha)\Gamma_N. \tag{7.8}$$

We extend these actions by linearity to define left and right actions of $\mathbb{Z}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ on R and of $\mathbb{Q}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ on $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We have natural right actions of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and of $\mathbb{Q}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ via

(7.3) and (7.5). Moreover, the right action of $\mathbb{Q}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ factors through a well-defined right action of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ on $\mathcal{S}(F)$ via (7.6).

Since for any element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the $\mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ conjugates of the image $\overline{\overline{\gamma}}$ in G are the images of the $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ conjugates of the image $\overline{\gamma}$ in $\overline{\Gamma}$ and because of (7.7), the following result implies Proposition 7.1.

Lemma 7.2. *For each double coset $\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N$ with $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$ and each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with image $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{\Gamma}$ the following is true. Let s be the element of $\mathbb{Z}[\overline{\Gamma}] \subset \mathbb{Q}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ that is the sum of the $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$ conjugates of $\overline{\gamma}$. Then in $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ one has*

$$(\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N)\cdot s = s\cdot(\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N) \tag{7.9}$$

where the products on the left and right sides of (7.9) denote the right and left actions of $\mathbb{Q}[\overline{\Gamma}]$ on $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, respectively.

Proof. Let C be the conjugacy class of $\overline{\gamma}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$, say

$$C = \{\overline{\beta}_i\,\overline{\gamma}\,\overline{\beta}_i^{-1}\}_{i=1}^{n_\gamma}$$

for appropriate $\overline{\beta}_i \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$. For $1 \le i \le n_\gamma$, let $\beta_i \in \Delta_N$ be a preimage of $\overline{\beta}_i$. Since each $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$ lies in Δ_N, it defines an element $\overline{\alpha}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N)$. Thus we obtain

$$C = \{(\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}_i)\,\overline{\gamma}\,(\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}_i)^{-1}\}_{i=1}^{n_\gamma}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$. This implies that for all $\alpha \in \Delta'_N$ and for $s = \sum_{c\in C} c$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N)\cdot s &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_\gamma} \Gamma_N(\alpha\beta_i\gamma\beta_i^{-1})\Gamma_N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_\gamma} \Gamma_N\left((\alpha\beta_i)\gamma(\alpha\beta_i)^{-1}\right)\alpha\Gamma_N \\ &= s\cdot(\Gamma_N\alpha\Gamma_N). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.3. We now discuss an issue that arises if we replace $R(\Gamma_N,\Delta'_N)$ by the bigger Hecke algebra $R(\Gamma_N,\Delta')$ when

$$\Delta' = \left\{\alpha\in\Delta\ ;\ \alpha \equiv \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & x\end{pmatrix} \bmod N \text{ for some } x\in(\mathbb{Z}/N)\right\}.$$

For each integer $n \ge 1$, we define $\rho'(n)$ to be a set of representatives $\alpha \in \Delta'$ of all distinct double cosets in $\Gamma_N\backslash\Delta'/\Gamma_N$ such that $\det(\alpha) = n$. We define

$$T'(n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\rho'(n)} \Gamma_N\,\alpha\,\Gamma_N. \tag{7.10}$$

Note that for integers $n \geq 1$ with $\gcd(n, N) = 1$, the definition of $T'(n)$ in (7.10) coincides with the definition of $T'(n)$ in (7.1). By [35, Thm. 3.34], $R(\Gamma_N, \Delta') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $T'(n)$ when n ranges over all positive integers. We can then define the bigger Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T}'$ to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by all $T'(n)$ when n ranges over all positive integers. We again obtain an injection of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras

$$\mathbb{T}' = R(\Gamma_N, \Delta') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

However, for $\alpha \in \Delta'$ for which $\det(\alpha)$ is not relatively prime to N, we do not obtain the identity (7.9) in general. To be concrete, let $\alpha_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ and let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Then all elements in $\Gamma_N(\gamma\alpha_N)\Gamma_N$ are congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ mod N. On the other hand, for any element $\overline{\beta} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ with preimage $\beta \in \Delta_N$, we have that all elements in $\Gamma_N(\alpha_N(\beta\gamma\beta^{-1}))\Gamma_N$ are congruent modulo N to a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for certain elements $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/N$. In other words, there are elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for which the identity (7.9) is not valid when $\alpha = \alpha_N$. Since we have $T'(N) = \Gamma_N \alpha_N \Gamma_N$ by [35, Prop. 3.33], it follows that the right and left actions of s on $T'(N)$ do also not coincide for the above γ, when s is as in Lemma 7.2.

References

[1] J.L. Alperin, Local Representation Theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 11, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986, Modular representations as an introduction to the local representation theory of finite groups.

[2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S.O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, corrected reprint of the 1995 original.

[3] P. Bending, A. Camina, R. Guralnick, Automorphisms of the modular curve, in: Progress in Galois Theory, in: Dev. Math., vol. 12, Springer, New York, 2005, pp. 25–37.

[4] D.J. Benson, Representations and cohomology. I, in: Basic Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, second edition, in: Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[5] F.M. Bleher, T. Chinburg, Universal deformation rings and cyclic blocks, Math. Ann. 318 (4) (2000) 805–836.

[6] N. Borne, Cohomology of G-sheaves in positive characteristic, Adv. Math. 201 (2) (2006) 454–515.

[7] H. Boseck, Zur Theorie der Weierstrasspunkte, Math. Nachr. 19 (1958) 29–63.

[8] R. Burkhardt, Die Zerlegungsmatrizen der Gruppen $\mathrm{PSL}(2, p^f)$, J. Algebra 40 (1) (1976) 75–96.

[9] C. Chevalley, A. Weil, E. Hecke, Über das Verhalten der Integrale 1. Gattung bei Automorphismen des Funktionenkörpers, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 10 (1) (1934) 358–361.

[10] T. Chinburg, B. Erez, G. Pappas, M.J. Taylor, ϵ-constants and the Galois structure of de Rham cohomology, Ann. of Math. (2) 146 (2) (1997) 411–473.

[11] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. I, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981, With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[12] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory. Vol. II, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987, With applications to finite groups and orders, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[13] E.C. Dade, Blocks with cyclic defect groups, Ann. of Math. 2 (84) (1966) 20–48.
[14] P. Deligne, M. Rapoport, Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques, in: Modular Functions of One Variable, II, Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 349, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 143–316.
[15] F. Diamond, Congruences between modular forms: raising the level and dropping Euler factors, in: Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms (Washington, DC, 1996), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94 (21) (1997) 11143–11146.
[16] L.V. Dieulefait, J. Jiménez Urroz, K.A. Ribet, Modular forms with large coefficient fields via congruences, Res. Number Theory 1 (2015), Paper No. 2.
[17] A. Grothendieck, Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 9 (1957) 119–221.
[18] A. Grothendieck, M. Raynaud, Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental (*SGA* 1), Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique, vol. 1960/61, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963.
[19] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
[20] E. Hecke, Über ein Fundamentalproblem aus der Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunktionen, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 6 (1) (1928) 235–257.
[21] G. Hiss, A converse to the Fong-Swan-Isaacs theorem, J. Algebra 111 (1) (1987) 279–290.
[22] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. I, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
[23] A. Hurwitz, Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich, Math. Ann. 41 (3) (1892) 403–442.
[24] J. Igusa, Kroneckerian model of fields of elliptic modular functions, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959) 561–577.
[25] E. Kani, The Galois-module structure of the space of holomorphic differentials of a curve, J. Reine Angew. Math. 367 (1986) 187–206.
[26] S. Karanikolopoulos, A. Kontogeorgis, Representation of cyclic groups in positive characteristic and Weierstrass semigroups, J. Number Theory 133 (1) (2013) 158–175.
[27] N.M. Katz, p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms, in: Modular Functions of One Variable, III, Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 350, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 69–190.
[28] N.M. Katz, B. Mazur, Arithmetic Moduli of Elliptic Curves, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
[29] S. Marques, K. Ward, Holomorphic differentials of certain solvable covers of the projective line over a perfect field, Math. Nachr. 291 (13) (2018) 2057–2083.
[30] C. Moreno, Algebraic Curves over Finite Fields, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
[31] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, Geometric Invariant Theory, third edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) (Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)), vol. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[32] S. Nakajima, Galois module structure of cohomology groups for tamely ramified coverings of algebraic varieties, J. Number Theory 22 (1) (1986) 115–123.
[33] K.A. Ribet, Congruence relations between modular forms, in: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2, Warsaw, 1983, PWN, Warsaw, 1984, pp. 503–514.
[34] M. Rzedowski-Calderón, G. Villa-Salvador, M.L. Madan, Galois module structure of holomorphic differentials in characteristic p, Arch. Math. (Basel) 66 (2) (1996) 150–156.
[35] G. Shimura, Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994, Reprint of the 1971 original, Kano Memorial Lectures, 1.
[36] R.W. Thomason, Algebraic K-theory of group scheme actions, in: Algebraic Topology and Algebraic K-Theory, Princeton, N.J., 1983, in: Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 113, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987, pp. 539–563.
[37] R.C. Valentini, M.L. Madan, Automorphisms and holomorphic differentials in characteristic p, J. Number Theory 13 (1) (1981) 106–115.
[38] L.C. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.