

#### Aristides Kontogeorgis

Department of Mathematics University of Athens.

#### Workshop Thales 1-3 July 2015

:Algebraic modeling of topological and computational structures and applications







Elliptic Curves

Number Fields

Modular functions

Galois cohomology

Examples



An elliptic curve defined over a field K of characteristic p > 3 is a curve given by the the equation

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$
 such that  $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ .



The set of points E(K) together with a point at infinity is an abelian group.



An elliptic curve defined over a field K of characteristic p > 3 is a curve given by the the equation

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$
 such that  $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ .



The set of points E(K) together with a point at infinity is an abelian group.



$$\# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq q+1-a_r \leq q+1+2\sqrt{q}.$$

## Discrete logarithm problem

# Given elements P, Q on an abelian group so that nP = Q. Find n.

This is a difficult problem, we have to try all possible n, until we find the correct one.

Abelian groups are usualy:  $\mathbb{F}_{p'}^*$ , E.

Even if the abelian group has a big order then is can be a product of small factors like  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the discrete logarithm problem is easy. For the elliptic curve cases, the discrete logarithm problem is difficult if the order of the group has order a prime number, therefore it a cyclic group.

$$\# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq q+1-a_r \leq q+1+2\sqrt{q}.$$

## Discrete logarithm problem

Given elements P, Q on an abelian group so that nP = Q. Find n.

This is a difficult problem, we have to try all possible n, until we find the correct one.

### Abelian groups are usualy: $\mathbb{F}_{p'}^*$ , E.

Even if the abelian group has a big order then is can be a product of small factors like  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the discrete logarithm problem is easy. For the elliptic curve cases, the discrete logarithm problem is difficult if the order of the group has order a prime number, therefore it a cyclic group.

$$\# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq q + 1 - a_r \leq q + 1 + 2\sqrt{q}.$$

## Discrete logarithm problem

Given elements P, Q on an abelian group so that nP = Q. Find n.

This is a difficult problem, we have to try all possible n, until we find the correct one.

# Abelian groups are usually: $\mathbb{F}_{p'}^*$ , E.

Even if the abelian group has a big order then is can be a product of small factors like  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the discrete logarithm problem is easy. For the elliptic curve cases, the discrete logarithm problem is difficult if the order of the group has order a prime number, therefore it a cyclic group.

$$\# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq q + 1 - a_r \leq q + 1 + 2\sqrt{q}.$$

## Discrete logarithm problem

Given elements P, Q on an abelian group so that nP = Q. Find n.

This is a difficult problem, we have to try all possible n, until we find the correct one.

### Abelian groups are usualy: $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}^*$ , E.

Even if the abelian group has a big order then is can be a product of small factors like  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the discrete logarithm problem is easy. For the elliptic curve cases, the discrete logarithm problem is difficult if the order of the group has order a prime number, therefore it a cyclic group.

$$\# E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq q + 1 - a_r \leq q + 1 + 2\sqrt{q}.$$

## Discrete logarithm problem

Given elements P, Q on an abelian group so that nP = Q. Find n.

This is a difficult problem, we have to try all possible n, until we find the correct one.

### Abelian groups are usualy: $\mathbb{F}_{p^r}^*$ , E.

Even if the abelian group has a big order then is can be a product of small factors like  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  and the discrete logarithm problem is easy. For the elliptic curve cases, the discrete logarithm problem is difficult if the order of the group has order a prime number, therefore it a cyclic group.

- 1. Randomly: Select random elliptic curves until we hit one with the correct order.
- 2. Complex multiplication method.

We will focus on the second method



Every elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a quotient of the universal covering space  $\mathbb{C}$  modulo a discrete subgroup - lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ . Lettices L, L' give the same elliptic curves if and only if

$$au'=rac{a au+b}{c au+b},egin{pmatrix} a&b\ c&d\end{pmatrix}\in\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The quotient map

$$\mathbb{H} 
ightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) ackslash \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is called the *j*-invariant. It is a  $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ - invariant function hence periodic. It admits a Fourier expansion at  $q=e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 +$$



Every elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a quotient of the universal covering space  $\mathbb{C}$  modulo a discrete subgroup - lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ . Lettices L, L' give the same elliptic curves if and only if

$$au' = rac{a au+b}{c au+b}, egin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The quotient map

$$\mathbb{H} 
ightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) ackslash \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is called the *j*-invariant. It is a  $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ - invariant function hence periodic. It admits a Fourier expansion at  $q=e^{2\pi i \tau}$ ,

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 +$$



Every elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a quotient of the universal covering space  $\mathbb{C}$  modulo a discrete subgroup - lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ . Lettices L, L' give the same elliptic curves if and only if

$$au' = rac{a au+b}{c au+b}, egin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The quotient map

$$\mathbb{H} 
ightarrow SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) ackslash \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is called the *j*-invariant. It is a  $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ - invariant function hence periodic. It admits a Fourier expansion at  $q=\mathrm{e}^{2\pi i au}$ ,

$$j(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 +$$





**Remarks**: The coefficients of the Fourier expansion are integers. They are related to the dimensions of the irreducible representations of the Monster, the bigest sporadic simple group with order

808017424794512875886459904961710757005754368000000000.





A number field is a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q},$  i.e. a field

 $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x),$ 

where f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . The ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring consisted of elements

 $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in K : \text{ such that } x \text{ is a root of a monic polynomial } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$ 

The ring  ${\cal O}$  in not a unique factorization domain but it is a Dedekind ring: every ideal is decomposed uniquely as a product of prime ideals.

$$I=P_1^{e_1}\cdots P_r^{e_r}.$$





A number field is a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. a field

 $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x),$ 

where f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . The ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring consisted of elements

 $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathcal{K} : \text{ such that } x ext{ is a root of a monic polynomial } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \}.$ 

The ring  ${\mathcal O}$  in not a unique factorization domain but it is a Dedekind ring: every ideal is decomposed uniquely as a product of prime ideals.

$$I=P_1^{e_1}\cdots P_r^{e_r}.$$





A number field is a finite extension of the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. a field

 $K = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x),$ 

where f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . The ring of algebraic integers  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring consisted of elements

 $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathcal{K} : \text{ such that } x \text{ is a root of a monic polynomial } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$ 

The ring  $\mathcal{O}$  in not a unique factorization domain but it is a Dedekind ring: every ideal is decomposed uniquely as a product of prime ideals.

$$I=P_1^{e_1}\cdots P_r^{e_r}.$$



**Example:** The fractional ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the elements  $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We also consider the subgroup  $Pl(\mathcal{O})$  of principal fractional ideals  $a\mathcal{O}$ , where  $a \in K$ .

The quotient is the class group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{l(\mathcal{O})}{Pl(\mathcal{O})}.$$



**Example:** The fractional ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the elements  $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also consider the subgroup  $Pl(\mathcal{O})$  of principal fractional ideals  $a\mathcal{O},$  where  $a\in K.$ 

The quotient is the class group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{l(\mathcal{O})}{Pl(\mathcal{O})}.$$



**Example:** The fractional ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the elements  $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We also consider the subgroup  $Pl(\mathcal{O})$  of principal fractional ideals  $a\mathcal{O}$ ,

where  $a \in K$ .

The quotient is the class group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{l(\mathcal{O})}{Pl(\mathcal{O})}.$$



**Example:** The fractional ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the elements  $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We also consider the subgroup  $Pl(\mathcal{O})$  of principal fractional ideals  $a\mathcal{O}$ , where  $a \in K$ .

The quotient is the class group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{l(\mathcal{O})}{Pl(\mathcal{O})}.$$



Consider an extension of number fields L/K. A prime ideal P of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be seen as an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  by scalar extension  $P\mathcal{O}_L$ . It does not remain prime so it can be written as

$$P\mathcal{O}_L = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r},$$

where  $Q_i$  are prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

If all  $e_i = 1$  then we will say that P is not ramified in the exension L/K. If no ideal is ramified then the extension is called *unramified*.



Consider an extension of number fields L/K. A prime ideal P of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be seen as an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  by scalar extension  $P\mathcal{O}_L$ . It does not remain prime so it can be written as

$$P\mathcal{O}_L = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r},$$

### where $Q_i$ are prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

If all  $e_i = 1$  then we will say that P is not ramified in the exension L/K. If no ideal is ramified then the extension is called *unramified*.



Consider an extension of number fields L/K. A prime ideal P of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be seen as an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  by scalar extension  $P\mathcal{O}_L$ . It does not remain prime so it can be written as

$$P\mathcal{O}_L = Q_1^{e_1} \cdots Q_r^{e_r},$$

where  $Q_i$  are prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

If all  $e_i = 1$  then we will say that P is not ramified in the exension L/K. If no ideal is ramified then the extension is called *unramified*.



#### Theorem

For every number field there is a Galois extension  $H_K$  defined to be the maximal unramified abelian extension of . For the Galois group is the class group of  $K \operatorname{Gal}(H_K/K) = \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ . The field  $H_K$  is called the Hilbert's class field.

**Remarks**: Unramified extensions in Riemann surface theory correspond to topological coverings. Fields with class group  $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}$  canot have unramified covers therefore are in some sence "simply connected". For example  $\mathbb{Q}$  simply connected. In this direction: The fact that every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal is the number theoretical analogon to the topological theorem: "every vector bundle over simply connected manifold is globaly trivial". The group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}) = \pi^{1}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})^{\operatorname{ab}} = H_{1}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}).$$



#### Theorem

For every number field there is a Galois extension  $H_K$  defined to be the maximal unramified abelian extension of . For the Galois group is the class group of  $K \operatorname{Gal}(H_K/K) = \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ . The field  $H_K$  is called the Hilbert's class field.

**Remarks**: Unramified extensions in Riemann surface theory correspond to topological coverings. Fields with class group  $Cl(\mathcal{O}_K) = \{1\}$  canot have unramified covers therefore are in some sence ``simply connected''. For example  $\mathbb{Q}$  simply connected. In this direction: The fact that every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is principal is the number theoretical analogon to the topological theorem: ``every vector bundle over simply connected manifold is globaly trivial''. The group

$$\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}) = \pi^{1}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})^{\operatorname{ab}} = H_{1}(\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}).$$









Suppose that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ , d > 0 with d square free. We compute that

$$\mathcal{O}_{K} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}] & \text{if } -d \equiv 2,3 \mod 4\\ \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}] & \text{if } -d \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

We will show soon that these are the endomorphisms of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication.



#### Quadratic forms of discriminant D

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$
;  $b^2 - 4ac = -D, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$   $(a, b, c) = 1$ 

#### K.F. Gauss Disquisitiones Arithmeticae.

We will say that two quadratic forms are equivalent if there is an element in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  sending one to the other. The equivalence classes are in one to one corespondence to the class group and they can computed easily since a full set of representatives is given by elements (a, b, c) such that

$$|b| \le a \le \sqrt{\frac{D}{3}}, a \le c, (a, b, c) = 1, b^2 - 4ac = -D$$
  
if  $|b| = a$  or  $a = c$  then  $b \ge 0$ .

Quadratic forms of discriminant D

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2; b^2 - 4ac = -D, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$$
  $(a, b, c) = 1$ 

K.F. Gauss Disquisitiones Arithmeticae.

We will say that two quadratic forms are equivalent if there is an element in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  sending one to the other. The equivalence classes are in one to one corespondence to the class group and they can computed easily since a full set of representatives is given by elements (a, b, c) such that

$$|b| \le a \le \sqrt{\frac{D}{3}}, a \le c, (a, b, c) = 1, b^2 - 4ac = -D$$
  
if  $|b| = a$  or  $a = c$  then  $b > 0$ .

Quadratic forms of discriminant D

$$ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$$
;  $b^2 - 4ac = -D$ ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$   $(a, b, c) = 1$ 

K.F. Gauss Disquisitiones Arithmeticae.

We will say that two quadratic forms are equivalent if there is an element in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  sending one to the other. The equivalence classes are in one to one corespondence to the class group and they can computed easily since a full set of representatives is given by elements (a, b, c) such that

$$|b| \le a \le \sqrt{\frac{D}{3}}, a \le c, (a, b, c) = 1, b^2 - 4ac = -D$$
  
if  $|b| = a$  or  $a = c$  then  $b \ge 0$ .







We consider the ring of endomorphisms of an elliptic curve. In most of the cases  $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$[n]: E \rightarrow E \qquad P \mapsto nP$$

There are cases where  $\operatorname{End}(E)$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field. For example

 $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z}[i].$ 

#### Finite fields

Frobenious endomorphism Frobenious  $F : x \mapsto x^p$  is an element in End(E). It satisfies a characteristic polynomial

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + q = 0.$$

$$N_{p} = p + 1 \pm tr(F)$$



We consider the ring of endomorphisms of an elliptic curve. In most of the cases  $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$[n]: E \rightarrow E \qquad P \mapsto nP$$

There are cases where  $\operatorname{End}(E)$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field. For example

$$\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

#### Finite fields

Frobenious endomorphism Frobenious  $F : x \mapsto x^p$  is an element in End(E). It satisfies a characteristic polynomial

 $x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + q = 0.$ 

$$N_{p} = p + 1 \pm tr(\mathbf{F})$$



We consider the ring of endomorphisms of an elliptic curve. In most of the cases  $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$[n]: E \rightarrow E \qquad P \mapsto nP$$

There are cases where  $\operatorname{End}(E)$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field. For example

$$\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

#### Finite fields

Frobenious endomorphism Frobenious  $F : x \mapsto x^p$  is an element in End(E). It satisfies a characteristic polynomial

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + q = 0.$$

$$N_{p} = p + 1 \pm tr(F)$$



#### theorem

Consider  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , which is a root of a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree 2. We set  $E_{\tau} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ . Then

- 1.  $\operatorname{End}(E_{\tau}) = E_{\tau}$ .
- 2.  $j(\tau) = j(E_{\tau})$  is an algebraic integer. Its irreducible polynomial is given by the equation

$$H_D(x) = \prod_{[a,b,c]\in \mathrm{CL}(\mathrm{K})} \left( x - j\left(\frac{-b + \sqrt{-D}}{2a}\right) \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

3. The element  $j(\tau)$  generates the Hilber class field.


#### Kronecker-Weber theorem

Every abelian extension is a subfield of a cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)\right)$ .

#### Kronecker's Jugendtraum

Produce Hilbert's class fields as special values of complex functions.

## What is known?

Complex multiplication for elliptic curves. Generalization of imaginary quadratic extensions CM-fields and abelian varieties with complex multiplication (Shimura).



#### Kronecker-Weber theorem

Every abelian extension is a subfield of a cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)\right)$ .

### Kronecker's Jugendtraum

Produce Hilbert's class fields as special values of complex functions.

# What is known?

Complex multiplication for elliptic curves. Generalization of imaginary quadratic extensions CM-fields and abelian varieties with complex multiplication (Shimura).



#### Kronecker-Weber theorem

Every abelian extension is a subfield of a cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Q}\left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)\right)$ .

#### Kronecker's Jugendtraum

Produce Hilbert's class fields as special values of complex functions.

#### What is known?

Complex multiplication for elliptic curves. Generalization of imaginary quadratic extensions CM-fields and abelian varieties with complex multiplication (Shimura).



#### 1. We have to construct the *j*-invariant.

- 2. By Hasse bound we have that  $Z := 4p - (p + 1 - m)^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow Z = Dv^2$
- 3. The equation

$$4p = u^2 + Dv^2$$

for some *u* satisfies  $m = p + 1 \pm u$ . The negative number -D is called CM-discriminatin for the prime *p* 

4.

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + p \mapsto \Delta = \operatorname{tr}(F)^2 - 4p = -Dv^2.$$



#### 1. We have to construct the *j*-invariant.

- 2. By Hasse bound we have that  $Z := 4p (p + 1 m)^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow Z = Dv^2.$
- 3. The equation

$$4p = u^2 + Dv^2$$

for some *u* satisfies  $m = p + 1 \pm u$ . The negative number -D is called CM-discriminatin for the prime *p* 

4.

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + p \mapsto \Delta = \operatorname{tr}(F)^2 - 4p = -Dv^2.$$



- 1. We have to construct the *j*-invariant.
- 2. By Hasse bound we have that  $Z := 4p (p + 1 m)^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow Z = Dv^2.$
- 3. The equation

$$4p = u^2 + Dv^2$$

for some *u* satisfies  $m = p + 1 \pm u$ . The negative number -D is called CM-discriminatin for the prime *p* 

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + p \mapsto \Delta = \operatorname{tr}(F)^2 - 4p = -Dv^2.$$



- 1. We have to construct the *j*-invariant.
- 2. By Hasse bound we have that  $Z := 4p (p + 1 m)^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow Z = Dv^2.$
- 3. The equation

$$4p = u^2 + Dv^2$$

for some *u* satisfies  $m = p + 1 \pm u$ . The negative number -D is called CM-discriminatin for the prime *p* 

4.

$$x^2 - \operatorname{tr}(F)x + p \mapsto \Delta = \operatorname{tr}(F)^2 - 4p = -Dv^2.$$





$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{C}) & \tau \in \operatorname{End}(E(\mathbb{C})) & j \text{ is a root of } H_D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{F}_p) & F \in \operatorname{End}(E(\mathbb{F}_p)) & j \text{ is a root of } H_D(x) \operatorname{mod} p \in \mathbb{F}_p[x] \end{array}$$





- 1. Select a prime *p*. Select the smallest *D* together with  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $4p = u^2 + Dv^2$ .
- 2. If one of p + 1 u, p + 1 + u has order a prime number we proceed to elliptic curve construction. If not we try a different p.
- 3. Compute the Hilbert polynomial  $H_D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  using the values of the *j*-invariant. Next compute the polynomial  $H_D(x) \mod p$ . One root if the *j* invariant we are looking for which can be given by (for  $j \neq 0, 1728$ )

$$y^2 = x^3 + 3kc^2x + 2kc^3, k = j/(1728 - j), c \in \mathbb{F}_p$$



The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial grow very fast The Hilbert polynomial for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-299})$  is:

 $x^8 + 391086320728105978429440x^7$ 

-28635280874816126174326167699456x<sup>6</sup>

 $+2094055410006322146651491130721133658112x^{5}-$ 

186547260770756829961971675685151791296544768x<sup>4</sup>

 $+ 6417141278133218665289808655954275181523718111232 x^3 \\$ 

 $-19207839443594488822936988943836177115227877227364352x^{2}$ 

+45797528808215150136248975363201860724351225694802411520x -

182738839653262722237176266286474229078137310161937335559272

Alternative method for computing the Hilbert class field.

#### Dedekind's $\eta$ -function

$$\eta( au) = \exp\left(rac{2\pi i au}{24}
ight) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n), q = \exp(2\pi i au), au \in \mathbb{H}.$$

which leads to the Weber functions:

$$f(z) = e^{-\pi i/24} \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_2(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}.$$

Which can also producte the Hilbert class field (D. Zagier- N. Yui).

What is special about them?

They are modular functions



Alternative method for computing the Hilbert class field.

#### Dedekind's $\eta$ -function

$$\eta( au) = \exp\left(rac{2\pi i au}{24}
ight) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n), q = \exp(2\pi i au), au \in \mathbb{H}.$$

which leads to the Weber functions:

$$f(z) = e^{-\pi i/24} \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_2(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}.$$

Which can also producte the Hilbert class field (D. Zagier- N. Yui).

What is special about them?

They are modular functions



Alternative method for computing the Hilbert class field.

#### Dedekind's $\eta$ -function

$$\eta( au) = \exp\left(rac{2\pi i au}{24}
ight) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n), q = \exp(2\pi i au), au \in \mathbb{H}.$$

which leads to the Weber functions:

$$f(z) = e^{-\pi i/24} \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_1(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})}{\eta(\tau)}, f_2(\tau) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}.$$

Which can also producte the Hilbert class field (D. Zagier- N. Yui).

What is special about them?

They are modular functions











$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ A := egin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) ext{ with } A \equiv I_2 ext{ mod} N 
ight\}.$$

The quotient space  $\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$  is a Riemann surface Y(N) which can be compactified to a compact Riemann surface X(N) adding some points on the line  $\operatorname{Im}(s) = 0$ . The meromorphic functions on X(N) are called modular functions of level N.



$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } A \equiv I_2 \mod N 
ight\}.$$

The quotient space  $\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$  is a Riemann surface Y(N) which can be compactified to a compact Riemann surface X(N) adding some points on the line Im(s) = 0. The meromorphic functions on X(N) are called modular functions of level N.



$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ A := egin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) ext{ with } A \equiv I_2 ext{ mod} N 
ight\}.$$

The quotient space  $\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$  is a Riemann surface Y(N) which can be compactified to a compact Riemann surface X(N) adding some points on the line Im(s) = 0. The meromorphic functions on X(N) are called modular functions of level N.



$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } A \equiv I_2 \mod N 
ight\}.$$

The quotient space  $\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}$  is a Riemann surface Y(N) which can be compactified to a compact Riemann surface X(N) adding some points on the line Im(s) = 0. The meromorphic functions on X(N) are called modular functions of level N.









"There are five elementary arithmetical operations: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms."





#### Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  be the ring of algebraic integers of the imaginary quadratic field K, and  $x^2 + Bx + C$  the minimal polynomial of  $\theta$ . We consider a natural number N > 1 and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  the generators of the group  $(\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^*$ ,  $x_i = a_i + b_i\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ . We also consider the matrix

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i - Bb_i & -Cb_i \\ b_i & a_i \end{pmatrix}$$

If f is a modular function of level N and for all matrices  $A_i$  we have

$$f(\theta) = f^{A_i}(\theta), \mathbb{Q}(j) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\theta),$$

then  $f(\theta)$  generates the Hilbert class field.











$$t_{n} = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3\tau_{n})\eta(\frac{1}{3}\tau_{n} + \frac{2}{3})}{\eta^{2}(\tau_{n})},$$
  

$$\tau_{n} = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}, n \equiv 11 \text{ mod}24$$
  

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c|c}n & p_{n}(t)\\11 & t - 1\\35 & t^{2} + 1 - 1\\59 & t^{3} + 2t - 1\\59 & t^{3} + 2t^{2} + 2t - 1\\107 & t^{3} - 2t^{2} + 4t - 1\end{array}}$$

**Claim**  $p_n$  generate the Hilbert class field.







$$t_n = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3\tau_n)\eta(\frac{1}{3}\tau_n + \frac{2}{3})}{\eta^2(\tau_n)},$$
  

$$\tau_n = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}, n \equiv 11 \mod 24$$
  

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c|c}n & p_n(t)\\\hline11 & t - 1\\\\35 & t^2 + 1 - 1\\\\59 & t^3 + 2t - 1\\\\83 & t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t - 1\\\\107 & t^3 - 2t^2 + 4t - 1\end{array}}$$

**Claim**  $p_n$  generate the Hilbert class field.







$$t_n = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3\tau_n)\eta(\frac{1}{3}\tau_n + \frac{2}{3})}{\eta^2(\tau_n)},$$
  

$$\tau_n = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}, n \equiv 11 \mod 24$$
  

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c|c}n & p_n(t)\\\hline11 & t - 1\\\\35 & t^2 + 1 - 1\\\\59 & t^3 + 2t - 1\\\\83 & t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t - 1\\\\107 & t^3 - 2t^2 + 4t - 1\end{array}}$$

**Claim**  $p_n$  generate the Hilbert class field.











They proved that Ramanujan was right and they asked how polynomials for other values of *n* can be constructed E. Konstantinou and A.K. answered this question

$$p_{299}(x) = x^8 + x^7 - x^6 - 12x^5 + 16x^4 - 12x^3 + 15x^2 - 13x + 1.$$



# E. Konstantinou









# Shimura's reciprocity law allows us to verify that a modular function generates the Hilbert's class field. Can we construct such modular functions?

All known such invariants came out from extremely talented Mathematicians.



Shimura's reciprocity law allows us to verify that a modular function generates the Hilbert's class field. Can we construct such modular functions? All known such invariants came out from extremely talented

Mathematicians.



We can construct finitely dimensional vector spaces V consisted of modular functions of level N such that  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is acting on V. We write  $a \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  as  $b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $b \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ .

The group  $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the elements  $\mathit{S}=ig($ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

end 
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

The action of S on functions  $g \in V$  is defined by  $g \circ S = g(-1/z) \in V$ and the action of T by  $g \circ T = g(z + 1) \in V$ .



We can construct finitely dimensional vector spaces V consisted of modular functions of level N such that  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is acting on V. We write  $a \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  as  $b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $b \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ .

The group  $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the elements  $\mathit{S}=\left( egin{array}{c} arphi \end{array} 
ight)$ 

end 
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

The action of S on functions  $g \in V$  is defined by  $g \circ S = g(-1/z) \in V$ and the action of T by  $g \circ T = g(z+1) \in V$ .



We can construct finitely dimensional vector spaces V consisted of modular functions of level N such that  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is acting on V. We write  $a \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  as  $b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $b \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ . The group  $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is generated by the elements  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  end  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The action of *S* on functions  $g \in V$  is defined by  $g \circ S = g(-1/z) \in V$ and the action of *T* by  $g \circ T = g(z+1) \in V$ .



We can construct finitely dimensional vector spaces V consisted of modular functions of level N such that  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is acting on V. We write  $a \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  as  $b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}^*$  and  $b \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ .

The group SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ) is generated by the elements  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

end 
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

The action of S on functions  $g \in V$  is defined by  $g \circ S = g(-1/z) \in V$ and the action of T by  $g \circ T = g(z+1) \in V$ .





# Finaly the action $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ is given by the action of elements $\sigma_d \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ on Fourier coefficients. Since every element in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is a word in *S*, *T* we have a function $\rho$



where  $\phi$  is the natural homomorphisms.




Finally the action  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$  is given by the action of elements  $\sigma_d \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$  on Fourier coefficients. Since every element in  $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  is a word in S, T we have a function  $\rho$ 

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}}{N\mathcal{O}}\right)^* \xrightarrow{\phi} \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V), \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi$  is the natural homomorphisms.





The function  $\rho$  as it is defined is not a homomorphism, but it satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\rho(\sigma\tau) = \rho(\tau)\rho(\sigma)^{\tau}$$
(2)

and gives rise to a class in  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}(V))$ , where  $G = (\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^*$ . The restriction of  $\rho$  on the subgroup  $H = \ker \phi \subset G$  defined as

$$H := \{x \in G : \det(\phi(x)) = 1\}$$

is a homomorphism.





The function  $\rho$  as it is defined is not a homomorphism, but it satisfies the cocycle condition

$$\rho(\sigma\tau) = \rho(\tau)\rho(\sigma)^{\tau}$$
(2)

and gives rise to a class in  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}(V))$ , where  $G = (\mathcal{O}/N\mathcal{O})^*$ . The restriction of  $\rho$  on the subgroup  $H = \ker \phi \subset G$  defined as

$$H:=\{x\in G: \det(\phi(x))=1\}$$

is a homomorphism.



#### Select a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ of V

Invariant theory gives us effective methods (Reynolds operator, diagonalization) for computing the ring of invariants  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[e_1,\ldots,e_m]^H$ . We select the vector space  $V_n$  of invariant polynomials of degree n. The action of G/H on  $V_n$  gives a cocycle

## $ho'\in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))/\mathbb{Q}),\mathrm{GL}(V_n)).$

Multidimensional Hilbert's 90 theorem gives us the existence of  $P\in \operatorname{GL}(V_n)$  so that

$$\rho'(\sigma) = P^{-1}P^{\sigma}.$$

Select a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  of V

Invariant theory gives us effective methods (Reynolds operator, diagonalization) for computing the ring of invariants  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[e_1,\ldots,e_m]^H$ .

We select the vector space  $V_n$  of invariant polynomials of degree n. The action of G/H on  $V_n$  gives a cocycle

# $ho'\in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))/\mathbb{Q}),\mathrm{GL}(V_n)).$

Multidimensional Hilbert's 90 theorem gives us the existence of  $P\in \operatorname{GL}(V_n)$  so that

$$\rho'(\sigma) = P^{-1}P^{\sigma}$$

Select a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  of V

Invariant theory gives us effective methods (Reynolds operator, diagonalization) for computing the ring of invariants  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)[e_1,\ldots,e_m]^H$ .

We select the vector space  $V_n$  of invariant polynomials of degree n. The action of G/H on  $V_n$  gives a cocycle

$$ho'\in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))/\mathbb{Q}),\mathrm{GL}(V_n)).$$

Multidimensional Hilbert's 90 theorem gives us the existence of  $P \in \operatorname{GL}(V_n)$  so that

$$\rho'(\sigma) = P^{-1}P^{\sigma}.$$
 (3)





#### Modified version of Glasby-Howlett probabilistic algorithm

$$B_{\mathbf{Q}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}/H} \rho(\sigma) \mathbf{Q}^{\sigma}.$$
 (4)

If we find a 2 × 2 matrix in  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$  so that  $B_Q$  is invertible, then  $P := B_Q^{-1}$ .

Since non invertible matrices are rare (they form a Zariski closed set in the space of matrices) finding such an invertible matrix is easy. The first random choice for Q always worked!





#### Modified version of Glasby-Howlett probabilistic algorithm

$$B_{\mathbf{Q}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}/H} \rho(\sigma) \mathbf{Q}^{\sigma}.$$
 (4)

If we find a 2 × 2 matrix in  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$  so that  $B_Q$  is invertible, then  $P := B_Q^{-1}$ .

Since non invertible matrices are rare (they form a Zariski closed set in the space of matrices) finding such an invertible matrix is easy. The first random choice for Q always worked!





Modified version of Glasby-Howlett probabilistic algorithm

$$B_{\mathbf{Q}} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}/H} \rho(\sigma) \mathbf{Q}^{\sigma}.$$
 (4)

If we find a 2 × 2 matrix in  $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))$  so that  $B_Q$  is invertible, then  $P := B_Q^{-1}$ .

Since non invertible matrices are rare (they form a Zariski closed set in the space of matrices) finding such an invertible matrix is easy. The first random choice for Q always worked!





### Generalized Weber functions $\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_2,\mathfrak{g}_3$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{o}}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{3})}{\eta(\tau)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{1}}(\tau) = \zeta_{24}^{-1} \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{3})}{\eta(\tau)},$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{2}}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+2}{3})}{\eta(\tau)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{3}}(\tau) = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)},$$

They are modular functions of level 72.





Generalized Weber functions  $\mathfrak{g}_0,\mathfrak{g}_1,\mathfrak{g}_2,\mathfrak{g}_3$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{o}}(\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau}{3})}{\eta(\tau)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{1}}(\tau) = \zeta_{24}^{-1} \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{3})}{\eta(\tau)}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{2}}(\tau) &= \frac{\eta(\frac{\tau+2}{3})}{\eta(\tau)}, \ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{3}}(\tau) = \sqrt{3} \frac{\eta(3\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \end{split}$$

They are modular functions of level 72.





For n = -571 the group *H* has order 144 and *G* has order 3456. We compute that the polynomials

$$I_1 := \mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_2 + \zeta_{72}^6\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_3, \qquad I_2 := \mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_3 + (-\zeta_{72}^{18} + \zeta_{72}^6)\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_2$$

are invariant under the action of H.

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{1} &:= (-12\zeta_{72}^{18} + 12\zeta_{72}^{6})\mathfrak{g}_{0}\mathfrak{g}_{3} + 12\zeta_{72}^{6}\mathfrak{g}_{0}\mathfrak{g}_{3} + 12\mathfrak{g}_{1}\mathfrak{g}_{2} + 12\mathfrak{g}_{1}\mathfrak{g}_{3}, \\ \mathbf{e}_{2} &:= 12\zeta_{72}^{6}\mathfrak{g}_{1}\mathfrak{g}_{2} + (-12\zeta_{72}^{18} + 12\zeta_{72}^{6})\mathfrak{g}_{0}\mathfrak{g}_{3} + (-12\zeta_{12}^{12} + 12)\mathfrak{g}_{1}\mathfrak{g}_{3} + 12\zeta_{12}^{12} \end{aligned}$ 

Every  $\mathbb Z$ -linear combination of  $e_1, e_2$  is also an invariant.





For n = -571 the group *H* has order 144 and *G* has order 3456. We compute that the polynomials

$$I_1 := \mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_2 + \zeta_{72}^6\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_3, \qquad I_2 := \mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_3 + (-\zeta_{72}^{18} + \zeta_{72}^6)\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_2$$

are invariant under the action of H.

Final invariants

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{e}_1 &:= (-12\zeta_{72}^{18} + 12\zeta_{72}^{\delta})\mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_3 + 12\zeta_{72}^{\delta}\mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_3 + 12\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_2 + 12\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_3, \\ \mathbf{e}_2 &:= 12\zeta_{72}^{\delta}\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_2 + (-12\zeta_{72}^{18} + 12\zeta_{72}^{\delta})\mathfrak{g}_0\mathfrak{g}_3 + (-12\zeta_{72}^{12} + 12)\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_3 + 12\zeta_{72}^{12}\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{g}_3, \end{split}$$

Every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $e_1, e_2$  is also an invariant.



Exc	m	p	les
		÷	

Invariant	polynomial	
Hilbert	$t^{5} + 400497845154831586723701480652800t^{4} +$	
	818520809154613065770038265334290448384t <sup>3</sup> +	
	4398250752422094811238689419574422303726895104t <sup>2</sup>	
	- 16319730975176203906274913715913862844512542392320t	
	+15283054453672803818066421650036653646232315192410112	
	$t^5 - 5433338830617345268674t^4 + 90705913519542658324778088t^3$	
$\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{12}\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{12} + \mathfrak{g}_{2}^{12}\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{12}$	-30493571775300305358117516197287 <sup>2</sup>	
	-390071826912221442431043741686448†	
	- 12509992052647780072147837007511456	
eı	$t^5 - 936t^4 - 60912t^3 - 2426112t^2 - 40310784t - 3386105856$	
e2	$t^{5} - 1512t^{4} - 29808t^{3} + 979776t^{2} + 3359232t - 423263232$	



$$\nu_{N,0} := \sqrt{N} \frac{\eta \circ \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}{\eta} \text{ and } \nu_{k,N} := \frac{\eta \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}}{\eta}, 0 \le k \le N-1.$$

These are known to be modular functions of level 24*N*. Notice that  $\sqrt{N} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24\cdot N})$  and an explicit expression of  $\sqrt{N}$  in terms of  $\zeta_N$  can be given by using Gauss sums



$$\nu_{N,0} := \sqrt{N} \frac{\eta \circ \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}{\eta} \text{ and } \nu_{k,N} := \frac{\eta \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}}{\eta}, 0 \le k \le N-1.$$

These are known to be modular functions of level 24*N*. Notice that  $\sqrt{N} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{24\cdot N})$  and an explicit expression of  $\sqrt{N}$  in terms of  $\zeta_N$  can be given by using Gauss sums



In order to describe the SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ )-action we have to describe the action of the two generators S, T of SL(2,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) given by  $S : z \mapsto -\frac{1}{z}$  and  $T : z \mapsto z + 1$ . Keep in mind that

$$\eta\circ extsf{T}(z)=\zeta_{24}\eta(z)$$
 and  $\eta\circ extsf{S}(z)=\zeta_8^{-1}\sqrt{i}z\eta(z).$ 

We compute that

$$u_{N,0} \circ S = \nu_{0,N} \text{ and } \nu_{N,0} \circ T = \zeta_{24}^{N-1} \nu_{N,0},$$

$$u_{0,N} \circ S = \nu_{N,0} \text{ and } \nu_{0,N} \circ T = \zeta_{24}^{-1} \nu_{1,N},$$

for  $1 \le k < N - 1$  and N is prime

$$u_{k,N} \circ S = \left(\frac{-c}{n}\right) i^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \zeta_{24}^{N(k-c)} \text{ and } \nu_{k,N} \circ T = \zeta_{24}^{-1} \nu_{k+1,N},$$

where  $c = -k^{-1} \mod N$ .

Assume that N = 5 and D = -91. We compute that the group H of determinant 1 has invariants

 $\nu_{5,0} + (\zeta^{25} - \zeta^5)\nu_{3,5}$  and  $\nu_{0,5} + (\zeta^{31} - \zeta^{23} - \zeta^{19} - \zeta^{15} + \zeta^7 + \zeta^3)\nu_{1,5}$ . Using our method we arrive at the final invariants:

$$\begin{split} h &= (-1224\zeta^{28}+612\zeta^{20}+2740\zeta^{16}+1516\zeta^4-612)\nu_{5,0} \\ &+ (4256\zeta^{28}-2128\zeta^{20}-1516\zeta^{16}+2740\zeta^4+2128)\nu_{0,5} \\ &+ (-1224\zeta^{31}-2740\zeta^{27}+612\zeta^{15}+1224\zeta^{11}+1516\zeta^3)\nu_{1,5} \\ &+ (1516\zeta^{29}-612\zeta^{25}+1224\zeta^{13}-1516\zeta^9-2740\zeta)\nu_{3,5}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} b_2 &= (-1952\zeta^{28}+976\zeta^{20}+2128\zeta^{16}+176\zeta^4-976)\nu_{5,0} \\ &+(2304\zeta^{28}-1152\zeta^{20}-176\zeta^{16}+2128\zeta^4+1152)\nu_{0,5} \\ &+(-1952\zeta^{31}-2128\zeta^{27}+976\zeta^{15}+1952\zeta^{11}+176\zeta^3)\nu_{1,5} \\ &+(176\zeta^{29}-976\zeta^{25}+1952\zeta^{13}-176\zeta^9-2128\zeta)\nu_{3,5}. \end{split}$$

The Q-vector space generated by these two functions consists declass functions.



We can now compute the corresponding polynomials:

$$t^2 - 3060t - 28090800$$
 and  $t^2 - 4880t - 71443200$ .

Just for comparison the Hilbert polynomial corresponding to the j invariant is:

$$t^2 + 10359073013760t - 3845689020776448.$$





- 1. Select the best invariants Minimizing height in a lattice
- 2. By examples we see that the best invariants are in the case of monomials
- 3. There are cases *n* mod24 where no monomial invariants exist. In these cases our method gives us the best known results.





#### 1. Select the best invariantsMinimizing height in a lattice

- 2. By examples we see that the best invariants are in the case of monomials
- 3. There are cases *n* mod24 where no monomial invariants exist. In these cases our method gives us the best known results.





- 1. Select the best invariantsMinimizing height in a lattice
- 2. By examples we see that the best invariants are in the case of monomials
- 3. There are cases *n* mod24 where no monomial invariants exist. In these cases our method gives us the best known results.





- 1. Select the best invariantsMinimizing height in a lattice
- 2. By examples we see that the best invariants are in the case of monomials
- 3. There are cases *n* mod24 where no monomial invariants exist. In these cases our method gives us the best known results.



Thank you!



E. Konstantinou, A. Kontogeorgis Computing polynomials of the Ramanujan t<sub>n</sub> class invariants Canad. Math. Bull., Vol. 52, No. 4, pg. 583--597, 2009.

E. Konstantinou, A. Kontogeorgis

Ramanujan invariants for discriminants congruent to 5 (mod 2)4 em Int. J. Number Theory, Vol. 8, No. 1, pg. 265-287, 2012.

- A. Kontogeorgis

Constructing class invariants

In Math. Comp., Vol. 83, No. 287, pg. 1477--1488, 2014.

