

BIDUALITY AND REFLEXIVITY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

A. KONTOGEOORGIS AND G. PETROULAKIS

ABSTRACT. The biduality and reflexivity theorems are known to hold for projective varieties defined over fields of characteristic zero, and to fail in positive characteristic. In this article we construct a notion of reflexivity and biduality in positive characteristic by generalizing the ordinary tangent space to the notion of h -tangent spaces. The ordinary reflexivity theory can be recovered as the special case $h = 0$, of our theory. Several varieties that are not ordinary reflexive or bidual become reflexive in our extended theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this article is to study the biduality theorem and the stronger notion of reflexivity of varieties in positive characteristic. If k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$, it is a very old observation that points in the projective space \mathbb{P}_k^n correspond to hyperplanes in the dual projective space and vice versa. This notion of duality can be generalized to closed irreducible varieties $M \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and gives rise to a dual variety M^* in the dual projective space.

The biduality theorem (known to hold over fields of characteristic 0) asserts that $(M^*)^* = M$. One of the proofs of this fact, [9, p.29] uses the notion of the conormal bundle, the natural symplectic structure on the cotangent bundle of a manifold. Wallace, [30], was the first to consider the theory of projective duality over fields of positive characteristic. For a nice introduction to projective duality independent of the characteristic of the base field we refer to [23].

Let $M \in \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a projective variety and denote by M_{sm} the set of smooth points of M . The classical conormal variety $\text{Con}(M)$ is defined by

$$\text{Con}(M) := \overline{\{(P, H) \in M_{\text{sm}} \times \mathbb{P}_k^{n*} : T_P M \subset H\}} \subset M \times \mathbb{P}_k^{n*} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n \times \mathbb{P}_k^{n*},$$

i.e., the Zariski closure of the algebraic set consisted of pairs (P, H) , $P \in M_{\text{sm}}$, $H \in \mathbb{P}^{*n}$ such that $T_P M \subset H$.

Let π_2 be the second projection $\text{Con}(M) \rightarrow \pi_2(\text{Con}(M)) := M^* \subset \mathbb{P}^{*n}$, which will be called the conormal map. It is known that M^* is an algebraic variety of \mathbb{P}^{*n} . If $\text{Con}(M) = \text{Con}(M^*)$, then M is called reflexive. Equivalently, in terms of isomorphisms, M is reflexive if the natural isomorphism from \mathbb{P}_k^n to $(\mathbb{P}_k^{n*})^*$ induces the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_k^{n*} \times (\mathbb{P}_k^{n*})^* & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{P}_k^{n*} \times \mathbb{P}_k^n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Con}(M^*) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Con}(M) \end{array}$$

It is known that reflexivity implies biduality, but there are examples known of bidual varieties that are not reflexive. Reflexivity also holds for all projective varieties in characteristic zero, while in characteristic $p > 0$, reflexivity can fail, see the Fermat-curve example in [30]. In

Date: April 6, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14N05, 14G17, 11G15.

Key words and phrases. Duality, quantum Lagrangian manifolds, finite characteristic.

positive characteristic there is the following criterion for reflexivity, whose proof may be found in [15].

Theorem 1 (Monge-Segre-Wallace). *A projective variety M is reflexive if and only if the conormal map π_2 is separable.*

The problems of biduality and reflexivity of a projective variety $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ have been addressed by several authors via the use of the Gauss map, i.e., the rational map from M to the Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}(n, m)$, which sends a smooth point $P \in M$ to the m -dimensional tangent space $T_P M \in \mathbb{P}^n$ - in the case of a hypersurface, the Gauss map is just a map $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{*n}$. As proved in [20], the separability of the Gauss map and the reflexivity of a variety are equivalent in the one-dimensional case, i.e. for projective curves. For higher dimensions, the authors in [21] showed that the Gauss map of a projective variety M is separable if M is reflexive. On the other hand, the converse of this result, i.e. whether the reflexivity of a projective variety implies the separability of the Gauss map, was answered recently negatively, since there are specific examples (such as the Segre varieties) for which this assumption is not true. These examples and further analysis is found in [7] and the references therein. The previous work and results are, to the best of our knowledge, the most recent with regard to the study of biduality and reflexivity and are focused on whether and when they fail or not, in positive characteristic.

The aim of this article is to extend the notions of biduality and reflexivity in the case of positive characteristic. We will make appropriate definitions which will make some important examples of varieties reflexive. We generalize the theory of Lagrange varieties presented in [9, p.29] for projective varieties in the zero characteristic case, by introducing the respective h -cotangent bundle and h -Lagrangian subvarieties. The case of hypersurfaces is illuminating and straightforward calculations can be made in terms of the implicit-inverse function theorem approach of Wallace, [30].

Reflexivity has many important applications to enumerative geometry, computations with discriminants and resultants, invariant theory, combinatorics etc. We hope that our construction will find some similar applications to positive characteristic algebraic geometry.

From now on k is an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p and $q = p^h$ is a power of p . Instead of tangent hyperplanes, we will consider generalized hyperplanes, i.e. hypersurfaces of the form $V(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i^{p^h})$ and the duality will be expressed in terms of these generalized hyperplanes.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over k . Consider $M \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ an irreducible projective variety and consider the cone $M' \subset V$ seen as an affine variety in V . Assume that the homogeneous ideal of M' is generated by the homogeneous polynomials F_1, \dots, F_r . Fix a natural number h and consider the $n + 1$ -uple

$$\nabla^{(h)} F_i = \left(D_0^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i, D_1^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i, \dots, D_n^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i \right),$$

where $D_i^{(h)}$ denotes the h -Hasse derivative which will be defined in definition 4. Each F_i defines a p^h -linear form given by

$$L_i^{(h)} := \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left(D_i^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i \right) x_\nu^{p^h}.$$

For the precise definition of p^h -linear forms and their space V^{*h} see section 2.2.

For a projective variety M we will define the set M_{sm}^h of smooth h -points in definition 30, which set if non-empty is dense in M , since we have assumed that M is irreducible.

Definition 2. For a projective irreducible variety M with $M_{\text{sm}}^h \neq \emptyset$ we define the h -tangent space $T_P^{(h)}M$ at P to be the variety defined by the equations $L_i^{(h)} = 0$. The h -conormal space is defined as the subset of $V \times V^{*h}$

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Con}^{(h)}(M) = \overline{\{(P, H) : P \in M_{\text{sm}}^h, H \text{ is a } p^h\text{-linear form which vanishes on } T_P^{(h)}M\}}.$$

It is evident that the h -conormal space can be identified to the space of p^h -linear forms on the h -normal space $N_M^{(h)}(P)$ defined as

$$N_M^{(h)}(P) = V/T_P^{(h)}(M).$$

If the variety is not reflexive, we might choose an appropriate h so that we can have a form of reflexivity based on $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$. How are we going to select h ? If the characteristic of the base field k is zero or if the variety M is reflexive then $h = 0$. If the variety M is just a hypersurface then the answer is simple: If M fails to be reflexive then the second projection $C(M) \rightarrow M^*$ is a map of inseparable degree p^h , and in this way we obtained the required h .

Even in the case of hypersurfaces one has to be careful. Projective duality depends on Euler's theorem on homogeneous polynomials, since a homogeneous polynomial can be reconstructed by the values of all first order derivatives. An appropriate generalization of Euler's theorem is known but we have to restrict ourselves to a class of polynomials which we will call h -homogeneous. Their precise definition is given in definition 17.

The main result of our work is the following theorem

Theorem 3. *Consider the vector space V^{*h} of p^h -linear forms. Let $M \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ be an irreducible, reduced projective variety generated by h -homogeneous elements, which also has a non-empty h -non-singular locus, as these are defined in Definition 17 and Definition 30, respectively. Assume that we can select an h so that the map $\pi_2 : V \times V^{*h} \supset \text{Con}^h(M) \rightarrow \pi_2(M) := Z \subset V^{*h}$ is separable and generically smooth and also that Z has a non-empty set of h -non-singular points. Consider the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : V \times V^{*h} &\rightarrow V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (y, F(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where F is the isomorphism $F : V \rightarrow (V^{*h})^{*h}$ introduced in Theorem 10. Then

$$\Psi(\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)) = \text{Con}^h(Z) \subset V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} = V^{*h} \times V.$$

Notice also that in contrast to ordinary situation where the set of non-singular points forms a dense open subset, for $h > 0$ the set of h -non-singular points can be empty. The existence of a non-empty set of h -non-singular points is essential for the definition of the conormal space and has to be assumed.

Notice that the explicit construction of the dual variety involves a projection map which can be computed using elimination theory, see [6, ex. 14.8 p. 315]. The algebraic set $M \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, gives rise to the conormal scheme $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{h*}$. If $k[\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n]$ is the polynomial ring corresponding to the dual projective space and

$$I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \triangleleft k[x_0, \dots, x_n],$$

the ideal corresponding to M , then the ideal $I' \triangleleft k[x_0, \dots, x_n, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_n]$ corresponding to the conormal scheme is generated by $I \cdot k[x_0, \dots, x_n, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_n]$ and the equations

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_i D_{x_i}^{(h)} f_i \cdot \xi_i^{p^h} = 0, \quad \lambda_i \in k.$$

The dual variety can be computed by eliminating the variables $x_0, \dots, x_n, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ and by obtaining a homogeneous ideal in $k[\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n]$. Notice that there are powerful algorithms for performing elimination using the theory of Gröbner bases, see example 36.

The structure of the article is as follows: In Section 2.1 we define and describe a number of important tools, notions and results, we are going to use through out the paper. First we start with the family of Hasse derivatives, which will be seen as derivatives with respect to some new ghost variables $x_i^{(q^h)}$. These derivatives were first introduced by Hasse and Schmidt [13],[26] in order to study Weierstrass points in positive characteristic. Afterwards, we define the so-called p^h -linear forms and their respective space. In the same section we define the q -symplectic form we are going to use in the last section, in order to create a suitable Lagrangian variety for our work. In the same section we generalize the Euler identity for homogeneous polynomials and obtain the h -homogeneous polynomial definition. In Section 3 we present the implicit-inverse function theorem approach of our theory, we make connections with elimination theory, and treat the hypersurface case. In the last section, we generalize all the respective notions met in Lagrangian manifold theory for biduality in characteristic zero, [9, p.29] and using them we prove Theorem 3.

2. TOOLS AND BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

The main idea behind our approach, assuming that k has characteristic $p > 0$, is to set the quantity $x_i^{p^h}$ as a new variable $x_i^{(h)}$, for $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. As it is well known, the classical partial derivatives D_{x_i} on the polynomial ring $k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ are zero on the polynomials of the form $f(x_0^p, \dots, x_r^p)$, and this is the reason biduality and reflexivity fail in positive characteristic. The theory of Hasse derivatives will help us deal with this.

2.1. Hasse Derivatives.

Definition 4. A Hasse family of differential operators on a commutative unital k -algebra A , is a family $D_{\underline{n}}, \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$, of k -vector space endomorphisms of A satisfying the conditions:

- (1) $D_{\underline{0}} = \text{Id}$
- (2) $D_{\underline{n}}(c) = 0$, for all $c \in k$ and $\underline{n} \neq \underline{0}$.
- (3) $D_{\underline{n}} \circ D_{\underline{m}} = \binom{\underline{n}+\underline{m}}{\underline{n}} D_{\underline{n}+\underline{m}}$
- (4) $D_{\underline{n}}(a \cdot b) = \sum_{\underline{i}+\underline{j}=\underline{n}} D_{\underline{i}} a \cdot D_{\underline{j}} b$,

where for $\underline{n} = (n_0, \dots, n_r), \underline{m} = (m_0, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$

$$\binom{\underline{n}}{\underline{m}} = \binom{n_0}{m_0} \cdots \binom{n_r}{m_r}.$$

An example of a Hasse family is given as follows: For $A = k[\underline{x}] = k[x_0, \dots, x_r]$, and $\underline{x}^{\underline{m}} = x_0^{m_0} \cdots x_r^{m_r}$ we define

$$D_{\underline{n}} \underline{x}^{\underline{m}} = \binom{\underline{m}}{\underline{n}} \underline{x}^{\underline{m}-\underline{n}}.$$

Let us denote by $D_i = D_{\underline{n}_i}$ for $\underline{n}_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. there is an 1 in the i -th position. For general \underline{n} we can recover $D_{\underline{n}}$ by $D_{\underline{n}} = D_0^{n_0} \circ \cdots \circ D_r^{n_r}$, where $D_i^{n_i}$ denotes the composition of

D_i n_i times. One can prove (see [14]) that for $n = \sum_{j=0}^s n_j p^j$ with $0 \leq n_j < p$ for all $j = 0, \dots, s$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad D_i^n = \frac{1}{n_0! \cdots n_s!} \left(D_i^{p^s} \right)^{n_s} \cdots (D_i^p)^{n_1} (D_i^1)^{n_0},$$

therefore for each i , the family (D_i^n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is determined by the operators $D_i^1, D_i^p, D_i^{p^2}, \dots$

Definition 5. We will denote by $D_{x_i}^{(h)}$ the operator $D_i^{p^h}$.

If $D_i^j a = 0$ for some a and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D_i^m = 0$ for all $m \geq_p j$. In particular if $D_i^{p^\mu} = 0$, then $D_i^{p^{\mu+1}}(a) = \cdots = D_i^{p^{\mu+1}-1}(a) = 0$.

The following result, [14], will be used several times during derivation processes in the next sections.

Lemma 6. Let x, t we indeterminates and $q = p^h$. If $f(t) \in k[t]$, then

$$D_x^n f(x^q) = \begin{cases} D_t^{n/q}(f)(x^q) & \text{if } q \mid n \\ 0 & \text{if } q \nmid n \end{cases},$$

where D_x^n (resp. D_t^n) are the Hasse derivatives defined on $k[x]$ (resp. $k[t]$).

Remark 7. Note that in multilinear algebra, a system of divided powers on a k -algebra A , is a collection of functions $x \mapsto x^{(d)}$ satisfying a set of axioms given in [6, p. 579]. We observe that the Hasse derivatives D_i^n form a system of divided powers on the commutative ring of differential operators $k[\partial/\partial x_i]$.

2.2. Semilinear algebra. Since first order Hasse derivatives can not grasp the structure of p -powers, we have to generalize the notion of tangent space.

2.2.1. Frobenius actions and Hilbert's 90 theorem. We will now collect some results on Galois descent for vector spaces, see also [10, lemma 2.3.8]. Let V be a vector space of dimension $n+1$, with a basis $B = \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ and let $x_0, \dots, x_n \in V^*$ be linear independent coordinates with respect to the basis B , i.e.

$$V \ni v = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v) e_i.$$

Let $F_p : k \rightarrow k$ be the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^p$. The Frobenius map F_p generates $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ as a profinite group. Assume that the space V is equipped with an semilinear action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$, i.e. $\sigma(\lambda \cdot v) = \lambda^p \sigma(v)$ for all $\lambda \in k$ and $v \in V$. This action is expressed by an $n \times n$ matrix $\rho(\sigma) \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$. The entries a_{ij} of the matrix $\rho(\sigma)$ are given by

$$\sigma e_i = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu,i} e_\nu.$$

In this way we see that $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(k)$ is not a homomorphism but a cocycle, i.e. it satisfies the condition:

$$\rho(\sigma\tau) = \rho(\sigma)\rho(\tau)^\sigma.$$

Hilbert's 90 theorem [25] assures that there is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix P such that $\rho(\sigma) = P^{-1}P^\sigma$ and thus we can find a basis which is trivial under the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$. The action

of the Frobenius map with respect to this basis is the action given by the Frobenius map on the coordinates, i.e.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{F_p} & V \\ v = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i e_i & \longrightarrow & F_p(v) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i^p e_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) & \longrightarrow & (\lambda_1^p, \dots, \lambda_n^p) \\ \mathbb{F}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}^n. \end{array}$$

From now on we will use Frobenius invariant bases e_0, \dots, e_n . The polynomial ring $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is naturally attached to the vector space V since $\text{Sym}(V^*) = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$.

Remark 8. For an element $v \in V$ we will denote by v^{p^i} the element $F_p^i(v)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since we use Frobenius invariant bases we can work with coordinates as we did in eq. (2.2).

Definition 9. An h -hyperplane H is the algebraic set given by an equation of the form:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i^{p^h} = 0, a_i \in k.$$

Such a hyperplane defines a p^h -linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : V &\rightarrow k \\ \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v) e_i = v &\mapsto \phi(v) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i(v)^{p^h}. \end{aligned}$$

The set of p^h linear maps denoted by V^{*h} consists of functions $\phi : V \rightarrow k$, such that

- (1) $\phi(v_1 + v_2) = \phi(v_1) + \phi(v_2)$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$
- (2) $\phi(\lambda v) = \lambda^{p^h} \phi(v)$ for all $\lambda \in k$ and $v \in V$.

The space V^{*h} becomes naturally a k -vector space, with basis the set $\{x_i^{p^h} : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Theorem 10. *The space $(V^{*h})^{*h}$ is canonically isomorphic to the initial space V .*

Proof. The element $v \in V$ is sent by the isomorphism F to the space $(V^{*h})^{*h}$ defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} F : V &\rightarrow (V^{*h})^{*h} \\ v &\mapsto F(v), \end{aligned}$$

where $F(v)$ is the map defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} F(v) : V^{*h} &\rightarrow k \\ \phi &\rightarrow F(v)(\phi) = \phi \left(v^{1/p^{2h}} \right)^{p^h}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the map $v \mapsto v^{1/p^h}$ is well defined since the field k is assumed to be perfect.

Observe first that $F(v)$ is indeed a p^h -linear map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} F(v)(\lambda_1\phi_1 + \lambda_2\phi_2) &= \left(\lambda_1\phi_1 \left(v^{1/p^{2h}} \right) + \lambda_2\phi_2 \left(v^{1/p^{2h}} \right) \right)^{p^h} \\ &= \lambda_1^{p^h} \phi_1 \left(v^{1/p^{2h}} \right)^{p^h} + \lambda_2^{p^h} \phi_2 \left(v^{1/p^{2h}} \right)^{p^h} \\ &= \lambda_1^{p^h} F(v)(\phi_1) + \lambda_2^{p^h} F(v)(\phi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $F(v)$ is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda_1v_1 + \lambda_2v_2)(\phi) &= \phi \left(\lambda_1^{1/p^{2h}} v_1^{1/p^{2h}} + \lambda_2^{1/p^{2h}} v_2^{1/p^{2h}} \right)^{p^h} \\ &= \lambda_1\phi(v_1^{1/p^{2h}})^{p^h} + \lambda_2\phi(v_2^{1/p^{2h}})^{p^h} \\ &= (\lambda_1F(v_1) + \lambda_2F(v_2))\phi, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $F(\lambda_1v_1 + \lambda_2v_2) = \lambda_1F(v_1) + \lambda_2F(v_2)$, for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in k$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$. \square

Let us work with coordinates now. Express an element $v \in V$ as $v = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v)e_i$, where $\{e_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$ is a Frobenius invariant basis as expressed in section 2.2.1, and let $\phi \in V^{*h}$ written in terms of a Frobenius dual basis as $\phi = \sum_{i=0}^n y_i(\phi)e_i^{*h}$. Set $q = p^h$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \phi(v) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v)^q y_i(v),$$

while we have (recall that $F(v) \in (V^{*h})^{h*}$)

$$(2.4) \quad F(v)(\phi) = \phi \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v)^{1/2q} e_i \right)^q = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i(v) y_i(v)^q.$$

This means that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V \times V^{*h} \ni (v, \phi) & \longmapsto & \phi(v) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q y_i \in k \\ \Psi \downarrow & & \\ V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} \ni (\phi, F(v)) & \longmapsto & F(v)(\phi) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i^q. \end{array}$$

In our generalised point of view duality means that a point $[v] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, represented by the vector $v \in V$, can be also seen as a q -hyperplane $[F(v)]$ on $\mathbb{P}((V^{*h})^{*h})$.

2.3. q-Symplectic forms. Let \mathbb{F} be a field of positive characteristic p and let $q = p^h$ be a certain power of p . In order to define a suitable Lagrangian variety in the positive characteristic case, we need its respective symplectic form.

Definition 11. A q -symplectic form Ω on V is a function:

$$\Omega : V \times V^{*h} \rightarrow k$$

which is additive, i.e. for all $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2 \in V$ we have

$$\Omega(v_1 + v_2, w_1) = \Omega(v_1, w_1) + \Omega(v_2, w_1), \quad \Omega(v_1, w_1 + w_2) = \Omega(v_1, w_1) + \Omega(v_1, w_2),$$

such that there is a symplectic basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ so that

$$\Omega(e_i, e_j) = 0 = \Omega(f_i, f_j), \Omega(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}, \Omega(f_i, e_j) = -\delta_{ij}.$$

Moreover for arbitrary elements

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i e_i + \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j f_j$$

and

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda'_i e_i + \sum_{j=0}^n \mu'_j f_j$$

the symplectic form is computed:

$$\Omega(v, w) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(\lambda_i^{p^h} \mu'_i - \mu_i \lambda_i'^{p^h} \right).$$

Remark 12. As in [5, p. 8], the notions of p^h -orthogonality, p^h -symplectic, p^h -isotropic and p^h -lagrangian subvector spaces can be defined. Since these notions are not needed in this note, we will not develop their theory here.

2.4. Powers of Frobenius as ghost variables. In this section we will add extra ghost variables $x_i^{(h)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ and for $h = 1, \dots, \infty$. This is an idea coming from the similarities of the p -power Frobenius map and differential equations [11, sec. I.1.9] and the ring of differential polynomials see [4, exam. 5.2.5].

Lemma 13. *Consider a term $\underline{x}^{\underline{i}}$, where $\underline{i} = (i_0, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, and the p -adic expansions of each index:*

$$i_\nu = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} i_\nu(\mu) p^\mu, \quad 0 \leq i_\nu(\mu) < p.$$

Therefore, a term $\underline{x}^{\underline{i}}$ can be written as

$$(2.6) \quad \underline{x}^{\underline{i}} = \prod_{\mu_0=0}^{\infty} \dots \prod_{\mu_n=0}^{\infty} x_0^{i_0(\mu_0)p^{\mu_0}} \dots x_n^{i_n(\mu_n)p^{\mu_n}}.$$

Consider the ring

$$(2.7) \quad R := k[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(h)}, \dots, x_n^{(h)}, \dots,]$$

and define the degree $\deg x_\nu^{(i)} = p^i$. We also define the homomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi : R &\rightarrow k[x_0, \dots, x_n] \\ x_i^{(j)} &\mapsto x_i^{p^j} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq h. \end{aligned}$$

The map ϕ is onto, and moreover

$$(2.9) \quad \phi \left(D_{x_i^{(j)}} f \right) = D_{x_i}^{(h)} \phi(f).$$

Proof. Let $f \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. If we write every term of f as in eq. (2.6) and replace $x_j^{i_j(\mu) p^\mu}$ by $\left(x_j^{(\mu)} \right)^{i_j}$, we get a polynomial $\tilde{f} \in R$ such that $\phi(\tilde{f}) = f$. The relation given in eq. (2.9) follows by the property of the Hasse derivative

$$D_{x_i}^{(h)} \left(x_j^{p^\ell} \right) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{h,\ell},$$

and the differentiation rules. □

In other words, this lemma shows that if we set the quantity $x_i^{p^h}$ which appears in the related varieties, as a new variable $x_i^{(h)}$, with the use of suitable expansions, the partial derivation $D_{x_i^{(h)}}$ with respect to the variables $x_i^{(h)}$ will coincide with the Hasse derivatives $D_{x_i}^{(h)}$.

Remark 14. The kernel of the map ϕ of eq. (2.8) is the ideal generated by $x_i^{p^h} - x_i^{(h)}$, which is a homogeneous ideal by the definition of the degrees $\deg_\nu x^{(i)}$. Therefore, we have the following compatible diagram of vector spaces, rings and derivations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{V} & R = \text{Sym}(\tilde{V}^*) & \{D_{x_i^{(h)}} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq h \leq N\} \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow \phi & \parallel \\
 V & k[x_0, \dots, x_n] = \text{Sym}(V^*) & \{D_{x_i}^{(h)} \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq h \leq N\}
 \end{array}$$

In the above diagram we have a vector space, the natural ring of polynomial functions on it and the natural set of derivations. When taking the quotient by the ideal $\ker \phi$, the set of derivations is not altered and the derivations corresponding to the dual basis of \tilde{V} survive, giving rise to Hasse derivatives on the quotient.

Remark 15. The definition of the ring R in this subsection, could provide an alternative way to force separability and therefore reflexivity to hold, for a class of weighted projective varieties, which we may call bihomogeneous.

Consider an ideal I of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ generated by elements F_1, \dots, F_t . Instead of working with the polynomial ring R , of infinite Krull dimension we restrict ourselves to the ring

$$R_N := k[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(N)}, \dots, x_n^{(N)}],$$

where N is big enough so that the map $\phi_h : R_h \rightarrow k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ is onto I . Essentially this means that every term of all polynomials F_i is of the form $x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ and the p -adic expansions of i_j , $0 \leq j \leq n$ do not involve p -powers p^h with $N < h$. For example for $p = 3$ and the polynomial $x^{10} + x^{21}$ we have to take $N = 2$ since

$$x^{10} + x^{21} = x^{1+3^2} + x^{3 \cdot 7} = x x^{3^2} + (x^3)^7 = x\phi(x^{(2)}) + \phi(x^{(1)})^7.$$

Let now \tilde{I} be the ideal of R_N defined by $\phi^{-1}(I)$, then \tilde{I} is generated by the polynomials $\tilde{F}_i \in R_N$ defined in the proof of Lemma 13. Since, the procedure of Lemma 13 replaces all powers of the form $x_i^{p^h}$ by the new coordinates $x_i^{(h)}$, which still have degree p^h , if I is a homogeneous ideal of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, then it is generated by homogeneous elements F_1, \dots, F_t and the corresponding polynomials in new variables are still homogeneous. In other words, if I is a homogeneous ideal of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, then \tilde{I} is a homogeneous ideal of R .

Recall that a weighted projective space is the quotient $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n) = (\mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}) / k^*$ under the equivalence relation $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (\lambda^{a_0} x_0, \dots, \lambda^{a_n} x_n)$, for $\lambda \in k^*$.

In our case, in order to form algebraic sets corresponding to ideals $\phi^{-1}(I)$, we have to consider the weighted projective spaces, $\mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1, p, \dots, p, p^2, \dots, p^2, \dots, p^N, \dots, p^N)$. In a weighted projective space linear equations of the form

$$\sum_{h=0}^N \sum_{i=0}^n a_{h,i} x_i^{(h)} = 0,$$

do not give rise to homogeneous ideals unless they are of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_{h_0, i} x_i^{(h)} = 0,$$

and it is not entirely clear what projective duality will mean for weighted projective varieties. Of course, it is known that every weighted projective variety M is isomorphic to an ordinary projective variety $\tilde{M} \in \mathbb{P}^\ell$ for some big enough element ℓ , [19, th. 4.3.9]. The homogeneous ideal \tilde{I} corresponding to M is generated by polynomials of degree smaller than p , therefore it is reflexive.

We will not pursue here the theory of duality of weighted projective varieties, but we can see something interesting for some of them; if we consider the polynomial ring

$$R_{0,N} = k[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_0^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}, \dots, x_0^{(N)}, \dots, x_n^{(N)}],$$

but now $\deg(x_0)^{(i)} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq h$, the ideal $\phi^{-1}(I) \in R_{0,N}$, of a homogeneous ideal I of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ does not need to be homogeneous in $R_{0,N}$ with this grading. If it is homogeneous, then we can define it as bihomogeneous. For example, the hypersurface defined by the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{p^h+1}$ gives rise to the ideal generated by the polynomial $x_0^{(h)}x_0 + x_1^{(h)}x_1 + \dots + x_n^{(h)}x_n$, which is bihomogeneous. On the other hand, the hypersurface defined by the homogeneous polynomial $x_0^{p+1} - x_1x_2 \cdots x_{p+1}$, is not bihomogeneous, i.e., the polynomial $x_0^{(1)}x_0 - x_1x_2 \cdots x_{p+1}$ is homogeneous in the graded ring R_N but not in the graded ring $R_{0,N}$. Observe now that the projective algebraic set $V(\phi^{-1}(I)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{h(n+1)}$ defined by the bihomogeneous ideal $\phi^{-1}(I) \subset R_{0,N}$ does not have a variable raised to a power of p , therefore it is reflexive.

2.4.1. *Example: Generalized quadratic forms.* Let $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)^t$ and consider the homogeneous polynomial

$$f_A := \underline{x}^t A \underline{x}^q = \sum_{i,j=0}^n x_i a_{ij} x_j^q,$$

where $A = (a_{i,j})$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix, and $q = p^h$. If $A = \mathbb{I}_{n+1}$, then F is the diagonal Fermat hypersurface also called Hermitian hypersurface. For $q = 1$ the polynomial f_A is just a quadratic form.

We compute that for a point $P = [a_0 : \dots : a_n] \in V(f_A)$

$$D_{x_\ell}^{(0)} f_A(P) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_{\ell,j} a_j^{p^h}$$

and

$$D_{x_\ell}^{(h)} f_A(P) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i a_{i,\ell}.$$

We write the coordinates of P as a column vector $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t$ and we compute both ∇f_A , $\nabla^q f_A$,

$$\nabla f_A = (D_{x_0} f_A, \dots, D_{x_n} f_A) = Aa^q = (A^{1/q}a)^q$$

and

$$\nabla^q f_A = (D_{x_0}^{(q)} f_A, \dots, D_{x_n}^{(q)} f_A) = a^t \cdot A.$$

The Gauss map $a \mapsto (A^{1/q}a)^q$ is inseparable.

Define $\xi = (\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)^t$ and $\xi^{(q)} = \left(\xi_0^{(q)}, \dots, \xi_n^{(q)} \right)^t$, given by

$$\xi = \nabla f_A = Aa^q \text{ and } \xi^{(q)} = (\nabla^q f_A)^t = (a^t \cdot A)^t = A^t a.$$

We will now introduce ghost variables in order to force reflexivity. Here we consider the variables $x^q = (x_0^q, \dots, x_n^q)^t = y = (y_0, \dots, y_n)^t$ as a set of new variables y and we write the homogeneous polynomial defining the variety as

$$F_A = x^t A y = \sum_{i,j=0}^n x_i a_{ij} y_j.$$

The Gauss map in this case is given by:

$$(a, b) \mapsto \nabla F_A = (A \cdot b, A^t a).$$

If for a point $(a, b)^t \in V(F_A)$ satisfying $a^t F_A b = 0$ we introduce the variables $\xi = A \cdot b, \xi_1 = A^t \cdot a$, then the point (ξ, ξ_1) satisfies the equation:

$$\xi_1^t A^{-1} \xi = 0$$

since

$$\xi_1^t A^{-1} \xi = a^t A A^{-1} A b = a^t A b = 0.$$

Observe that the value $\xi_1^q = A^{qt} \cdot a^q$ can be explicitly expressed in terms of the variables ξ by the equation:

$$A A^{-tq} \xi_1^q = A A^{-tq} \cdot (A^{tq}) a^q = A a^q = \xi.$$

Notice also that the map $\phi : (X, Y) \mapsto (A^t Y, A X) = (\xi_1, \xi)$ and similarly the map $\psi : (\xi_1, \xi) \mapsto (A^{-1} \xi, A^{-t} \xi_1)$ and $\psi \circ \phi = \phi \circ \psi = \text{Id}$.

Let $M = V(f_A) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\tilde{M} = V(F_A) \subset \mathbb{P}(\tilde{V})$. The conormal variety $C(\tilde{M}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\tilde{V}) \times \mathbb{P}(\tilde{V}^*)$ is given by the pairs $(a, b; \xi, \xi_1) = (a, b; A \cdot b, A^t \cdot a)$. In order to compute the conormal variety $C(M) \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ we pass from \tilde{M} to M by imposing the relation $b = a^q$ and we obtain $(a, a^q; A \cdot a^q, A^t \cdot a)$. Observe that ξ, ξ_1 satisfy the equation of the dual

$$\xi^{qt} A^{-1} \xi = 0.$$

2.5. Variants of Euler theorem. The Euler identity for homogeneous polynomials implies that for a homogeneous polynomial $F(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, of degree $\deg F$ we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^n x_i D_{x_i}^{p_0} F(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \deg F \cdot F(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

If $p \mid \deg F$, a lot of information is lost. In particular the first order partial derivations $D_{x_i}^{p_0} F$ can be zero. Next proposition allows us to get some information, from the higher derivatives $D_{x_i}^{p_i}$. We need the following

Proposition 16. *Let $q = p^h$ be a power of the characteristic. Let $P_j(x_0, \dots, x_n), Q_j(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ be polynomials in $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, $j = 1, \dots, s$, where P_j are homogeneous of degree $n =: \deg_h(f)$, and Q_j have no indeterminate raised to a power bigger than or equal to a power of q . If*

$$(2.10) \quad f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^s P_j(x_0^q, \dots, x_n^q) Q_j(x_0, \dots, x_n),$$

then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q D_{x_i}^q f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \deg_h(f) \cdot f(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

Proof. [14, prop. 3.10] □

Definition 17. We will call a polynomial h -homogeneous of degree $\deg_h(f)$ if it is a linear combination of polynomials given in eq. (2.10) of the same degree.

Remark 18. A polynomial which is homogeneous and h -homogeneous is bihomogeneous according to remark 15.

2.6. h -Tangent and h -cotangent spaces and bundles. In order to compare our definition of h -tangent space we recall here the classical definition.

Let M be a projective variety defined by h -homogeneous polynomials F_1, \dots, F_t , as these were defined in Definition 17, generating the homogeneous ideal I . Let S be the algebra $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/I$.

Definition 19. Let $P = [a_0 : \dots : a_n]$ be a point on M . The tangent space $T_P M$ of M at P , is defined as the zero space of the differentials (we will denote by $D_{x_\nu}^{(0)}$ the classical derivative according to definition 5). In other words,

$$(2.11) \quad dF_i = \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_{x_\nu}^{(0)} F_i(P) x_\nu \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq t,$$

$$T_P M = V(\langle dF_1, \dots, dF_t \rangle).$$

Definition 20. For every $f \in R$, define the differential form on the tangent space $T_P M$:

$$(2.12) \quad df := \sum_{\nu=1}^n D_{x_\nu}^{(0)} f(P) x_\nu,$$

which gives rise to elements in the dual space $T_P^* M$, by sending a solution $(x_0 : \dots : x_r) \in T_P M$ of system (2.11) to the value df given in eq. (2.12).

The element df is well defined, since if $f_1 - f_2 \in \langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle$, then the differentials df_1, df_2 introduce the same linear form on $T_P M$, see [27, chap II. sec. 1]. Let $\mathcal{O}_X(P)$ be the ring of functions defined at P . The map

$$d : k[x_0, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow (T_P M)^*$$

defines an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$ to $(T_P M)^*$, [27, chap II. th. 2.1]. This fact implies that the dimension of the tangent space is invariant under isomorphism, see [27, chap II. Cor. 2.1].

2.6.1. h -Tangent bundles. For every $F \in R$ we define the h -linear form:

$$L_F^{(h)} : V \longrightarrow k$$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n x_i(v) e_i = v \quad \mapsto \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_{x_\nu}^{(h)} F(P) x_\nu^{p^h}(v).$$

Definition 21. Let M be defined in terms of the homogeneous ideal $\langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle$. For $h \geq 0$, the h -tangent space $T_P^{(h)} M$ at $P \in M$ is defined by

$$T_P^{(h)} M = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \ker L_{F_i}^{(h)} \subseteq V.$$

It is clear from the definition that $T_P^{(h)} M$ is a k -vector space.

Remark 22. The notion of classical tangent space is independent of the isomorphism class of a variety. If $\Phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is a local isomorphism from a Zariski neighbourhood U of P to a Zariski neighbourhood V of $\Phi(P)$, then $\dim_k T_P^{(0)} M = \dim_k T_{\Phi(P)}^{(0)} Y$.

This does not hold for the case of the h -tangent spaces, the space $T_P^{(h)} M$ depends on the embedding of M in an ambient space. For example the affine space $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec}(k[x])$ has one dimensional tangent space $T_P^{(h)} \mathbb{A}^1$ for all $h > 1$, while its isomorphic image $\text{Spec}(k[x, y]/\langle x \rangle) \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ has 2-dimensional h -tangent space for all $h > 1$.

Of course, in order to correct this, one can strengthen the notion of isomorphism $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$, by requiring that Φ induces an isomorphism to h -tangent spaces as well.

Remark 23. As R. Vakil observes [29, chap. 12], the quantity $\sum_{i=0}^n D_{x_i}^{(0)} F \cdot x_i$ is the linear part of a given polynomial $F \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. In a similar fashion $\sum_{i=0}^n D_{x_i}^{(h)} F \cdot x_i^{p^h}$ is the p^h -linear part of the polynomial F , that is all terms that can be written as $(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i)^{p^h}$, $a_i \in k$.

Definition 24. The h -cotangent space $T_P^{(*h)} M$ for $h \geq 0$ at P is defined as the vector space generated by the elements (set $q = p^h$)

$$(2.13) \quad d^{(h)} f = \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_{x_\nu}^{(h)} f(P) x_\nu^q$$

for elements $f \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/I(M)$. Notice that the expression $d^{(h)} f$ defined for f as above gives rise to a well defined form on the tangent space. Moreover $d^{(h)} x_i^q$ is an element in $T_P^{(*h)} M$.

Remark 25. Similar to ordinary differentials, the map given in eq. (2.13) is well defined, i.e., if $f_1 - f_2 \in I(M)$, then $d^{(h)} f_1 - d^{(h)} f_2$ is the zero map on the tangent space $T^{(h)} M$. In this way the differentials in eq. (2.13) define functions

$$\phi : T_P^{(h)} M \rightarrow k.$$

Let us consider the example $M = \text{Spec}k[x, y]/\langle x \rangle$. In order to compute the h -cotangent space $T_0^{(*h)} M$ let us compute $d^{(h)} f(x, y)$ for $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$. The possible outcomes are all expressions of the form $ax^q + by^q$, $a, b \in k$. Notice that for $f \in \langle x \rangle$ we have $d^{(h)} x = 0$, so the h -cotangent space is two dimensional.

Let R be a finite presented k -algebra. A Frobenius map on R is a ring homomorphism $\Phi : R \rightarrow \Phi(R) \subset R$, such that $\Phi(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \Phi(x)$, for all $\lambda \in k$ and $x \in R$. The image $\Phi(R)$ is a subring of R . In this way we form a sequence of nested subrings of R ,

$$R \supset \Phi(R) \supset \Phi^2(R) \supset \Phi^3(R) \supset \dots$$

If R is a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} then all rings $\Phi^h R$ are local rings as well, with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}^{(h)} = \Phi^h(\mathfrak{m})$. If $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is a ring homomorphism of two rings equipped with Frobenius maps Φ_1, Φ_2 respectively then we require

$$f(\Phi_1^h R_1) \subset \Phi_2^h(R_2).$$

If moreover f is a local homomorphism of local rings R_i with corresponding maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_i , for $i = 1, 2$ then $f(\mathfrak{m}_1^{(h)}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_2^{(h)}$. In what follows we will consider the polynomial ring $k[x_0, \dots, x_r]/I$, and the localizations at certain maximal ideals of the ring $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/I$.

Definition 26. The intrinsic h -cotangent space $\Theta_P^{(*h)} M$ is defined to be the space $\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}/\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)^2}$ and equals to to the cotangent space of the local ring $\Phi^h(\mathcal{O}_P)$.

Lemma 27. *The space $\Theta_P^{(*h)}M \cong \mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)} / \left(\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}\right)^2$ is a subspace of $T_P^{(*h)}M$.*

Proof. Consider the space of h -linear forms in Hasse derivatives given by differentials $d^{(h)}f : T^{(h)} \rightarrow k$. Consider the map

$$d^{(h)} : \frac{\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}}{\left(\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}\right)^2} \longrightarrow T_P^{(*h)}(M),$$

we will prove it is injective.

Let $G \in k[x_0^{p^h}, \dots, x_n^{p^h}]$ be a polynomial representative of an element in $\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}$ so that $d^{(h)}G$ is the zero form on $T_P^{(h)}M$. Then $d^{(h)}G$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\nu=0}^t \lambda_\nu d^{(h)}F_\nu$ of the forms $d^{(h)}F_\nu$ for F_ν , $1 \leq \nu \leq n$, generating the homogeneous ideal of M . Now the difference $G - \sum_{\nu=0}^t \lambda_\nu d^{(h)}F_\nu \in \left(\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}\right)^2$, since it has not terms of the form x_ν^q , and the result follows. \square

Remark 28. By eq. (2.13) we have that $d^{(h)}(x_i^{p^h})$ on the tangent space acts like the q -form $x_i \mapsto x_i^q$.

Corollary 29. *The dimension of $\Theta_P^{(*h)}M$ is an invariant of the isomorphism class of a variety, i.e. if $\Phi : M \rightarrow Y$ is a local isomorphism from a Zariski neighbourhood U of P to a Zariski neighbourhood V of $\Phi(P)$, then $\dim_k \Theta_P^{(h)}M = \dim_k \Theta_{\Phi(P)}^{(h)}Y$.*

Let $M \subset V$ be an irreducible variety. Consider the algebraic set $\Theta \subset V \times M$ consisting of pairs $(a, P) \in V \times M$ such that a is h -tangent at P . The second projection $\pi : \Theta \rightarrow M$ is onto and has fibres the spaces $\Theta_P^{(h)}M$. By [27, Chap. I.63 th.7] we have that $\dim_k \Theta_P^{(h)}M \geq s$ for all $P \in M$ and equality is attained at a non empty open subset of M .

Definition 30. We will say that a point $P \in M$ is h -non-singular if

$$\dim_k \Theta_P^{(h)} = \dim_k T_P^{(*h)}M = \dim X.$$

2.6.2. *Differential between tangent spaces.* Consider the projective varieties $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $W \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ defined in terms of the homogeneous ideals $\langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and $\langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \in k[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ respectively. A map $F : V \rightarrow W$ is given by polynomials $F_0, \dots, F_m \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ such that $y_i = F_i(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$. Set

$$J_{0,h}(f_1, \dots, f_r) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} D_{x_0}^{(0)} f_1 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} f_1 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} f_1 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} f_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} f_r & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} f_r & D_{x_0}^{(h)} f_r & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} f_r \end{array} \right) = (A|A')$$

and similarly

$$J_{0,h}(g_1, \dots, g_s) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} D_{y_0}^{(0)} g_1 & \cdots & D_{y_m}^{(0)} g_1 & D_{y_0}^{(h)} g_1 & \cdots & D_{y_m}^{(h)} g_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{y_0}^{(0)} g_s & \cdots & D_{y_m}^{(0)} g_s & D_{y_0}^{(h)} g_s & \cdots & D_{y_m}^{(h)} g_s \end{array} \right) = (B|B').$$

The kernel of the matrix A at P (resp. B at $F(P)$) corresponds to the ordinary tangent space of V (resp. W) while the kernel of A' (resp B') corresponds to the h -tangent space.

By substitution of $y_i = F_i(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ in g_1, \dots, g_s we write each g_1, \dots, g_s as an element in the ideal $\langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$. Therefore elements in $T_P V$, resp. $T_P^{(h)}V$, given as elements in the kernel of A (resp. A') are sent to elements in $T_P W$, resp. $T_P^{(h)}W$.

Consider now the matrix (observe that $y_i^{p^h} = F_i(x_0, \dots, x_n)^{p^h}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{0,h}(F_1, \dots, F_n, F_1^q, \dots, F_n^q) &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_0 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_m & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_m & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_m & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_m \\ \hline D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_0^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_0^{p^h} & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_0^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_0^{p^h} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_m^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_m^{p^h} & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_m^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_m^{p^h} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_0 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} F_m & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} F_m & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_m & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_m \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_0^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_0^{p^h} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_m^{p^h} & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_m^{p^h} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} J & J' \\ \hline 0 & J^{p^h} \end{array} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The chain rule implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} D_{x_0}^{(0)} g_1 & \cdots & D_{x_m}^{(0)} g_1 & D_{x_0}^{(h)} g_1 & \cdots & D_{x_m}^{(h)} g_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} g_s & \cdots & D_{x_m}^{(0)} g_s & D_{x_0}^{(h)} g_s & \cdots & D_{x_m}^{(h)} g_s \end{array} \right) &= (B|B') \left(\begin{array}{c|c} J & J' \\ \hline 0 & J^{p^h} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= (BJ|BJ' + B'J^{p^h}).
 \end{aligned}$$

An element $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t \in T_{F(P)}W$, $\bar{b} = (b_0, \dots, b_n)^t \in T_{F(P)}^{(h)}W$ by definition of the tangent spaces satisfies

$$BJ\bar{a} = 0 \quad (BJ' + B'J^{p^h})\bar{b} = 0.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} J & J' \\ \hline 0 & J^{p^h} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} \\ \bar{b} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J\bar{a} + J'\bar{b} \\ J^{p^h}\bar{b} \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore $B(J\bar{a} + J'\bar{b}) = 0$ and $B'J^{p^h}\bar{b} = 0$. This allows us to write the differentials:

$$dF : T_P(V) \rightarrow T_{F(P)}W \quad \text{and} \quad dF^{(h)} : T_P^{(h)}(V) \rightarrow T_{F(P)}^{(h)}W$$

as follows

$$dF(\bar{a}) = J\bar{a} + J'\bar{b} \quad \text{and} \quad dF^{(h)}(\bar{b}) = J^{p^h}\bar{b}.$$

When $\bar{b} = 0$ is the zero h -tangent vector then dF is the classical map. The differential in the h -tangent space is independent on the choice of $\bar{a} \in T_P^{(0)}V$.

We have proved the following:

Proposition 31. *Let $F : V \rightarrow W$ be a map between polynomial varieties, expressed in terms of polynomials F_0, \dots, F_s . Then the p^h -power of the ordinary differential $T_P^{(0)}V \rightarrow T_{F(P)}^{(0)}W$ is the natural map $T_P^{(h)}V \rightarrow T_{F(P)}^{(h)}W$.*

2.7. Vector fields and differential forms. We will now define vector fields as differential operators in terms of Hasse-derivatives. The identification

$$\frac{\mathfrak{m}_P}{\mathfrak{m}_P^2} \xrightarrow{d} T_P^*M$$

proves that dx_0, \dots, dx_n give a basis of the cotangent space, since $\mathfrak{m}_P/\mathfrak{m}_P^2$ is generated as vector space by the classes of x_0, \dots, x_n modulo \mathfrak{m}_P^2 . Also in the classical case the partial derivatives $\partial/\partial x_i$ give rise to naturally dual elements, i.e. elements in $T_P M$.

Let us assume that the variety M has a non-empty open set of h -non-singular points. On this open set we will employ the identification $\frac{\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}}{(\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)})^2} \xrightarrow{d^{(h)}} T_P^{(*h)} M$ of lemma 27, which sends

$$\frac{\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)}}{(\mathfrak{m}_P^{(h)})^2} \ni m = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x_i^{p^h} \mapsto d^{(h)} m = \sum_{i=0}^{p^h} a_i d^{(h)} x_i^q \in T_P^{(*h)} M.$$

Definition 32. A vector field X is a sum

$$(2.14) \quad X = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^n a_{h,i}(X) D_{x_i}^{(h)},$$

where all but finite coefficients $a_{h,i}(X)$ are zero. The elements $a_{h,i}(X)$ are coefficients in \mathcal{O}_M , depending linearly on X . Vector fields form \mathcal{O}_M -modules.

Definition 33. For every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we define the differential form $d^{(h)} x_i^q$, seen as a formal symbol. This definition can be given a functorial interpretation, by considering the module of p -graded Kähler differentials as a universal object representing the functor of Hasse derivations, see [6, chap. 16].

For a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ we define the differentials $d^{(h)} f$ (with respect to Hasse derivatives, see also eq. (2.13)):

$$(2.15) \quad d^{(h)}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n D_{x_i}^{(h)}(f) d^{(h)} x_i^{p^h}.$$

Recall the notation $q = p^h$ and note that from eq. (2.15) we see that $d(x_i^q) = d^{(h)} x_i^q$ which can be seen as an element in $T_P^{(*h)} M$. Without the Hasse derivatives, the differential $d(x^q)$, when computed in terms of eq. (2.15) is zero, but here it is a generator of the alternating algebra of differential forms.

Definition 34. For $q_i = p^i$ define the formal monomials $d^{(h_1)} x_{i_1}^{q_1} \wedge d^{(h_2)} x_{i_2}^{q_2} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{(h_j)} x_{i_j}^{q_j}$ of degree j , where for monomials m, n of degrees k and l we have

$$m \wedge n = (-1)^{kl} n \wedge m.$$

A differential form of degree i is a formal linear combination of monomials of degree p , with coefficients from $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$.

We also require that for a function f we have

$$(2.16) \quad f dx_i \wedge d^{(h)} x_j^{p^h} = dx_i \wedge f^{p^h} d^{(h)} x_j^{p^h}.$$

The above requirement is natural since that alternating algebras are defined as quotients of the tensor algebra, see [6, Appendix 2] of an ordinary form by a p^h -form. We will use this definition in lemma 43 in order to prove that the h -conormal space is Lagrangian.

A derivation of degree $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{O}_M(U)$ is a k -linear operator sending a form of degree j to a form of degree $j + s$ such that

$$D(\omega \wedge \tau) = D\omega \wedge \tau + (-1)^{sj} \omega \wedge D\tau.$$

We will need the following derivations.

- (1) The derivations $d^{(h)}$ of degree $+1$, such that $d^{(h)}f$ is given by eq. (2.15) and $d^{(h')}d^{(h)} = 0$ for all $h, h' \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) The derivation i_X of degree -1 corresponding to vector field X , given by $i_X(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ while for X given by eq. (2.14) and ω given by

$$\omega = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r b_{h,i}(\omega) d^{(h)} x_i^q, \text{ for } b_{h,i}(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \text{ we have}$$

$$(2.17) \quad i_X(\omega) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \left(a_{h,i}(X)^{p^h} b_{h,i}^{p^h}(\omega) \right).$$

Remark 35. A vector field is a section of the tangent bundle, i.e. for every $P \in X$ if the functions $a_{h,i}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for an open set U containing P , then the evaluation of $a_{h,i}$ at P gives us a tangent vector in $T_P M$,

$$(2.18) \quad X(P) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r a_{h,i}(X)(P) D_{x_i}^{(h)}.$$

Indeed, using the i_X derivation we see that the vector field $D_{x_i}^{(h)}$ is the dual basis element to the differential form $d^{(h)} x_i^q$. Thus, the evaluated vector field gives rise to an element in the dual space of $T_P^* M$.

Assume now that the maximal ideal at $P \in M$ is generated by t_1, \dots, t_s , and consider the differentials dt_1, \dots, dt_s .

The classical cotangent vector bundle (see [28, p. 60]) is the vector bundle

$$T^* M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_M dt_i.$$

A classical differential form ξ is given by

$$\xi = \sum_{i=0}^r \xi_i dt_i, \quad \xi_i \in \mathcal{O}_M.$$

Keep in mind that a vector bundle in algebraic geometry over an open set $U \subset M$ is described in terms of $\mathbb{A}_U^r = \text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_M(U)[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r]$, see [12, ex. 5.18, p. 128].

In analogy to the classical case, an h -differential form is given by

$$\xi^h = \sum_{i=0}^r \xi_i dt_i^{(h)}, \quad \xi_i \in \mathcal{O}_M.$$

3. THE CASE OF HYPERSURFACES

In this section we focus on the hypersurface case. When the variety is given as the zero set of a single polynomial we can use a form of implicit-inverse function theorem which allows us to express the coordinates x_i as functions of the dual coordinates. This method works if the h -Hessian is generically invertible. In characteristic zero we consider the hypersurface $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$

given by a polynomial f , if we set $\Xi_i = D_{x_i} f \in k[\underline{x}]$, we can find the ideal in $k[\Xi]$ by eliminating the variables \underline{x} . Let us illustrate this method in characteristic zero by the following

Example 36. Consider the Fermat curve given as the zero locus of

$$x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 = 0.$$

This in magma [3] can be done as follows: If $y_i = D_{x_i} f$, we first define the ideal

$$I = \langle x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5, -5x_0^4 + y_0, -5x_1^4 + y_1, -5x_2^4 + y_2 \rangle \triangleleft k[x_0, \dots, x_2, y_0, \dots, y_2],$$

and then we eliminate the variables x_0, x_1, x_2 using the `EliminationIdeal` function:

$$J = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} y_0^{20} - 4y_0^{15}y_1^5 - 4y_0^{15}y_2^5 + 6y_0^{10}y_1^{10} - 124y_0^{10}y_1^5y_2^5 + 6y_0^{10}y_2^{10} - 4y_0^5y_1^{15} - 124y_0^5y_1^{10}y_2^5 \\ -124y_0^5y_1^5y_2^{10} - 4y_0^5y_2^{15} + y_1^{20} - 4y_1^{15}y_2^5 + 6y_1^{10}y_2^{10} - 4y_1^5y_2^{15} + y_2^{20} \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

We can now consider the same elimination process, arriving at the ideal J generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= y_0^{20} - 4y_0^{15}y_1^5 - 4y_0^{15}y_2^5 + 6y_0^{10}y_1^{10} - 124y_0^{10}y_1^5y_2^5 + 6y_0^{10}y_2^{10} - 4y_0^5y_1^{15} - 124y_0^5y_1^{10}y_2^5 \\ &\quad - 124y_0^5y_1^5y_2^{10} - 4y_0^5y_2^{15} + y_1^{20} - 4y_1^{15}y_2^5 + 6y_1^{10}y_2^{10} - 4y_1^5y_2^{15} + y_2^{20} \\ g_2 &= x_0 - 20y_0^{19} + 60y_0^{14}y_1^5 + 60y_0^{14}y_2^5 - 60y_0^9y_1^{10} + 1240y_0^9y_1^5y_2^5 - \\ &\quad 60y_0^9y_2^{10} + 20y_0^4y_1^{15} + 620y_0^4y_1^{10}y_2^5 + 620y_0^4y_1^5y_2^{10} + 20y_0^4y_2^{15} \\ g_3 &= x_1 + 20y_0^{15}y_1^4 - 60y_0^{10}y_1^9 + 620y_0^{10}y_1^4y_2^5 + 60y_0^5y_1^{14} + 1240y_0^5y_1^9y_2^5 + 620y_0^5y_1^4y_2^{10} - \\ &\quad 20y_1^{19} + 60y_1^{14}y_2^5 - 60y_1^9y_2^{10} + 20y_1^4y_2^{15} \\ g_4 &= x_2 + 20y_0^{15}y_2^4 + 620y_0^{10}y_1^5y_2^4 - 60y_0^{10}y_2^9 + 620y_0^5y_1^{10}y_2^4 + 1240y_0^5y_1^5y_2^9 + 60y_0^5y_2^{14} + \\ &\quad 20y_1^{15}y_2^4 - 60y_1^{10}y_2^9 + 60y_1^5y_2^{14} - 20y_2^{19}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the generators g_2, g_3, g_4 express x_0, x_1, x_2 as a function of \underline{y} , which follows by differentiating the defining equation g_1 of the dual hypersurface with respect to y_0, y_1, y_2 , i.e., $x_i = D_{y_i} g_1$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. After elimination in the ideal J of the variables \underline{y} we arrive at the original equation as expected.

Similarly, the implicit-inverse function method will allow us to solve ‘‘locally’’ and express Ξ_i as functions of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. The problem with this method is that Zariski topology does not have fine enough open sets for the implicit (or the equivalent inverse) function theorem to hold. Actually this was one of the reasons for inventing étalé topology [24, p. 11]. The approach of Wallace is based on defining algebraic functions in order for the implicit function theorem to work. We will follow the ideas of Wallace [30, sec. 4.1]. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a set of indeterminates of the field k . A separable algebraic function ϕ over $k(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ will be called a k -function of X_1, \dots, X_n . If x_1, \dots, x_n is any set of elements of k and y is a specialization of ϕ over the specialization $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then y will be called a value of ϕ at (x_1, \dots, x_n) , and will be written $y = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The partial derivative $\partial\phi/\partial X_i$ for each i , is a rational function of X_1, \dots, X_n and ϕ . If this rational function is defined at (x_1, \dots, x_n, y) (i.e. has non zero denominator), then the k -function ϕ will be called differentiable at (x_1, \dots, x_n, y) .

Remark 37. If we allow k -functions then the duality theorems have a simpler form. For example for $(a, p) = 1$ the dual curve of the Fermat curve $x_0^a + x_1^a + x_2^a = 0$ is the dual curve $x_0^b + x_1^b + x_2^b = 0$ such that $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1$, see [9, Example 2.3, p. 20].

Theorem 38 (Implicit function theorem). *If x_0, \dots, x_{2n} satisfy the k -functions $\phi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, differentiable at $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, 0)$ and the Jacobian $n \times n$ -matrix $(\partial\phi_i/\partial x_j)$ is invertible, then there are k -functions f_0, \dots, f_n of y_0, \dots, y_n such that $x_i = f(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Theorem 6 in [30]. □

The above theorem in practice allows us to work with hypersurfaces as follows: Let $V(f)$ be a projective hypersurface. We put coordinates (x_0, \dots, x_n) on the space \mathbb{P}^n and y_0, \dots, y_n on \mathbb{P}^{*n} . We have the equations:

$$(3.1) \quad y_i = \partial f / \partial x_i = \phi_i(x_0, \dots, x_n).$$

If the Hessian matrix $(\partial \phi_j / \partial x_i) = (\partial^2 f / \partial x_i \partial x_j)$ is not singular, then the implicit function theorem allows us to express x_i as k -functions of y_0, \dots, y_n .

For example, in characteristic zero (or if $p \nmid a - 1$, the hypersurface defined by $f = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^a$ has $y_i = \partial f / \partial x_i = ax_i^{a-1}$, therefore $x_i = (y_i/a)^{\frac{1}{a-1}}$. The last expression is in accordance to theorem 38, since the Hessian matrix equals $a(a-1) \cdot \text{diag}(x_0^{a-2}, \dots, x_n^{a-2})$, which is generically invertible. We can arrive to the dual hypersurface by replacing x_i in the defining equation of f , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^n x_i (y_0, \dots, y_n)^a = a(a-1) \sum_{i=0}^n y_i^{\frac{a}{a-1}}.$$

Notice that $b = \frac{a}{a-1}$ satisfies the symmetric equation $1/a + 1/b = 1$.

If $p \mid a - 1$, then the equation $y_i = ax_i^{a-1}$ does not allow us to express x_i in terms of y_i . Keep in mind that the rational function field is not perfect, and we are not allowed to take p -roots of polynomials.

Let $V(f)$ be a hypersurface corresponding to the irreducible homogeneous and h -homogeneous polynomial f of degree prime to the characteristic. By equation (2.10) we have that if the Gauss map is not separable then $y_i = \partial f / \partial x_i = g_i^{p^h}(\underline{x})$. Moreover by Euler's theorem we have

$$f = \text{deg}(f) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n x_i g_i(\underline{x})^{p^h}.$$

In our approach we propose to consider instead of eq. (3.1) the equations

$$y_i = D_{x_i}^{(h)}(f).$$

Then under the assumption that the ‘‘Hessian’’ $D_{x_j}^{(0)} D_{x_i}^{(h)} f$ is invertible we can express

$$x_i = g_i(y_0, \dots, y_n),$$

where g_i is a k -function.

Remark 39. Even in characteristic zero, the Hessian might be singular. Consider for example a hyperplane $V(\sum a_i x_i)$. The first derivatives are constants and the Hessian is zero. This situation is related to the case of singular Gauss map. For a detailed study of this case in terms of classical differential geometry see [2].

In some cases we can prove that the Hessian is invertible

Lemma 40. *Let f be a homogeneous polynomial so that so that one at least of its derivatives $D_{x_i}^{(h)} f$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ is not zero, and all non-zero $D_{x_i}^{(h)} f$ derivatives have degree d_i prime to the characteristic p . Then the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix $D_{x_j}^{(0)} D_{x_i}^{(h)}(f)$ is generically invertible.*

Proof. Assume that the above mentioned map is not invertible, then one collumn, say the first one, is a linear combination of the other collumns, that is

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(0)} D_{x_0}^{(h)}(f) \\ \vdots \\ D_{x_n}^{(0)} D_{x_0}^{(h)}(f) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \lambda_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(0)} D_{x_{\mu}}^{(h)}(f) \\ \vdots \\ D_{x_n}^{(0)} D_{x_{\mu}}^{(h)}(f) \end{pmatrix}$$

Summing along each collumn after multiplying by x_{ν} and using Euler's theorem we have (set $d_{\mu} = \deg D_{x_{\mu}}^{(h)} f$)

$$(3.2) \quad d_0 D_{x_0}^{(h)}(f) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \lambda_{\mu} d_{\mu} D_{x_{\mu}}^{(h)}(f).$$

Let δ_0 be the degree of the polynomial f in the variable x_0^q , $q = p^h$. The above eq. (3.2) is impossible by considering the degrees of both sides in the variable x_0^q unless $\delta = 0$, in this case $D_{x_0}^{(h)} f = 0$. This forces the right hand side of eq. (3.2) to be zero, which allows us to repeat the above argument for an other variable x_i , until we prove inductively that all derivatives $D_{x_i}^{(h)}$ are zero, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 41. *Consider a function f as given in equation (2.10) in proposition 16. Then this function satisfies the invertible Hessian criterion of lemma 40 and the dual variety given by equation*

$$G(y_0, \dots, y_n) = f(x_0(y_0, \dots, y_n), \dots, x_n(y_0, \dots, y_n)) = 0.$$

Let us now consider the Hasse derivatives $D_{y_{\nu}}^{(h)}$ for $q = p^h$ of $G(\bar{y}) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q y_i$, where x_i are considered as functions of y_i

$$z_{\nu} := D_{y_{\nu}}^{(h)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q y_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \left(D_{y_{\nu}}^{(0)} x_i \right)^q y_i.$$

We compute

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n z_{\nu} y_{\nu}^q = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n \left(D_{y_{\nu}}^{(0)} x_i \right)^q y_i y_{\nu}^q.$$

Since $x_i(y_0, \dots, y_n)$ is homogenous in the variables y_0, \dots, y_n as well the classical Euler identity gives us that

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n y_{\nu} D_{y_{\nu}}^{(0)} x_i = c x_i \text{ for some } c \in k,$$

so eq. (3.3) gives us

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n z_{\nu} y_{\nu}^q = c \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q y_i = 0.$$

This means that the point $\bar{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ has the q -hyperplane

$$(X_0 : \dots : X_n) \in \mathbb{P}_k^n \text{ such that } \sum_{\nu=0}^n y_{\nu} X_{\nu}^q = 0$$

with coordinates (y_0, \dots, y_n) as h -tangent and the point $(y_0, \dots, y_n) \in V(G) \subset \mathbb{P}^{h*}$ has the q -hyperplane

$$(Y_0 : \dots : Y_n) \in \mathbb{P}_k^n \text{ such that } \sum_{\nu=0}^n z_\nu Y_\nu^q = 0$$

with coordinates (z_0, \dots, z_n) as h -tangent. Reflexivity essentially means that the map

$$\begin{aligned} V \times V^{*h} &\rightarrow V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (y, F(x)) \end{aligned}$$

induces an isomorphism from $\text{Con}^{(h)}(X)$ to $\text{Con}^{(h)}(Y)$, where F is the isomorphism $F : V \rightarrow (V^{*h})^{*h}$ introduced in theorem 10. For proving this we will require the notion of Lagrangian variety for algebraic sets defined over the field of complex numbers.

3.1. Example: A class of Fermat hypersurfaces. Let $p \neq 2$ be a prime. Consider the curve

$$\sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{2p+1} = 0.$$

We set also $y_i = D_{x_i}^{(h)} f = 2x_i^{p+1}$. We can express x_i in terms of y_i , that is

$$x_i = \left(\frac{1}{2}y_i\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$$

The dual variety is then described as the zero set of the k -function

$$G(y_0, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n y_i^{\frac{2p+1}{p+1}} = 0.$$

We now compute the derivatives $z_i = D_{y_i}^{(h)} G = cx_i^{p-p^2+1}$ for some $c \in k$. We now expand

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{2p+1}\right)^{1+p-p^2} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2p+1} x_i^{2p+1}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2p+1} x_j^{p(2p+1)}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2p+1} x_k^{-p^2(2p+1)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{(2p+1)(1+p-p^2)} + \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{2p+1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^n x_j^{p(2p+1)} \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq i}}^n x_k^{-p^2(2p+1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^{(2p+1)(1+p-p^2)} = c^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^n z_i^{2p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (z_0, \dots, z_n) are in $V(f)$.

4. LAGRANGIAN VARIETIES

4.1. h -cotangent bundle and h -Lagrangian subvarieties. The space $V \times V^{*h}$ can be identified to the h -cotangent bundle $T^{(*h)}(V)$ of V . Let x_0, \dots, x_n be a set of coordinates on V and ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n be a set of coordinates on V^{*h} . Notice that a vector field (here we use vector fields which have non-zero coefficients only at a certain value of h)

$$(4.1) \quad X = \sum_{j \in \{0, h\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu(X) D_{x_\nu}^{(j)} + \sum_{j \in \{0, h\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n b_\nu(X) D_{\xi_\nu}^{(j)}$$

by eq. (2.17) acts on differential forms in terms of the derivation i_X by the rule:

$$\begin{aligned} i_X \left(d^{(h)} x_i^q \right) &= a_i(X)^{p^h}, & i_X(d^{(0)} \xi_i) &= b_i(X), \\ i_X \left(d^{(0)} x_i \right) &= a_i(X), & i_X(d^{(h)} \xi_i^q) &= b_i(X)^{p^h}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $M \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ a projective variety and consider the cone $M' \subset V$ seen as an affine variety in V . Assume that the homogeneous ideal of M' is generated by the homogeneous polynomials f_1, \dots, f_r , and the set of h -non-singular points of M is non-empty. Consider the $n+1$ -uple

$$\nabla^{(h)} f_i = \left(D_0^{(h)} f_i(P), D_1^{(h)} f_i(P), \dots, D_n^{(h)} f_i(P) \right).$$

Each f_i defines an h -linear form given by

$$(4.2) \quad L_i^{(h)} := \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu^{(h)} f_i(P) x_\nu^{p^h}.$$

The h -tangent space at P is the variety defined by the equations $L_i^{(h)} = 0$. The h -conormal space is defined as the subset of $V \times V^{*h}$

$$\text{Con}^{(h)}(M) = \overline{\left\{ (P, H) : P \in M_{\text{sm}}^h, H \text{ is a } p^h\text{-linear form which vanishes on } T_P^{(h)} M \right\}}.$$

It is evident that the h -conormal space can be identified to the space of p^h -linear forms on the h -normal space $N_M^{(h)}$ defined as

$$N_M^{(h)}(P) = T_P^{(h)} V / T_P^{(h)}(M) \cong V / T_P^{(h)} M.$$

Also by the definition of $T_P^{(h)} M$ the fibre of the h -conormal space at the point P for a projective variety defined by the elements f_1, \dots, f_r is the vector subspace of V^{*h} spanned by $L_i^{(h)}$ given in eq. (4.2):

$$\text{Con}_P^{(h)}(M) = \langle L_i^{(h)} : 1 \leq i \leq r \rangle_k.$$

4.2. The symplectic structure on $V \times V^{*h}$.

Definition 42. Let x_i, ξ_i be coordinates on the vector spaces V, V^{*h} respectively.

A subvariety Λ of $V \times V^{*h}$ with non empty h -non-singular locus will be called conical h -Lagrangian if

- (1) The form $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^n d^{(h)} x_j^q \wedge d\xi_j + \sum_{j=0}^n d^{(h)} \xi_j^q \wedge dx_j$ is zero on Λ .
- (2) $\dim \Lambda = n$
- (3) If $(P, H) = (x_0, \dots, x_n, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_r) \in \Lambda$ then $(\mu P, \lambda H) = (\mu x_0, \dots, \mu x_r, \lambda \xi_0, \dots, \lambda \xi_n) \in \Lambda$ for every, $\mu, \lambda \in k^*$.

Notice that if

$$X = \sum_{i \in \{0, h\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{i, \nu}(X) D_{x_\nu}^{(i)} + \sum_{i \in \{0, h\}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \beta_{i, \nu}(X) D_{\xi_\nu}^{(i)},$$

then

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega(X, Y) &:= i_Y i_X \omega = \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left(a_{h, \nu}(X)^{p^h} b_{0, \nu}(Y) - a_{h, \nu}(Y)^{p^h} b_{0, \nu}(X) + a_{0, \nu}(X) b_{h, \nu}(Y)^{p^h} - a_{0, \nu}(Y) b_{h, \nu}(X)^{p^h} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If one restricts on $(h, 0)$ -tangent vectors, i.e. $a_{0,i}(X) = a_{0,i}(Y) = b_{h,i}(X) = b_{h,i}(Y) = 0$ for all i , then the above computation is compatible with the definition given in section 2.3 since in this case

$$\omega(X, Y) := \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left(a_{h,\nu}(X)^{p^h} b_{0,\nu}(Y) - a_{h,\nu}(Y)^{p^h} b_{0,\nu}(X) \right).$$

Lemma 43. *Assume that h is selected such that $\pi_2 : \text{Con}^{(h)}M \rightarrow \text{Im}\pi_2 = Z$ is seperable. If $M_{\text{sm}}^h \neq \emptyset$, then the conormal bundle $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$ is a Lagrangian manifold of $V \times V^{*h}$.*

Proof. Assume that M is the zero locus of the homogeneous polynomials F_1, \dots, F_r . When we restrict ourselves to $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$ we have that

$$\xi_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \left(D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i \right) \quad \lambda_i \in k,$$

and

$$d\xi_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i d D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu^{(0)} \Big|_P D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i dx_\nu.$$

This means that the first summand of ω restricted to $\text{Con}^{(h)}(X)$ has the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^n d^{(h)}x_j^q \wedge d\xi_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu^{(0)} \Big|_P D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i d^{(h)}x_j^q \wedge dx_\nu.$$

In a similar way we have, using eq. (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^n dx_j \wedge d^{(h)}\xi_j^q &= \sum_{j=0}^n dx_j \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu^{(0)} \Big|_P D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i \right)^q d^{(h)}x_j^q \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu^{(0)} \Big|_P D_j^{(h)} \Big|_P F_i dx_j \wedge d^{(h)}x_j^q \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the form

$$\omega = \sum_{j=0}^n d^{(h)}x_j^q \wedge d\xi_j + \sum_{j=0}^n d^{(h)}\xi_j^q \wedge dx_j$$

is zero on Λ .

We now compute the dimension of $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$. If P is an h -non-singular point, then the dimension of the h -tangent space equals $\dim M$, therefore the dimension of the conormal space is $n - r$ and the dimension of $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M) = \dim(M) + n - r = n$.

Finally, if $(x_0, \dots, x_n, \xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) \in \text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$ then it is obvious that for $\mu, \lambda \in k^*$ the element $(\mu x_0, \dots, \mu x_n, \lambda \xi_0, \dots, \lambda \xi_n)$ is an element of $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$ as well. \square

Definition 44. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between varieties will be called generically smooth if the induced map $f_* : T_P^{(0)}X \rightarrow T_{f(P)}^{(0)}Y$ is surjective for an open dense subset $U \subset X$.

Similarly we will call a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ h -generically smooth if the induced map $f_* : T_P^{(h)}X \rightarrow T_{f(P)}^{(h)}Y$ is surjective for an open dense subset $U \subset X$ such that $f(U)$ is an open dense subset of Y .

Remark 45. Proposition 31 implies that if f is generically smooth then it is h -generically smooth. Also if the function field extension $k(X)/k(Y)$ is separable, then there is an open set U so that f_* is smooth for all points in U , [23, p. 169], [16, p.68].

Remark 46. We consider the identification $F : V \rightarrow (V^{*h})^{*h}$ given in theorem 10. Define the map $\Psi : V \times V^{*h} \rightarrow V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h}$ given by sending $\Psi : (v, w) \mapsto (w, F(v))$. Notice that if \bar{c}, \bar{b} are the coordinates of $V^{*h}, (V^{*h})^{*h}$, then the coordinates in $V \times V^{*h}$ are given by (\bar{b}, \bar{c}) , see also the diagram in eq. (2.5).

The following is essential for proving reflexivity.

Proposition 47. *Let $\pi_2 : V \times V^{*h} \rightarrow V^{*h}$ be the second projection. A conical Lagrangian variety $\Lambda \subset V \times V^{*h}$ has projection $Z = \pi_2(\Lambda) \subset V^{*h}$. If the set of h -smooth points of Z forms a non-empty dense open subset of Z and the map $\pi_2 : \Lambda \rightarrow Z \subset V^{*h}$ is h -generically smooth, then the conormal variety $\text{Con}^{(h)}(Z) \subset V^{*h} \times V$ coincides with Λ under the natural identification $\Phi : V \times V^{*h} \rightarrow V^{*h} \times V$.*

Proof. The set of h -smooth points of Λ is non empty by definition 42, so it is an open dense set of the irreducible variety Λ . The projection $\pi_2(\Lambda) = Z$ is irreducible since Λ is irreducible. By assumption the map $\pi_2 : \Lambda \rightarrow Z$ is generically smooth, so we can find an open dense set $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$, consisted of h -smooth points with the additional property that $\pi_2(\Lambda_0) = Z_0$ consists also of h -smooth points and moreover the induced map $\pi_{2,*}$ forms a surjective map from $T_P^{(h)}\Lambda \rightarrow T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(h)}Z$. In selecting Λ_0 and Z_0 it is essential that open non-empty sets in irreducible varieties are dense. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \cong \Psi & & & \\
 & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\
 V \times V^{*h} & \longleftarrow & \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z) & \longrightarrow & V^{*h} \times V \\
 \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \\
 V^{*h} & \longleftarrow & Z & \longleftarrow & Z_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & Z_0
 \end{array}$$

The map Ψ is the map sending $(x, y) \in V \times V^{*h}$ to $(y, x) \in V^{*h} \times V$.

We will prove first that for any h -smooth point $P \in Z_0 \neq \emptyset$, $\Psi(\pi_2^{-1}(P) \cap \Lambda) \subset \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z)$. Let $\xi \in \pi_2^{-1}(P) \cap \Lambda$ having coordinates $\xi = (\bar{c}, \bar{b}) \in V \times V^{*h}$. Since V is a vector space we can see \bar{c} as an element in $T^{(0)}V$, i.e.

$$\bar{c} = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i D_{x_i}^{(0)}.$$

We will identify $V^{*h} = V^{*h} \times \{0\}$ with the zero section of the bundle (using also theorem 10)

$$T^{(*h)}(V^{*h}) = V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} \cong V^{*h} \times V \rightarrow V^{*h}.$$

Since π_2 is h -generically smooth we can see every element $v \in T_P^{(h)}Z$ written as

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i D_{\xi_i}^{(h)},$$

as an element in V^{h*} under the identification of V^{*h} by its h -tangent space. Since ω is zero on Λ we have by eq. (4.3) for the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \cdot D_{x_i}^{(0)} + \sum_{i=0}^n 0 \cdot D_{x_i}^{(h)} + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \cdot D_{\xi_i}^{(0)} + \sum_{i=0}^n 0 D_{\xi_i}^{(h)} \\ v &= \sum_{i=0}^n 0 \cdot D_{x_i}^{(0)} + \sum_{i=0}^n 0 \cdot D_{x_i}^{(h)} + \sum_{i=0}^n 0 \cdot D_{\xi_i}^{(0)} + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i D_{\xi_i}^{(h)} \\ 0 &= \omega(\xi, v) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i^q c_i,\end{aligned}$$

that is the q -linear form

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^q c_i,$$

vanishes on the element of the tangent space with coordinates \bar{a} . The last equation implies that we can see $\Psi(\xi) = (\bar{b}(P), \bar{c}(P)) \in V^{*h} \times V$ as an element in $\text{Con}^{(h)} Z_0 \subset V^{*h} \times V$, so $\Psi(\pi^{-1}(P) \cap \Lambda) \subset \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z)$, notice that the coordinates of \bar{P} satisfy by definition the defining equations of Z .

So we have $\Psi(\pi^{-1}(P) \cap \Lambda_0) \subset \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z)$ and $\Psi(\Lambda_0)$ is a dense subset of the same dimension of the irreducible variety $\text{Con}^{(h)}(Z)$ so $\Psi(\Lambda) = \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z)$. \square

Theorem 48 (Reflexivity). *Let $M \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ be an irreducible, reduced projective variety generated by h -homogeneous elements, which also has a non-empty h -non-singular locus. Assume that $Z := \pi_2(\text{Con}^{(h)}(M))$ has a nonempty open set of h -non-singular points and that the map*

$$\pi_2 : V \times V^{*h} \supset \text{Con}^{(h)}(M) \rightarrow \pi_2(\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)) := Z \subset V^{*h}$$

is generically smooth. Then

$$\Psi(\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)) = \text{Con}^{(h)}(Z) \subset V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h} = V^{*h} \times V.$$

Proof. The conormal variety $\text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$ which is originally defined as a subset of $V \times V^{*h}$ can be also seen through Ψ as a subset of $V^{*h} \times V \cong V^{*h} \times (V^{*h})^{*h}$ and by symmetry it is still Lagrangian of dimension n .

Let us now prove that the map π_2 is h -generically smooth. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map and suppose that P is a smooth point of X and $f(P)$ is a smooth point of Y and $f_* T_P X \rightarrow T_{f(P)} Y$ is surjective. If X, Y are irreducible then such a point P exists since the space of smooth points is a non-empty open dense subset and f is generically smooth.

Set $\Lambda = \text{Con}^{(h)}(M)$. The map $\pi_2 : V \times V^{*h} \supset \text{Con}^{(h)}(M) \rightarrow \pi_2(M) := Z \subset V^{*h}$ is assumed to be generically smooth and by proposition 31 the natural map $T_P^{(h)} \Lambda \rightarrow T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(h)} Z$ is the p^h -power of the classical differential $d\pi_2 : T_P^{(0)} \Lambda \rightarrow T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(0)} Z$.

There is an open dense set U of Λ such that for every $P \in U$ we have $n = \dim \Lambda = T_P^{(0)} \Lambda$ (is a classical non-singular point) and $\dim \text{Im}(d\pi_2) = \dim T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(0)} Z = \dim Z$ (surjective differential and $\pi_2(P)$ is classical non-singular) and moreover that $\pi_2(P)$ is h -non-singular point of Z . Notice that non-empty sets of irreducible varieties are dense and hence have nonempty intersection. But $\dim \ker(d\pi_2(P)) = \dim \ker(d\pi_2^{p^h}(P))$ hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}n &= \dim \ker(d\pi_2(P)) + \dim \text{Im}(d\pi_2(P)) \\ &= \dim \ker(d\pi_2(P))^{p^h} + \dim \text{Im}(d\pi_2(P))^{p^h}.\end{aligned}$$

The assumption that $\pi_2(P)$ is h -non-singular gives us that $\dim T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(h)}Z = \dim Z$. On the other hand $\dim \text{Im}(d\pi_2(P))^{p^h} = \dim Z$ and since $\text{Im}(d\pi_2(P))^{p^h} \subset T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(h)}Z$ if $\pi_2(P)$ is h -non-singular, that is $\dim T_{\pi_2(P)}^{(h)}Z = \dim Z$ we finally have that $d\pi_2(P)^{p^h}$ is surjective. Since we proved that π_2 is generically smooth reflexivity follows by theorem 47. \square

Let $M \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be an irreducible, reduced projective variety. We can form the conical h -Lagrangian $\text{Con}^{(h)}M \subset V \times V^{*h}$ which has a nonempty open set of h -non-singular points and also form the h -dual variety $Z = \pi_2(\Lambda)$, where $\pi_2 : V \times V^{*h} \rightarrow V^{*h}$ is the second projection. The set Z is irreducible but determining whether the set of h -non-singular points is non-empty is a subtle problem. Irreducible algebraic sets are known to have open dense sets of classical non-singular points. For proving a reflexivity theorem we need the set of h -non-singular points of Z to be nonempty, hence dense subset of Z . When M is a hypersurface we have given conditions in lemma 40 so that Z has non-empty set of h -non-singular points. The condition of h -non-singular points requires a computation of the dimension of the algebraic set. Understanding the dimension of the dual variety Z is a subtle task, see [8], [18], [1], [22], [2, sec. 2.5]. Let us treat here the following case

Proposition 49. *Let M be a complete intersection described as the zero locus of r polynomials F_1, \dots, F_r and $\dim M = n - r$, such that all Hasse derivatives $D_{x_j}^{(h)}F_i$ have degree prime to the characteristic. Then the dual variety is a hypersurface. If moreover all Hasse derivatives $D_{x_j}^{(h)}F_i$ have zero h -derivatives for all $i = 0, \dots, n$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ then the dual hypersurface has non-empty h -singular locus.*

Proof. In this case we can prove that Z has dimension $n - 1$ since the coordinates (ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n) are given by

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(h)}F_i \\ \vdots \\ D_{x_n}^{(h)}F_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now compute the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(0)}\xi_0 & \cdots & D_{x_0}^{(0)}\xi_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_n}^{(0)}\xi_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)}\xi_n \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(0)}D_{x_0}^{(h)}F_i & \cdots & D_{x_0}^{(0)}D_{x_n}^{(h)}F_i \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_n}^{(0)}D_{x_0}^{(h)}F_i & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)}D_{x_n}^{(h)}F_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the elements F_i have at least a derivative $D_{x_\mu}^{(h)}(F_i)$ which is not zero, and degrees $d_{\mu,i}$ which are prime to p , then by lemma 40 we obtain that each matrix summand in the right hand side of eq. (4.5) is generically invertible. Without loss of generality we can assume that for $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r = 0$ the matrix in eq. (4.5) is invertible (change projective coordinates in the projective space \mathbb{P}^r if not.) In this case the subvariety Z_λ of the projection Z cut out by equations $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r$ is locally isomorphic to our original variety M by using Wallace inverse function construction, which allows us to express (x_0, \dots, x_n) in terms of (ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n) . The dual variety is then ruled in projective spaces with base Z_λ and has dimension equal to

$$\dim Z_\lambda + r - 1 = \dim M + r - 1 = n - r + r - 1 = n - 1.$$

This means that Z is a hypersurface defined as the zero locus of the polynomial $G(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$. If Z has empty set of h -non-singular points, then all Hasse derivatives $D_{\xi_\nu}^{(h)}G = 0$ for $0 \leq$

$\nu \leq n$ and this means that G has degree smaller than q . Using eq. (4.4) we can write G as a function of x_0, \dots, x_n , depending on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$. Then G is zero on M and this means that $G(\xi_0(x_0, \dots, x_n), \dots, \xi_n(x_0, \dots, x_n))$ is in the ideal generated by F_1, \dots, F_r . Let us write

$$(4.6) \quad G(\xi_0(\bar{x}), \dots, \xi_n(\bar{x})) = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i(\bar{x}) F_i(\bar{x}).$$

The chain rule gives us that (recall we assumed that $D_{x_\nu}^{(h)} G = 0$ for $0 \leq \nu \leq n$)

$$\left(D_{x_0}^{(0)} G, \dots, D_{x_n}^{(0)} G, D_{x_0}^{(h)} G, \dots, D_{x_n}^{(h)} G \right) = \left(D_{\xi_0}^{(0)} G, \dots, D_{\xi_n}^{(0)} G, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \begin{pmatrix} J & J' \\ 0 & J^{p^h} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(0)} \xi_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} \xi_0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(0)} \xi_n & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(0)} \xi_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J' = \begin{pmatrix} D_{x_0}^{(h)} \xi_0 & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} \xi_0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D_{x_0}^{(h)} \xi_n & \cdots & D_{x_n}^{(h)} \xi_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore if $D_{x_i}^{(h)} \xi_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ then

$$\left(D_{x_0}^{(h)} G, \dots, D_{x_n}^{(h)} G \right) = (0, \dots, 0).$$

In this case we have by eq. (4.6)

$$\left(D_{x_0}^{(h)} G(P), \dots, D_{x_n}^{(h)} G(P) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r g_i(P) \left(D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_i(P), \dots, D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_i(P) \right).$$

But the vectors

$$\nabla^{(h)} F_i = \left(D_{x_0}^{(h)} F_i(P), \dots, D_{x_n}^{(h)} F_i(P) \right)$$

are linear independent for every point P in the non-empty set U containing all h -non-singular points. This means that for all $P \in U$ $g_i(P) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, which in turn implies that g_i are zero polynomials and G is also zero, a contradiction. \square

4.3. Examples. Consider the complete intersection in \mathbb{P}_k^n given by $(\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}))$

$$(4.7) \quad C^k(\bar{\lambda}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_0^k + x_1^k + x_2^k = 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_0^k + x_1^k + x_3^k = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_0^k + x_1^k + x_n^k = 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n.$$

These curves are called ‘‘generalized Fermat curves’’, see [17]. We consider the matrix of ∇f_i written as rows,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} kx_0^{k-1} & kx_1^{k-1} & kx_2^{k-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \lambda_1 kx_0^{k-1} & kx_1^{k-1} & 0 & kx_3^{k-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \lambda_{n-2} kx_0^{k-1} & kx_1^{k-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & kx_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conormal space is the subspace in V^* of linear forms spanned by the linear forms

$$L_i = \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_{x_\nu}^{(0)} f_i X_\nu.$$

Consider an arbitrary element in the span of $L_i, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_{n-2} \in k$:

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu x_0^{k-1} \\ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu x_1^{k-1} \\ \mu_0 x_2^{k-1} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{n-2} x_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ordinary conormal space is given by

$$\text{Con}(C^k(\bar{\lambda})) = \left\{ (x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n) : \begin{array}{l} \text{where } x_0, \dots, x_n \text{ satisfy eq. (4.7)} \\ \text{and } y_0, \dots, y_n \text{ eq. (4.9)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The image of the projection π_2 is a codimensional 1 subvariety, hence a hypersurface given by a single polynomial $F(y_0, \dots, y_n) = 0$. Finding this polynomial F explicitly is a complicated task in this case. If $p \mid k-1$ it is clear by equation (4.9) that y_i are given as polynomials of x_i^p and the map π_2 can not be separable, hence reflexivity fails.

Let us study the conormal space of the dual variety $Z = \pi_2(\text{Con}(C^k\bar{\lambda}))$. We see equations (4.9) as parametric equations with parameters μ_0, \dots, μ_{n-2} . In this case we have that the tangent space is generated by the vectors

$$V_i := \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_0}, \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_{n-2}} \right) = \left(\lambda_i x_0^{k-1}, x_1^{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0, x_i^{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

which are subject to the additional condition

$$(4.10) \quad \nabla F \perp V_i \text{ i.e. } \langle \nabla F, V_i \rangle = 0.$$

In order to study further eq. (4.10) we consider the following cases:

- If $(k-1, p) = 1$ then we obtain:

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} x_0 &= \left(\frac{y_0}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \\ x_1 &= \left(\frac{y_1}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \\ x_i &= \left(\frac{y_i}{k \mu_{i-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

This way we obtain a relative curve $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1}$, where $[\mu_0 : \dots : \mu_{n-2}]$ serve as projective coordinates of \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} . The precise equations in terms of algebraic functions are given by:

$$G_i = \lambda_i \left(\frac{y_0}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} + \left(\frac{y_1}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} + \left(\frac{y_{i+2}}{k \mu_i} \right)^{\frac{k}{k-1}} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

The polynomial F can be computed by eliminating μ_0, \dots, μ_{n-2} from the system of the G_i . We compute (over the open set $\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-2} \neq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla G_i &= \frac{k}{k-1} \left(\lambda_i \left(\frac{y_0}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \left(\frac{y_1}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \dots, \left(\frac{y_{i+2}}{k \mu_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \dots, 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{k}{k-1} (\lambda_i x_0, x_1, 0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the compatibility condition given in eq. (4.10) can be replaced by the conditions:

$$(4.12) \quad V_i \perp \nabla G_j \text{ i.e. } \langle V_i, \nabla G_j \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i, j \leq n-2.$$

We can now confirm that the conditions given in (4.12) are equivalent to the original defining equations for our curve. It is clear now that the vector (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) is normal to every generator of the tangent space of the dual variety Z hence

$$\text{Con}(Z) = \{(y_0, \dots, y_n, x_0, \dots, x_n) : F(y_0, \dots, y_n) = 0\} = \text{Con}(C^k(\bar{\lambda})).$$

In our computation it was essential that we were able to express x_i for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ in terms of y_i for $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ in equations (4.11). This could not be done if $p \mid k-1$. We now proceed to the extreme case $k-1$ is a power of p .

• Assume that $k = q+1$ for $q = p^h$. Then instead of the matrix given in eq. (4.8) we consider the matrix of $\nabla^{(h)} f_i$ given as

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_0 & x_1 & 0 & x_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_0 & x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

And now

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_0^{(h)} \\ y_1^{(h)} \\ y_2^{(h)} \\ \vdots \\ y_n^{(h)} \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu x_0 \\ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu x_1 \\ \mu_0 x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{n-2} x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The relations among elements $y_0^{(h)}, \dots, y_n^{(h)}$ are given by:

$$G_i^{(h)} = \lambda_i \left(\frac{y_0^{(h)}}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{y_1^{(h)}}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu} \right)^{q+1} + \left(\frac{y_{i+2}^{(h)}}{\mu_i} \right)^{q+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

The h -conormal space is given by

$$\text{Con}^{(h)}(C^k(\bar{\lambda})) = \left\{ (x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0^{(h)}, \dots, y_n^{(h)}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{where } x_0, \dots, x_n \text{ satisfy eq. (4.7)} \\ \text{and } y_0^{(h)}, \dots, y_n^{(h)} \text{ eq. (4.13)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The variety $Z^{(h)} = \pi_2(\text{Con}^{(h)}(C^k(\bar{\lambda})))$ is given by a hypersurface $F^{(h)}(y_0^{(h)}, \dots, y_n^{(h)}) = 0$, which can be computed by eliminating μ_0, \dots, μ_{n-2} from the system of $G_i^{(h)}$. Similarly we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{(h)} G_i^{(h)} &= \left(\lambda_i \frac{y_0^{(h)}}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu \lambda_\nu}, \frac{y_1^{(h)}}{k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} \mu_\nu}, \dots, \frac{y_{i+2}^{(h)}}{k \mu_i}, \dots, 0 \right) \\ &= (\lambda_i x_0, x_1, 0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Again we see equations (4.14) as parametric equations with parameters μ_0, \dots, μ_{n-2} . The tangent space is generated by the vectors

$$V_i^{(h)} := \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_0}, \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial \mu_{n-2}} \right) = (\lambda_i x_0^{k-1}, x_1^{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0, x_i^{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n-2,$$

which are subject to the additional condition

$$(4.15) \quad V_i^{(h)} \perp \nabla G_j^{(h)} \text{ i.e. } \langle V_i^{(h)}, \nabla G_j^{(h)} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i, j \leq n-2.$$

As in the zero characteristic case the last conditions are equivalent to the defining equations of the curve.

REFERENCES

- [1] *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. II*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 340. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 II), Dirigé par P. Deligne et N. Katz.
- [2] Maks A. Akivis and Vladislav V. Goldberg. *Differential geometry of varieties with degenerate Gauss maps*, volume 18 of *CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [3] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [4] Teresa Crespo and Zbigniew Hajto. *Algebraic groups and differential Galois theory*, volume 122 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [5] A C da Silva. *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, volume 1764 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1., st ed. 2001. corr., 2nd printing edition, 2001.
- [6] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [7] Satoru Fukasawa and Hajime Kaji. The separability of the Gauss map and the reflexivity for a projective surface. *Math. Z.*, 256(4):699–703, 2007.
- [8] I. M. Gel'fand and M. M. Kapranov. On the dimension and degree of the projective dual variety: a q -analog of the Katz-Kleiman formula. In *The Gel'fand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992*, pages 27–33. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [9] I.M. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, 2008.
- [10] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 101 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [11] David Goss. *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, volume 35 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [12] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [13] H. Hasse. Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten. (nach einer brieflichen Mitteilung von F.K. Schmidt in Jena). *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 177:215–223, 1937.
- [14] Abramo Hefez. Nonreflexive curves. *Compositio Math.*, 69(1):3–35, 1989.
- [15] Abramo Hefez and Steven L. Kleiman. Notes on the duality of projective varieties. In *Geometry today (Rome, 1984)*, volume 60 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 143–183. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [16] Haruzo Hida. *Geometric modular forms and elliptic curves*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, second edition, 2012.
- [17] Rubén A. Hidalgo, Aristides Kontogeorgis, Maximiliano Leyton-Álvarez, and Panagiotis Paramantzoglou. Automorphisms of generalized Fermat curves. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 221(9):2312–2337, 2017.
- [18] Audun Holme. On the dual of a smooth variety. In *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. Copenhagen, Copenhagen, 1978)*, volume 732 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 144–156. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [19] Timothy Hosgood. An introduction to varieties in weighted projective space. 8 April 2016.
- [20] Hajime Kaji. On the inseparable degrees of the Gauss map and the projection of the conormal variety to the dual of higher order for space curves. *Math. Ann.*, 292(3):529–532, 1992.
- [21] Steven Kleiman and Ragni Piene. On the inseparability of the Gauss map. In *Enumerative algebraic geometry (Copenhagen, 1989)*, volume 123 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 107–129. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [22] Steven L. Kleiman. The enumerative theory of singularities. pages 297–396, 1977.
- [23] Steven L. Kleiman. Tangency and duality. In *Proceedings of the 1984 Vancouver conference in algebraic geometry*, pages 163–225, Providence, R.I., 1986. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [24] James S. Milne. Lectures on étale cohomology (v2.21), 2013. Available at www.jmilne.org/math/.
- [25] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.

- [26] Friedrich Karl Schmidt. Die Wronskische Determinante in beliebigen differenzierbaren Funktionenkörpern. *Math. Z.*, 45(1):62–74.
- [27] Igor R. Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry. 1*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1994. Varieties in projective space, Translated from the 1988 Russian edition and with notes by Miles Reid.
- [28] I.R. Shafarevich. *Basic Algebraic Geometry 2*. Basic Algebraic Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [29] Ravi Vakil. *The rising sea*. 2017.
- [30] Andrew H. Wallace. Tangency and duality over arbitrary fields. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 6:321–342, 1956.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, PANEPISTIMIOUPO-
LIS, 15784 ATHENS, GREECE

Email address: `kontogar@math.uoa.gr`

Email address: `georgios.petroulakis.1@city.ac.uk`