

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Coffee consumption and propensity to experience aggressive feelings in provoking and frustrating situations

Anna Zajenkowska^{1,A,B,D,E,F,G}, Konrad S. Jankowski^{2,C,D,E,F}, Kostas Mylonas^{3,A,B}, Joanna Rajchert^{1,D,E,F}

1: Department of Social Science, Institute of Applied Psychology, The Maria Grzegorzewska University, Warsaw, Poland

2: Faculty of Psychology, University of Warsaw, Poland

3: Faculty of Psychology, University of Athens, Greece

The present study focused on examining whether coffee intake is related to the intensity of aggressive feelings in reaction to various provoking or frustrating situations, along with consideration of sex and culture. Previous studies focused mainly on the dose of caffeine (not the habit of coffee drinking) and aggressive behavior. Many of these studies showed a positive relation between the amount of caffeine consumed and aggression, so it was expected that also habitual coffee consumption would be positively related to propensity for aggressive feelings in situations of provocation and frustration. Participants from Greece ($n = 299$) and Poland ($n = 300$) declared whether they were coffee drinkers (at least 1 mug of coffee per day) and completed the Situational Triggers of Aggressive Responses

questionnaire. The results showed that in females, coffee drinkers had higher scores in Sensitivity to Provocation (SP) and Frustration (SF) than nondrinkers, while no differences between drinkers and nondrinkers appeared in males. Moreover, amongst coffee drinkers, females had higher scores in SP and SF than males, while no sex differences were found in nondrinkers. Furthermore, in Poland coffee drinkers had higher SP scores than nondrinkers. Poles also had higher SP scores than Greeks, but only when coffee drinkers were compared.

KEY WORDS

aggression; coffee; cross-culture; gender; sex

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR – Anna Zajenkowska, PhD, The Maria Grzegorzewska University, 40 Szczęśliwicka Str., 02-353 Warsaw, Poland, e-mail: azajenkowska@aps.edu.pl

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION – A: Study design · B: Data collection · C: Statistical analysis · D: Data interpretation · E: Manuscript preparation · F: Literature search · G: Funds collection

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE – Zajenkowska, A., Jankowski, K., Mylonas, K., & Rajchert, J. (2015). Coffee consumption and propensity to experience aggressive feelings in provoking and frustrating situations. *Current Issues in Personality Psychology*, 3(2), 105–111.

RECEIVED 01.04.2015 · REVIEWED 17.05.2015 · ACCEPTED 25.06.2015 · PUBLISHED 29.06.2015

BACKGROUND

Aggression is one of the negative phenomena strongly influencing personal relationships and society as a whole. Thus factors determining its occurrence are of great concern to researchers, practitioners and politicians. Theoretical aggression models, including the General Aggression Model, stress the importance of both individual and situational factors in aggression (Anderson & Bushman, 2002). Triggering situations, differing among individuals, arouse aggressive feelings that may be suppressed or expressed in a form of aggressive behavior (e.g., verbal or physical). Both suppressing and expressing feelings of aggression have negative outcomes either for the individual experiencing it or for others (Laszlo, Janszky, & Ahnve, 2010).

The present paper concerns individual differences in propensity to experience feelings of aggression in reaction to various situations. Namely, various situational conditions could be grouped into two types based on the mechanism involved in appraisal of feelings of aggression: frustrating or provoking situations (Lawrence, 2006). Sensitivity to Frustration (SF) is a proneness to feel particularly aggressive in response to having one's goals blocked and to uncontrollable negative events, whereas Sensitivity to Provocation (SP) relates to feeling aggressive in reaction to goading and provocation from others. In general, the experience of feeling aggressive does increase the likelihood of aggressive behavior, for example, individuals high in SP do have an increased tendency to act aggressively towards those who provoke them, but not to those who do not provoke them (Lawrence & Hutchinson, 2013). Indeed, individuals sensitive to frustration scored higher on anger and hostility and those more sensitive to provocation scored higher on overt physical aggression (Lawrence, 2006).

SP and SF have been related to sex differences (Zajenkowska, Mylonas, Lawrence, Konopka, & Rajchert, 2014) or personality traits, e.g., individuals higher on SP and SF were also higher on neuroticism and lower on agreeableness (Zajenkowska, Jankowski, Lawrence, & Zajenkowski, 2013). However, SP and SF seem not to be redundant with personality; for instance, higher SF explained emotional tension after a stressful exam over and above neuroticism (Zajenkowska, Zajenkowski, & Jankowski, 2015).

Still, little is known about external predictors of the proneness to develop aggressive feelings. External factors are of great interest of researchers, as their presence or absence can be controlled by volitional activity of individuals. Amongst external factors, use of psychoactive substances has received much attention. Explicitly, it has been suggested that such substances as alcohol or caffeine can increase aggressive behavior in an indirect way (Bushman, 1993). That is, when people are under their influence,

aggressive feelings are more likely to transform into aggressive behaviors instead of being suppressed. However, the results of the studies are inconsistent, some showing that caffeine diminishes aggressive behavior (Cherek, Steinberg, & Brauchi, 1983), while others indicate that caffeine leads to higher aggression (Martin et al., 2008). Interestingly, an experimental study by Ferguson, Rule and Lindsay (1982) showed that application of 200 mg of caffeine led to aggression only among those individuals who were also convinced that the drug was administered, but not among those who thought that they took a placebo. In this case caffeine consumption served as an excuse to release hostility. Yet, studies conducted with animals and humans show that the relation between caffeine and aggression, frustration, and irritability is inversely U-shaped and only medium doses increase aggressive behavior (Wilson et al., 2000; Smith & Tola, 1998). Nevertheless, it is not known in which situations aggressive feelings are more likely to appear in the presence of caffeine. Given that the consumption of coffee is steadily increasing (International Coffee Council, 2012), an answer to the above questions seems increasingly important.

Thus, this study aimed to determine whether coffee consumption is related to propensity to experience aggressive feelings in provoking and frustrating situations. We were interested not in the relation between the amount of coffee drunk per day and SP and SF (though that would also be an interesting question to answer in an experimental study), but whether there are differences between coffee users and non-users in these features. Studies indicate that caffeine consumption can lead to chronically increased anxiety, while acute caffeine effects include facilitation of evoking anxiety by situations likely to trigger it (Hughes, 1996). The individual response to caffeine is, at least partly, biologically determined, particularly by polymorphisms in the adenosine receptor gene (Fredholm, Bättig, Holmén, Nehlig, & Zwartau, 1999; Rétey et al., 2007). Interestingly, the same gene has also been linked to the level of anxiety (Hamilton et al., 2004), which suggests that anxiety and effects of caffeine share partly the same biological underpinning. In the present study we hypothesize that the above-mentioned interrelationships between caffeine and anxiety may be generalized to propensity to feel aggressive, as the idea that anxiety and anger might be related states, evoked by the same dangerous event, has been discussed for example by Berkowitz and Harmon-Jones (2004). Furthermore, we expected that sex may moderate the association between coffee consumption and feelings of aggression given that females present higher levels of anxiety (Bekker & van Mens-Verhulst, 2007), SF and SP (Zajenkowska et al., 2014). Finally, since sensitivity to caffeine and anxiety is biologically determined, the differences between countries should not be pronounced regard-

ing the relation between coffee consumption and SF or SP; therefore country differences were also considered (Poland vs. Greece) to examine the stability of findings cross-culturally.

To sum up, the expectations were that: (1) coffee drinkers would be higher on SP and SF than non-drinkers but (2) female coffee drinkers would be characterized by higher indices of SF and SP than male coffee users.

PARTICIPANTS AND PROCEDURE

PARTICIPANTS

Subjects were 599 undergraduates studying either in Poland ($n = 300$) or Greece ($n = 299$). Females constituted 60% of the total sample and sex distribution in the two countries was similar ($\chi^2_{(1)} = 2.27, p > .05$). Participants were aged between 18 and 56 ($M = 21.30, SD = 3.50$) and a two-way ANOVA revealed significant interaction between sex and country regarding age ($F(1, 590) = 10.93, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .018$). It was found (with Bonferroni adjustment) that males from Poland ($M = 22.36, SD = 2.15$) were older than those from Greece ($M = 20.08, SD = 2.10$) ($p < .001$) and for the Greek sub-sample males were younger than females ($M = 21.21, SD = 5.54$). For the total sample, 54.2% of subjects were coffee drinkers and the two countries did not differ in the percentage of coffee users ($\chi^2_{(1)} = 1.10, p = .29$), but females were coffee users more frequently than males (58.5% vs. 41.9%, respectively; $\chi^2_{(1)} = 15.59, p < .001$, with a *phi* correlation of .16). Also, a two-way ANOVA revealed that coffee users from Poland ($M = 1.74, SD = 0.96$) drank more coffee than those from Greece ($M = 1.47, SD = 0.86$) ($F(1, 300) = 6.49, p < .05, \eta_p^2 = .021$).

INSTRUMENTS AND PROCEDURE

The Situational Triggers of Aggressive Responses scale (STAR; Lawrence, 2006) was used to measure aggression-related feelings. Students completed STAR in groups. The questionnaire consists of 22 items (10 reflect SF, and 12 SP). Participants are presented with 22 situations, and are asked to rate on a 5-point Likert-type scale how each of them typically makes them feel aggressive. The instrument has high internal consistency ($\alpha = .82$ for SP and $\alpha = .80$ for SF) and its validity has been examined previously (Lawrence, 2006; Zajenkowska et al., 2013). The questionnaire was translated for the current study into Polish and Greek by two experts into English and then back-translated by a bilingual person, and approved by the author of the original scale. The internal consistency of the STAR dimensions in the present study was high in both Poland ($\alpha = .78$

for SP and $\alpha = .77$ for SF) and Greece ($\alpha = .82$ and $\alpha = .80$, respectively). A previous study supported factor equivalence cross-culturally (across Poland, Greece and the UK, Zajenkowska et al., 2014); thus the constructs involved are considered similar across Poland and Greece for the purposes of our study.

Additionally, a question regarding the number of coffee mugs per day was asked and the answers were used to determine coffee drinking status: drinkers (drinking at least one cup a day) vs. non drinkers. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Maria Grzegorzewska Academy of Special Education in Warsaw.

RESULTS

Relationships between STAR subscales and coffee consumption were analyzed using three-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with country, sex, and coffee consumption status (drinker vs. nondrinkers) as between subject factors, age as a covariate, and each STAR subscale as the dependent variable.

Considering SF, three-way ANCOVA revealed a significant interaction between coffee status and sex ($F(1, 576) = 9.29, p < .01, \eta_p^2 = .016$), whereas other interactions were statistically non-significant: country by coffee status ($F(1, 576) = .41, p = .52, \eta_p^2 = .001$), country by sex ($F(1, 576) = .31, p = .58, \eta_p^2 = .001$) and country by sex by coffee status ($F(1, 576) = .04, p = .83, \eta_p^2 = .000$). Analysis of the interaction between coffee status and sex (Figure 1) using Bonferroni adjustment revealed that female coffee drinkers had higher scores in SF than female non-coffee drinkers ($p < .001$), whereas for males, no difference between




Figure 1. Sensitivity to Frustration as a function of sex and coffee drinking.

coffee drinkers and nondrinkers regarding SF was observed ($p > .05$). Moreover, among coffee drinkers, females had higher scores in SF than males ($p < .001$), while in non-coffee drinkers no sex difference was observed ($p > .05$). Furthermore, there were significant main effects of coffee status ($F(1, 576) = 4.29$, $p < .05$, $\eta_p^2 = .007$) and sex ($F(1, 576) = 14.02$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .024$). The effect of country was not statistically significant ($F(1, 576) = 3.23$, $p = .073$, $\eta_p^2 = .006$).

Regarding SP, through a three-way ANCOVA design there were significant interactions between coffee status and sex ($F(1, 576) = 5.28$, $p < .05$, $\eta_p^2 = .009$), and between coffee status and country ($F(1, 576) = 4.63$, $p < .05$, $\eta_p^2 = .008$). Other interactions were statistically non-significant: country by sex ($F(1, 576) = 3.04$, $p = .08$, $\eta_p^2 = .005$) and country by sex by coffee status ($F(1, 576) = .61$, $p > .05$, $\eta_p^2 = .001$). Considering the interaction between coffee status and sex (Figure 2) and using Bonferroni adjustment, it was revealed that for female coffee drinkers higher SP scores were reported than for female non-coffee drinkers ($p < .001$), while there was no such male difference ($p > .05$). Moreover, amongst coffee drinkers females had higher Provocation scores than males ($p < .001$), while in nondrinkers there were no sex differences in Provocation levels ($p = .315$). Analysis of the interaction between coffee status and country (Figure 3) using Bonferroni adjustment tests revealed that in Poland coffee drinkers had higher Provocation scores than nondrinkers ($p < .010$), while there were no such difference in Greece ($p = .890$). Moreover, amongst coffee drinkers Poles had higher Provocation scores than Greeks ($p < .001$), while in nondrinkers Poles and Greeks did not differ in Provocation levels ($p = .130$). Also, there were significant main effects observed:

of coffee status ($F(1, 576) = 3.86$, $p < .05$, $\eta_p^2 = .007$), of sex ($F(1, 576) = 13.48$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .023$) and of country ($F(1, 576) = 17.30$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .029$).

DISCUSSION

It was expected that higher SP and SF would be linked with higher coffee consumption. This hypothesis was confirmed only among females. Female coffee drinkers had higher scores in SP than nondrinkers, and moreover amongst coffee drinkers females had higher scores in SP than males. Much the same relationship was found in regard to SF: female drinkers had higher scores than non-drinkers, and amongst coffee drinkers females had higher scores than males. Furthermore, a difference between the two studied countries was found, but only in SP. Regardless of sex, in Poland coffee drinkers had higher SP scores than nondrinkers, and amongst coffee drinkers Poles had higher SP scores than Greeks.

Considering sex differences, the current study showed that those females who drink coffee are more sensitive to both provocation and frustration. This indicates the possible additive role of coffee consumption and sex for anxiety level. Sawyer, Julia and Turin (1985) pointed out that many studies confirmed that caffeine intake causes changes in arousal, anxiety, and performance and therefore influences human physiology, mood, and behavior. Although small doses increase behavioral activity, cognitive processes, attentiveness and also positive affectivity, high doses may cause or increase anxiety. Smith (2002) stated that consumption of a large amount of caffeine among sensitive individuals (e.g. patients with anxiety dis-




Figure 2. Sensitivity to Provocation as a function of sex and coffee drinking.




Figure 3. Sensitivity to Provocation as a function of country and coffee drinking.