$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$  $\overline{9}$ 

2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>6

 $\mathbf{1}$ 



Circulation Models of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) to quantify climate stability by

- comparing the change in the location of MCE between the LGM and present. We
- quantified Cenozoic topographic stability of each MCE by comparing contemporary
- topographic profiles with those present in the late Oligocene and the early Pliocene.
- 
- **Results** The most diverse MCEs Cape and Australia have the highest Cenozoic
- environmental stability, and the least diverse ones Chile and California have the lowest stability.
- 

**Main conclusions** Variation in plant diversity in MCEs is likely to be a consequence not of differences in diversification rates, but rather the persistence of numerous pre-Pliocene clades in the more stable MCEs. The extraordinary plant diversity of the Cape is a consequence of the combined effects of both mature and recent radiations, the latter associated with increased habitat heterogeneity produced by mild tectonic uplift in the Neogene.

## **Keywords**

- California, Cape, central Chile, diversification rate, mature radiation, Mediterranean Basin,
- OCBIL, recent radiation, southwestern Australia, YODFEL
- 
- Abstract: 279 words (300 max)
- Text (abstract, text and references): 7 190 words (7 000 max)
- 
- 

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{2}$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$  $\overline{9}$ 

2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>6

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

### **INTRODUCTION**



nutrient status (Keeley *et al.*, 2012).

w contrasting diversity patterns. Moreover, topograph<br> **Formalistan Habitat diversity – is highest in the eastern Mediterrar**<br> **Formalisty species-poor)** and lowest in the South West Aus<br> **y** species-rich), while the Cape Contemporary ecological factors fail to explain comprehensively the variation in regional-scale plant diversity of MCEs (Cowling *et al.,* 1996; Valente & Vargas, 2013). Energy regimes, as measured by potential evapotranspiration, are highest in the South West Australian Floristic Region and the California Floristic Province (Bradshaw & Cowling, 2014), which show contrasting diversity patterns. Moreover, topographical heterogeneity – a surrogate for habitat diversity – is highest in the eastern Mediterranean Basin and central Chile (relatively species-poor) and lowest in the South West Australian Floristic Region (relatively species-rich), while the Cape Floristic Region, which is the most species-rich area by far, has moderate heterogeneity (Bradshaw & Cowling, 2014). It appears that variation in contemporary plant diversity patterns in MCEs is profoundly influenced by their respective environmental histories and their impacts on patterns of diversification (speciation minus extinction) (Cowling *et al.,* 1996; Jansson & Dynesius, 2002; Cowling *et al.,* 2008; Linder, 2008; Hopper, 2009; Valente *et al.*, 2011; Valente & Vargas, 2013). In this regard, Hopper's (2009) categorization of MCEs in terms of environmental stability is informative: he differentiated those associated with old, climatically buffered, infertile landscapes (OCBILS), namely the South West Australian Floristic Region (hereafter Australia) and the Cape Floristic Region of southwestern South Africa (hereafter the Cape), and those dominated by young, often disturbed, fertile landscapes (YODFELS), namely the Mediterranean Basin, the California Floristic Province (hereafter California) and central Chile (hereafter Chile). Hopper (2009) predicted higher plant richness and endemism in OCBIL than YODFEL MCEs, owing to higher rates of lineage persistence in the former. In

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$  $\overline{8}$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>6

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## **Page 17 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**





 $\mathbf 1$ 

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## **Page 19 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**





 $\mathbf 1$  $\overline{c}$ 



- (1996, 1997). Additional sources were as follows: Australia (NatureMap,
- http://naturemap.dec.wa.gov.au/default.aspx), Cape (Cowling & Lombard, 2002), and
- Spain (Ojeda *et al.*, 2000). Since there are no suitable data from mainland Greece, we had
- to use data from the larger islands of the Aegean Sea (see Appendix S2 for data sources).
	-

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{2}$ 

#### **Page 21 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

geneity or slopes (z) and intercepts (c), following Rosen<br>
ande stability of MCEs as the difference in climate betw<br>
and present times, representing one of the largest flu-<br>
ienced during the Quaternary. We used two down-s With the exception of Thira (Santorini) which is an active volcanic caldera and Kos, the southwest part of which is characterized by contemporary volcanic activity, the islands are continental islands. Nonetheless, we would expect that the species-area relationship would have a steeper curve and lower intercept than mainland samples (Rosenzweig, 1995). All sites were located within the strictly MCE area of each region. We fitted the species-area data for each of the six regions to a double logarithmic regression model and tested for homogeneity of slopes (z) and intercepts (c), following Rosenzweig (1995). **Climate stability**  219 We assessed climate stability of MCEs as the difference in climate between the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and present times, representing one of the largest fluctations in climate conditions experienced during the Quaternary. We used two down-scaled Global Circulation Models (GCMs) of LGM climate that are currently available: the Community Climate System Model (Collins *et al.*, 2004)and the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (Hasumi & Emori, 2004). These LGM climate estimates have been statistically down-scaled using the WorldClim data set (Hijmans *et al.*, 2005) and GCM data from the Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project II (PMIP2) and are available at http://www.worldclim.org. We performed analyses using both GCMs for each of the MCE delimitations. We calculated climate stability by comparing the change in the location of MCE between the LGM and present, i.e. the percentage of the MCE area under current climate that persists under LGM conditions. 

#### **Topographic stability**



$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

 $\mathbf 1$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

**Diversity patterns** 

# **Page 23 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**







 $\mathbf{1}$ 



having lower diversity that expected on the basis of its stability.

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{2}$  $\overline{3}$  $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$  $\,6$  $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

#### **DISCUSSION**



### Journal of Biogeography **Page 26 of 51**





of course, factors other than environmental stability that could determine s in patterns of regional plant diversity among MCEs. Notable are differences in disturbance regimes (history of human impacts, fire regimes) (Blondel *et al.,* 2010; Keeley *et al.,* 2012) and soil fertility (Wisheu *et al*., 2000; Lambers *et al.*, 2010). With regard to the ay be argued that the association between landscape stability and low soil

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

#### **Page 27 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

fertility (Hopper, 2009) makes it impossible to untangle the independent effects of either factor. However, young landscapes can have infertile soils (e.g. the pine barrens of eastern North America and the mountain heathlands of south-western Spain) and old landscapes can have fertile soils (e.g. exposures of mudstones in the Cape and dolerite outcrops in Australia). The patterns of plant diversity in these regions is more consistent with OCBIL theory than a theory based on soil fertility *per se* (Ojeda et al., 2001; RMC & SDH, pers. obs.).

Ill pattern we document, there are some interesting an<br>wer diversity than the Cape, given their similar environ<br>at least two reasons. The lower topographic heterogen<br>haw & Cowling, 2014) and during the Tertiary, may have<br>r Within the overall pattern we document, there are some interesting anomalies. Why does Australia have lower diversity than the Cape, given their similar environmental histories? We can think of at least two reasons. The lower topographic heterogeneity of Australia both now (Bradshaw & Cowling, 2014) and during the Tertiary, may have constrained opportunities for radiation owing to shallower environmental gradients than the Cape, with its impressive and ancient topography (Tinker *et al.*, 2008). Secondly, mild Neogene uplift in the Cape eroded duricrusts and sandplains to create large tracts of novel, moderately fertile habitats associated with shale bedrock (Cowling *et al.*, 2009), which initiated a flurry of recent diversification (Verboom *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, the now submerged Agulhas Bank comprised a relatively fertile landscape, covering an area more than half the size of the present-day Cape, that was exposed for much of the Pleistocene (Fisher *et al.*, 2010); this YODFEL supported a largely extinct fauna of grazing mammals (Marean *et al.*, 2014) associated with – in the context of the present-day Cape – novel habitats that were likely the locus of Pleistocene plant radiations. Products of these radiations likely persist on the present-day Cape littoral and adjacent lime-rich hinterland (Cowling & Holmes, 1992). In Australia, more recent, YODFEL-like landscapes are mainly



 $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$  $\,8\,$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

 $\mathbf 1$ 

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\mathbf 2$ 

### **Page 29 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**



The hypothesis presented here – that environmentally stable MCEs have higher diversity owing to greater persistence of lineages over time – yields predictions that can be tested using dated molecular phylogenies. For example, lineage-through time plots would differ systematically among the five MCEs. The environmentally stable MCEs



 $\mathbf{1}$ 



- I. Bazos for providing references for the flora of islands of the Aegean.
- 
- 

1640-1656.

**19**, 573-590.

117-139.

**90**, 997-1008.

**19**, 220-232.

*Evolution*, **51**, 64-74.

Monograph, Perth.

exploration. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* **49**, 3-162.

Colville and G.A. Verboom), Oxford University Press, Oxford (in press).

rates in *Banksia* (Proteaceae). *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, **13**, 155.

diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest hotspot. *Science*, **323**, 785-789.

space and time. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Betic Cordillera, SE Spain. *Geomorphology*, **50**, 3-26.

(CCSM3) *Journal of Climate*, **19**, 2122-2143.

#### **Page 31 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

Evidence from supergene mineralization at La Escondida. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* **100**,

*Landscape Evolution Across Australia: A compilation of regolith landscape case studies with regolith*  landscape evolution models (ed. by R.R. Anand and P. De Broekert), pp. 15-45. CRC LEME Perth

Quaternary climate changes explain diversity among reptiles and amphibians. Ecograp*hy* **31**, 8-15.

Region. *Ecology and evolution of fynbos. Megadiversity in a changing world* (ed. by N. Allsopp, J.

disturbance in climatically similar sites in California and the Mediterranean basin. *Landscape Ecology* 

Evidence for Cenozoic extensional basin development and tectonic inversion south of the flat-slab segment, southern Central Andes, Chile (33°–36°S.L.). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* **15**,

widespread species in the *Stylidium caricifolium* complex (Stylidiaceae). *American Journal of Biology*,

edaphically matched sites in Mediterranean Australia and South Africa. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 

neglected factor in explaining convergence and divergence of plant traits in fire-prone Mediterranaean-climate ecosystems *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **14**, 509-519.

geomorphic evolution as a factor for explaining its diversification. *Molecular Phylogenetics* 

communities of California, Chile and South Africa. *Vegetatio*, 191-197.

mediterranean-climate regions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **11**, 362-6.

Region. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **47**, 367-383.

*Former* Constructive Priess, Coxton.<br>
Whing, R.M. (2014) Landscapes, rock types and climate of the Gree<br> *For Porgy and evolution of fynbos. Megadiversity in a changing world* (<br>
G.A. Verboom), Oxford University Press, Ox

![](_page_18_Picture_538.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_499.jpeg)

 $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$  $\bf 8$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

 $\mathbf 1$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

# **Page 33 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

![](_page_20_Picture_517.jpeg)

## **Journal of Biogeography Page 34 of 51**

 $\overline{\mathbf{7}}$  $\overline{8}$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>6

 $\mathbf 1$ 

![](_page_21_Picture_503.jpeg)

 $\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$ 

 $\overline{7}$  $\bf8$  $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

 $\mathbf 1$  $\mathbf 2$ 

### **Page 35 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

![](_page_22_Picture_304.jpeg)

## **Caption for figures**

Figure 1. Topographic profiles in the six Mediterranean Climate Ecosystems (MCEs) in the late Oligocene (dotted), early Pliocene (dashed) and present day (solid lines). Vertical arrows refer to historical coastlines at the corresponding times. Shaded horizontal bars delimit the extent of MCE along the profiles. Note that owing to the low topographic change in Australia, differences in the profiles for each period have been exaggerated. Figure 2. Plant species-area relationships for the six Mediterranean Climate Ecosystems

- (MCEs) with regression models.
- Figure 3. Relationships between diversity indices (c-value of species-area regression; see
- Fig.2) and mean values of topographic and climate stability in the six Mediterranean
- Climate Ecosystems (MCEs). A topographic stability index of 1 indicates complete stability.
- The down-facing triangle is the index for the late Oligocene- to late Miocene period; up-
- facing triangles for the early Pliocene to Present period. Mean climate stability values for
- Mediterranean climate models (Köppen, Maxent, GAM) and downscaled global clime
- simulations (MIROC and CCSM) are shown with black dots, whereas individual
- combinations are shown in grey. All values are given in Appendix S2.

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\frac{2}{3}$  $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$  $\frac{5}{6}$  $\overline{7}$  $\,8\,$ 

 $\boldsymbol{9}$ 

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_323.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_339.jpeg)

## **Journal of Biogeography**

![](_page_29_Picture_179.jpeg)

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

## **Page 43 of 51 Journal of Biogeography**

Appendix S1. The vegetation layers used to build the maximum entropy and generalized additive model,

Distribution models of Mediterranean climate ecosystems.

![](_page_30_Picture_304.jpeg)

DMEER (2012) Digital map of European Ecological Regions (DMEER): Version 2012. European Environmental Agency, available from at: http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/digital-map-of-europeanecological-regions (accessed 2012-12-15).

- Hopper, S.D. & Gioia P. (2004) The Southwest Australian Floristic Region: evolution and conservation of a global hotspot of biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **35**, 623–650.
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M. C. (2006) *Vegetation Map of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland*. Strelitzia 19. South African National Botanical Institute. Pretoria.
- Olson, D.M. & Dinerstein, E. (2002) The Global 200: Priority ecoregions for global conservation. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* , **89** , 199–224.
- Pahino, M. & Augusto, G. (2005) A digital map of European ecological regions (DMEER): Application and analysis of the map of the natural vegetation of Europe. *BfN-Skripten*, **156**, 446.
- Pliscoff, P.Y. & Luebert, F. (2006) *Ecosistemas terrestres. En: Biodiversidad de Chile: Patrimonio y desafíos*. Comisión Nacional del Medio Ambiente, Santiago.
- Pliscoff, P. & Luebert, F. (2011) *Pisos de Vegetación de Chile (Terrestrial Ecosystems of Chile)*. National Environmental Commission, available at the Data Basin Database: http://databasin.org/datasets/46a0ca242b174b09aaa24e34e613023e (accessed 2013-07-15).
- Rivas-Martinez, S. (1987) *Memoria Del Mapa De Series De Vegetacion De Españia*. Catedrático de Botánica de la Universidad Complutense. Madrid.

 $\mathbf{1}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_320.jpeg)

Appendix S2. Data sources for plant species richness of Greek islands.

![](_page_32_Picture_321.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_307.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_308.jpeg)

 $\overline{1}$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_169.jpeg)

Table S3. The stability of Mediterranean climate between current and Last Glacial Maximum conditions (as % of current) using one expert-opinion (Köppen) and two statistical models (GAM and Maxent).

![](_page_35_Picture_240.jpeg)

 $\mathbf{1}$  $\overline{2}$  $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$  $\overline{7}$ 

 

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

119x149mm (300 x 300 DPI)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

149x149mm (300 x 300 DPI)