

QUASICANONICAL HYPERGROUPS

CH. G. MASSOUDOS

(54 Kiliouss st., 155 61 Cholargos, Athens, GREECE)

Dedicated to the memory of GEORGE CH. MASSOUDOS

ABSTRACT. This paper is a study of the quasicanonical hypergroups. Some methods of constructing quasicanonical hypergroups are presented and the congruence relations, the homomorphisms and some properties of their direct products are studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

A non commutative canonical hypergroup [12] is called quasicanonical hypergroup ([1], [6]). That is a quasicanonical hypergroup satisfies the axioms: (ii)-(v) of the canonical hypergroup

- i) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
- ii) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$
- iii) There exists an element $v \in H$ such that $v \cdot x = x \cdot v = x$, for every $x \in H$
- iv) For every $x \in H$ there exists one and only one x' such as $v \in x \cdot x' = x' \cdot x$ (x' is written x^{-1}).
- v) $z \in x \cdot y \implies x \in z \cdot y^{-1} \implies y \in x^{-1} \cdot z$

We note that this is exactly the same structure as the one that Comer [2] and Ioulidis [4] call polygroup.

In a quasicanonical hypergroup the relation:

$$x \cdot y \cap z \cdot w \neq \emptyset \implies y \cdot w^{-1} \cap x^{-1} \cdot z \neq \emptyset$$

holds. The analogous was proved for the canonical hypergroups by J. Mittas [12]. Also this condition is one of the Join Space axioms [15] (for the relation of the Join Spaces to the canonical hypergroups see [3] and [9], [10]).

As in the case of the canonical hypergroups ([7], [8], [11], [14]) we can construct quasicanonical hypergroups using other hypergroups or even groups. Indeed let (H, \cdot) be a quasicanonical hypergroup. We introduce in H a new hypercomposition \cdot as follows:

- $x*y = x\#y \cup \{x,y\}$, if $x \neq y^{-1}$, $x,y \neq v$
- $x*x^{-1} = x^{-1}*x = H$, if $x \neq v$
- $x*v = v*x = x$ for every $x \in H$

Then $(H,*)$ becomes a quasicanonical hypergroup (see also [6], [11]). If $(H,\#)$ is a group then $(H,*)$ becomes again a quasicanonical hypergroup ($x*y = \{x,y,x\#y\}$). Now if H is a group and Z its center, H becomes a quasicanonical polysymmetrical hypergroup (see [13]) if we define in it a hypercomposition $*$ as follows :

$$x*y = \{xyz \mid z \in Z\}, \text{ if } x,y \neq 1,$$

and $x*1 = 1*x = x$.

Also if we define in H a hypercomposition $*$ in the following way:

- $x*y = [\cup_{z \in Z} \{xyz\}] \cup \{x,y\}$, if $x \neq y^{-1}$, $x,y \neq 1$
- $x*x^{-1} = x^{-1}*x = H$, if $x \neq 1$
- $x*1 = 1*x = x$, for every $x \in H$

then $(H,*)$ becomes again a quasicanonical polysymmetrical hypergroup.

2. CONGRUENCE RELATIONS

Let ε be a binary relation between two quasicanonical hypergroups H, K . Then ε will be called homomorphic relation if:

- i) $(v,v') \in \varepsilon$, where v and v' are the neutral elements of H and K
- ii) if $(a,b) \in \varepsilon$, then $(a^{-1},b^{-1}) \in \varepsilon$
- iii) if $(a_1,b_1), (a_2,b_2) \in \varepsilon$, then for every $y \in a_1*a_2$ and for every $z \in b_1*b_2$ is valid:

$$[(y) \times (b_1*b_2)] \cap \varepsilon \neq \emptyset \text{ and } [(a_1*a_2) \times (z)] \cap \varepsilon \neq \emptyset$$

If ε is a homomorphic relation between the quasicanonical hypergroups H, K and if k is a sub-hypergroup of K , then the subset h of H which consists of all these elements $a \in H$, for which there is $b \in k$ such as $(a,b) \in \varepsilon$, forms a sub-hypergroup of H . Also if ε, ζ are homomorphic relations between the quasicanonical hypergroups H,K and K,T respectively, then the relation $\varepsilon\zeta$ which is defined by the following way

$$(a,b) \in \varepsilon\zeta \iff (a,d) \in \varepsilon \text{ and } (d,b) \in \zeta \text{ for some } d \in K$$

is a homomorphic relation between H and T . Indeed, $(v,v) \in \varepsilon\zeta$. Next suppose that $(a,b) \in \varepsilon\zeta$. Then there is $d \in K$ such as $(a,d) \in \varepsilon$ and $(d,b) \in \zeta$. Thus $(a^{-1},d^{-1}) \in \varepsilon$, $(d^{-1},b^{-1}) \in \zeta$ and so $(a^{-1},b^{-1}) \in \varepsilon\zeta$. Now let $(a_1,b_1), (a_2,b_2) \in \varepsilon\zeta$. Then there are $d_1, d_2 \in K$ such as $(a_1,d_1), (a_2,d_2) \in \varepsilon$ and $(d_1,b_1), (d_2,b_2) \in \zeta$. So for every $w \in a_1*a_2$ there is $y \in d_1*d_2$ such as $(w,y) \in \varepsilon$. Also for every $y \in d_1*d_2$ there is $z \in b_1*b_2$ such that $(y,z) \in \zeta$. Thus for every $w \in a_1*a_2$ there is $z \in b_1*b_2$ such as $(w,z) \in \varepsilon\zeta$. Similarly one can prove that for every z in b_1*b_2 there is $w \in a_1*a_2$ such as $(w,z) \in \varepsilon\zeta$ and so $\varepsilon\zeta$ is a homomorphic relation.

If ε is a reflexive homomorphic relation in a quasicanonical hypergroup H , then ε is an equivalence relation. Indeed let

$(a,b) \in \mathfrak{E}$. Since \mathfrak{E} is reflexive: $(a,a), (b,b) \in \mathfrak{E}$ and since \mathfrak{E} is homomorphic, $(a^-,b^-) \in \mathfrak{E}$. Therefore for every $x \in a^*a^-$ there is $w \in a^*b^-$ such as $(x,w) \in \mathfrak{E}$. So $(v,w) \in \mathfrak{E}$. But $(b,b) \in \mathfrak{E}$ and therefore for every $y \in w^*b$ we have $[(v^*b) \times \{y\}] \cap \mathfrak{E} \neq \emptyset$ or $(b,y) \in \mathfrak{E}$ for every $y \in w^*b$. But $w \in a^*b^-$ thus $a \in w^*b$ and so $(b,a) \in \mathfrak{E}$. Therefore \mathfrak{E} is symmetric. Next let $(a,b), (b,d) \in \mathfrak{E}$. Since $(b^-,b^-) \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have $[\{y\} \times (d^*b^-)] \cap \mathfrak{E} \neq \emptyset$ for every $y \in b^*b^-$ and so $(v,w) \in \mathfrak{E}$ for some $w \in d^*b^-$. Next because $(a,b), (v,w) \in \mathfrak{E}$ we have that $[(v^*a) \times \{z\}] \cap \mathfrak{E} \neq \emptyset$ for every $z \in w^*b$. But $w \in d^*b^-$, so $d \in w^*b$, thus $(a,d) \in \mathfrak{E}$ and therefore \mathfrak{E} is transitive.

A homomorphic relation which is also an equivalence relation, is called congruence relation.

If \mathfrak{E} is a congruence relation in a quasicanonical hypergroup H , then \mathfrak{E} defines a partition in H and the set H/\mathfrak{E} of the classes mod \mathfrak{E} becomes a quasicanonical hypergroup, if the hypercomposition is defined via the H 's hypercomposition, i.e. $x\mathfrak{E}\#y\mathfrak{E} = \{w\mathfrak{E} \mid w \in x*y\}$. The class of $v \pmod{\mathfrak{E}}$, where v is the neutral element of H , is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H and if h is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H , which belongs to H/\mathfrak{E} for some congruence \mathfrak{E} , then $h = v\mathfrak{E}$.

Next suppose that H is quasicanonical hypergroup and let h be quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H . Then h defines a partition in H and the set of classes mod h , $H_h = H/h$, becomes a hypergroup, if we define the hypercomposition $\#$ of any two classes ah, bh as follows: $ah\#bh = \{wh \in H_h \mid wh \subseteq ah*bh\}$

Afterwards we shall look for a condition for h which will make H_h a quasicanonical hypergroup. We observe that h is a right neutral element of H_h . Consequently, if H_h is quasicanonical, h has to be a left neutral element as well. Thus it must be valid that $h*xh \subseteq xh$ for every $x \in H$. From this relation derives that we must have $h*x \subseteq x*h$, for every $x \in H$, which, since H is quasicanonical, gives $h*x = x*h$. Thus one can prove that:

Proposition 2.1 If h is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H , which satisfies the condition $h*x \subseteq x*h$ for every $x \in H$, then H_h is a quasicanonical hypergroup and $xh\#yh = \bigcup_{z \in x*y} \{zh\}$. Also if h is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H , then $h*x \subseteq x*h$ if and only if for every $t \in h*x$ is valid $x^-*t \cap h \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1 If h is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of a quasicanonical hypergroup H , then h is a member of an appropriate quotient system of H by a congruence \mathfrak{E} , if and only if $x*h = h*x$ for all $x \in H$.
Proof. Let h be a member of H/\mathfrak{E} for some congruence \mathfrak{E} . Then $h = v\mathfrak{E}$. For $v\mathfrak{E}$ now we have $(v\mathfrak{E})*x \subseteq v\mathfrak{E}*x\mathfrak{E} = x\mathfrak{E}$. Then for every $y \in x\mathfrak{E}$ we have $y\mathfrak{E} = x\mathfrak{E}$ thus $v\mathfrak{E} \in y\mathfrak{E}*(x\mathfrak{E})^-$ and so $v\mathfrak{E} \in \{z\mathfrak{E} \mid z \in y^*x^-\}$. Therefore there is $w \in v\mathfrak{E} \cap y^*x^-$. So $y \in w^*x$, from which we get

$x\in \subseteq v\in *x$ and thus $v\in *x = x\in$. Also $x*v\in \subseteq x\in$. Therefore $x*v\in \subseteq v\in *x$ and since H is quasicanonical this last relation becomes an equality. Now suppose that h is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of H such that $x*h = h*x$. We define in H a relation \in as follows:

$$(x,y) \in \in \iff x*y^- \cap h \neq \emptyset$$

Firstly we shall prove that \in is a homomorphic relation. Indeed $v \in h$, thus $(v,v) \in \in$. Next suppose that $(a_1,b_1), (a_2,b_2) \in \in$. Then $(a_1*b_1^-) \cap h \neq \emptyset$ and $(a_2*b_2^-) \cap h \neq \emptyset$. So there exists $s \in h$ such that $s \in a_1*b_1^-$. Thus $a_1 \in s*b_1$ and $b_1 \in a_1*s^-$. From these last relations we get that $a_1 \in h*b_1$ (1), $b_1 \in a_1*h$ (2). Similarly there is $t \in h$ such that $t \in a_2*b_2^-$. Thus $a_2 \in t*b_2$, $b_2 \in a_2*t^-$ and so we have $a_2 \in h*b_2$ (3), $b_2 \in a_2*h$ (4). From (1) and (3) derives that $a_1*a_2 \subseteq (b_1*b_2)*h$. So for every $a \in a_1*a_2$ there is $b \in b_1*b_2$ such that $a \in b*h$ or equivalently $(a*b^-) \cap h \neq \emptyset$ which, because of the definition of \in , gives $(a,b) \in \in$. Similarly from (2) and (4) derives that for every $b \in b_1*b_2$ we have $[(a_1*a_2) \times \{b\}] \cap \in \neq \emptyset$. Therefore \in is a homomorphic relation. Now, in order to show that \in is an equivalence relation, according to what we have mention above, we just have to prove that \in is reflexive. Indeed, $v \in (a*a^-) \cap h \neq \emptyset$ thus $(a,a) \in \in$ and so the Theorem.

A quasicanonical sub-hypergroup h of a quasicanonical hypergroup H is called normal if and only if it is a member of an appropriate quotient system of H by some congruence relation \in . A quasicanonical hypergroup H is the direct product of its sub-hypergroups A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n if:

- i) the A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are normal in H
- ii) $H = A_1*A_2*...*A_n$
- iii) $A_i \cap A_1*A_2*...*A_{i-1}*A_{i+1}*...*A_n = \{v\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

3. HOMOMORPHISMS OF HYPERGROUPS

Let A, B be two hypergroups and let $P(B)$ be the power set of B . Then a function $\psi: A \dashrightarrow P(B)$ is called homomorphism if $\psi(x*y) \subseteq \psi(x)*\psi(y)$ (1), for every $x, y \in A$. ψ is called strong homomorphism if the above relation holds as an equality, i.e. $\psi(x*y) = \psi(x)*\psi(y)$ (2). A function $\psi: A \dashrightarrow B$ is called strict homomorphism if (1) is valid. $\psi: A \dashrightarrow B$ is called normal homomorphism if (2) is valid. These definitions of the homomorphisms have been introduced by M. Krasner [5]. We shall give two examples of homomorphisms

- i) Let f, g be two functions from the hypergroup A to the hypergroup B . We define the hypercomposition $f*g$ to be the set $\{h: A \dashrightarrow B \mid h(x) \in f(x)*g(x)\}$. Thus for every $x \in A$ we have $(f*g)(x) \subseteq B$. So we can say that $f*g$ is a function from A to $P(B)$ of

the form: $(f * g)(x) = \cup_{h \in f * g} \{h(x)\}$. For this function it can be proved that the equality $(f * g)(x) = f(x) * g(x)$ holds, and that if f, g are normal homomorphisms and B is commutative then $f * g$ is a homomorphism. Also one can see that if f, g are strict homomorphisms then $f * g$ is also a homomorphism (see [6]).

ii) Let φ, ψ be two homomorphisms from A to $P(B)$. Then we define a new function from A to $P(B)$, the union of φ and ψ , as follows: $(\varphi \cup \psi)(x) = \varphi(x) \cup \psi(x)$.

Proposition 3.1 Let B, A, A', C be hypergroups, f, s, t, g be functions as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & f & & s, t & & p, g & \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \end{array}$$

and φ, ψ be homomorphisms from B to $P(A)$ and from A' to $P(C)$ respectively. Then the relations hold:

- i) $g^{\circ}(s * t) = g^{\circ}s * g^{\circ}t$ if g is a normal homomorphism
- ii) $(s * t)^{\circ}f = s^{\circ}f * t^{\circ}f$
- iii) $\rho^{\circ}(s * t) = \rho^{\circ}s * \rho^{\circ}t$ if ρ is a strict homomorphism
- iv) $\psi^{\circ}(s * t) \subseteq \psi^{\circ}s * \psi^{\circ}t$
- v) $(s * t)[\varphi(x)] \subseteq s(\varphi(x)) * t(\varphi(x))$ for every $x \in B$.

Proof. i) Let $h \in s * t$ and $x \in A$, then $h(x) \in s(x) * t(x)$, and $(g^{\circ}h)(x) = g(h(x)) \in g(s(x)) * g(t(x)) = (g^{\circ}s)(x) * (g^{\circ}t)(x)$ for every $x \in A$. Thus $g^{\circ}h \in g^{\circ}s * g^{\circ}t$ and so $g^{\circ}(s * t) \subseteq g^{\circ}s * g^{\circ}t$. Next if $h \in g^{\circ}s * g^{\circ}t$, then for every $x \in A$ we have: $h(x) \in g(s(x)) * g(t(x)) \Leftrightarrow h(x) \in g((s * t)(x))$ which means that there is $k_x \in s * t$ such as $h(x) \in (g^{\circ}k_x)(x)$ for every $x \in A$. Now we define a function as follows: $k(x) = k_x(x)$ for every $x \in A$. Then $k \in s * t$, so $g^{\circ}s * g^{\circ}t \subseteq g^{\circ}(s * t)$ and (i) is proved.

ii) Let $h \in s * t$ and $x \in B$, then $(h^{\circ}f)(x) \in (s * t)(f(x)) \Leftrightarrow (h^{\circ}f)(x) \in s(f(x)) * t(f(x))$ thus $(s * t)^{\circ}f \subseteq s^{\circ}f * t^{\circ}f$. Next let $h \in s^{\circ}f * t^{\circ}f$. Then $h(x) \in (s * t)(f(x))$. So there is a function $k_{f(x)}$ in $s * t$ such as $h(x) = k_{f(x)}(f(x))$ for every $x \in B$. Now we define the function $k: A \rightarrow A'$ as follows:

$$k(y) = \begin{cases} k_{f(x)}(f(x)) & \text{if } y = f(x) \\ k(y) \in (s * t)(y) & \text{if } y \in A \setminus f(B) \end{cases}$$

which belongs to $s * t$. Thus there is $k \in s * t$ such that $k^{\circ}f = h$ and so $s^{\circ}f * t^{\circ}f \subseteq (s * t)^{\circ}f$. Analogous is the proof of the other relations.

Proposition 3.2 Let B, A, A', C be hypergroups, φ, ψ be homomorphisms from A to $P(A)$, k, ρ be functions from A' to C and g be a function from B to A . Then the relations hold

- i) $k[(\varphi * \psi)(x)] = k[\varphi(x)] * k[\psi(x)]$ for every $x \in A$, if k is a normal homomorphism.
- ii) $\rho[(\varphi * \psi)(x)] \subseteq \rho[\varphi(x)] * \rho[\psi(x)]$ for every $x \in A$, if ρ is a strict homomorphism.
- iii) $(\varphi * \psi)^{\circ}g = \varphi^{\circ}g * \psi^{\circ}g$

Proposition 3.3 Let φ be a normal homomorphism from a quasicanonical hypergroup E to a quasicanonical hypergroup H . Then $\ker\varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(v))$ is a normal sub-hypergroup of E .

Proof. With no essential difficulty it can be proved that $\ker\varphi$ is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of E . In order to prove that it is a normal sub-hypergroup of E , according to proposition 2.1, we must show that for every $t \in (\ker\varphi)*a$ derives that $(a^{-}*t) \cap \ker\varphi \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, let $t \in (\ker\varphi)*a$. Then there is $s \in \ker\varphi$ such as $t \in s*a$ from where $\varphi(t) \in \varphi(s*a)$ or $\varphi(t) \in \varphi(s)*\varphi(a)$ or $\varphi(t) = \varphi(a)$ so $\varphi(a^{-}*t) = \varphi(a^{-})*\varphi(t) = \varphi(a^{-})*\varphi(a) \equiv \varphi(v)$, thus $a^{-}*t \cap \ker\varphi \neq \emptyset$.

Remark. If $\varphi(a) = v$ for some $a \in E$, then $\varphi(v) = v$. Indeed $v = \varphi(a) = \varphi(a*v) = \varphi(a)*\varphi(v) = v*\varphi(v) = \varphi(v)$. Also if $\varphi(v) = v$, then $\varphi(a^{-}) = (\varphi(a))^{-}$. Indeed $\varphi(v) \in \varphi(a^{-}*a) \Leftrightarrow v \in \varphi(a^{-})*\varphi(a) \Leftrightarrow \Leftrightarrow (\varphi(a))^{-} = \varphi(a^{-})$.

Theorem 3.1 If $\varphi: E \rightarrow H$ is a normal homomorphism of quasicanonical hypergroups, then $E / \ker\varphi \approx \text{Im}\varphi$.

Proof. Since $\ker\varphi$ is a normal sub-hypergroup of E the $E / \ker\varphi$ is a quasicanonical hypergroup. We define the function $\Phi: E / \ker\varphi \rightarrow H$ as follows: $\Phi(a\ker\varphi) = \varphi(a)$. Φ is a normal homomorphism because, if $a\ker\varphi = b\ker\varphi$ then there exists $x \in \ker\varphi$ such that $a \in b*x$ from where we get the sequence of the relations: $\varphi(a) \in \varphi(b*x)$, $\varphi(a) \in \varphi(b)*\varphi(x)$, $\varphi(a) \in \varphi(b)*\varphi(v)$, $\varphi(a) \in \varphi(b*v)$, so $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ and therefore $\Phi(a\ker\varphi) = \Phi(b\ker\varphi)$. Thus Φ is a function from $E / \ker\varphi$ to H . Now we will prove that Φ is a homomorphism. Indeed, $\Phi(a\ker\varphi*b\ker\varphi) = \Phi[\cup_{x \in a*b} (x\ker\varphi)] = \Phi[\cup_{x \in a*b} \{\varphi(x\ker\varphi)\}] = \Phi[\cup_{x \in a*b} \{\varphi(x)\}] = \Phi[\cup_{x \in a*b} (x)] = \varphi(a*b) = \varphi(a)*\varphi(b) = \Phi(a\ker\varphi)*\Phi(b\ker\varphi)$. Consequently Φ is a normal homomorphism. Next we will prove that Φ is an one to one function. Let $\Phi(a\ker\varphi) = \Phi(b\ker\varphi)$, then we have: $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$, $\varphi(b^{-})*\varphi(a) = \varphi(b^{-})*\varphi(b)$, $\varphi(b^{-})*\varphi(a) = \varphi(b^{-}*b)$, $\varphi(v) \in \varphi(b^{-})*\varphi(a)$, $\varphi(v) \in \varphi(b^{-}*a)$, $b^{-}*a \cap \ker\varphi \neq \emptyset$, thus $a\ker\varphi = b\ker\varphi$. Moreover $\text{Im}\Phi = \text{Im}\varphi$ since if $a \in E$ we have: $\Phi(a\ker\varphi) \in \text{Im}\Phi$ and $\Phi(a\ker\varphi) = \varphi(a) \in \text{Im}\varphi$. So Φ is an isomorphism from $E / \ker\varphi$ to $\text{Im}\varphi$.

The following Theorems and Proposition are valid for the quasicanonical hypergroups (see also [6]).

Theorem 3.2 If A, B are quasicanonical sub-hypergroups of a quasicanonical hypergroup H and if A is normal in $\langle A \cup B \rangle$ then $A \cap B$ is a quasicanonical sub-hypergroup of B and $\langle A \cup B \rangle / A \approx B / A \cap B$.

Proposition 3.4 Let H, E be two quasi-

canonical hypergroups and let A, B be two normal sub-hypergroups of H, E respectively. If φ is a normal homomorphism from H to E such that $\varphi(A) \subseteq B$, then the function $\Phi(xA) = \varphi(x)B$ is a normal homomorphism from H/A to E/B .

Theorem 3.3 Let A, B be two normal sub-hypergroups of a quasicanonical hypergroup H . If $A \subseteq B$, then $H/B \approx (H/A)/(B/A)$.

Next we will present five Propositions which concern the direct product of the hypergroups and the homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.5 Let $A \times B$ be the direct product of two quasicanonical hypergroups. Then there are four normal homomorphisms $\epsilon_i, \rho_i, (i = 1, 2)$ such that $\rho_1(a_1, a_2) = a_1, \rho_2(a_1, a_2) = a_2, \epsilon_1(a_1) = (a_1, v), \epsilon_2(a_2) = (v, a_2)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

$$\rho_1 \epsilon_1 = 1_A, \rho_1 \epsilon_2 = v, \rho_2 \epsilon_1 = v, \rho_2 \epsilon_2 = 1_B, \rho_1 \epsilon_1 + \rho_2 \epsilon_2 = 1_{A \times B}$$

Proposition 3.6 Let three quasicanonical hypergroups $B, A_i, (i = 1, 2)$ and two strict homomorphisms $\tau_i: B \rightarrow A_i (i = 1, 2)$. Then there exists a uniquely defined homomorphism $\tau: B \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2$ such as $\rho_1 \circ \tau = \tau_1$ and $\rho_2 \circ \tau = \tau_2$.

Proposition 3.7 Let three quasicanonical hypergroups $B, A_i, (i = 1, 2)$ and two homomorphisms τ_i from B to $A_i (i = 1, 2)$. Then there exists a maximum homomorphism τ from B , to $A_1 \times A_2$ such as $\rho_1(\tau(x)) = \tau_1(x)$ and $\rho_2(\tau(x)) = \tau_2(x)$.

Proposition 3.8 Let three quasicanonical hypergroups $B, A_i, (i = 1, 2)$ and two homomorphisms $\sigma_i: A_i \rightarrow B (i = 1, 2)$. Then there exists a maximum homomorphism $\sigma: A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow B$ such as $\sigma(\epsilon_1(x)) = \sigma_1(x)$ and $\sigma(\epsilon_2(x)) = \sigma_2(x)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BONANSINGA, P. : Sugli ipergruppi quasicanonici
Atti Soc. Feltr. Sc. Mat. Fis. Nat., (1981).
- [2] COMER, S. : Combinatorial aspects of relations.
Algebra Universalis, 18, 77 - 94 (1984)

- [3] CORSINI, P. : Recenti risultati in teoria degli ipergroupi
Boll. U.M.I. (6) 2-A 133-138 (1983).
- [4] IOULIDIS, S. : Polygroupes et certains de leurs proprietes
Bull. Greek Math. Soc. Vol. 22, 1981.
- [5] KRASNER, M. : Une nouvelle presentation de la theorie des
groupes de permutation et ses applications a la theorie
de Galois et de produit d' entrelacement ("wreath
product")
Math. Balk. t.3, pp. 229 - 280, 1973
- [6] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : Algebraic Structures with
Hypercomposition.
Doctoral Thesis submitted to the Department of
Mathematics of the University of Patras (1984)
- [7] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : Methods of constructing hyperfields.
Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. Vol. 8, No 4, 725 - 728
(1985).
- [8] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : On the theory of hyperring and
hyperfields.
АНГЕБРА И ЛОГИКА 24, No 6 728 - 742 (1985).
- [9] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : Hypergroups and their Applications.
Doctoral Thesis, Department of Sciences of the National
Technical University of Athens (1988)
- [10] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : Hypergroups and Convexity.
Rivista di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, No 4 1989
- [11] MASSOUIROS, CH. G. : Constructions of hyperfields.
Mathematica Balkanica (to appear)
- [12] MITTAS, J. : Hypergroupes canoniques.
Mathematica Balkanica t. 2, 165 - 179 (1972).
- [13] MITTAS, J. : Hypergroupes polysymetriques canoniques
Convegno su Ipergruppi, Altre Strutture Multivoche e loro
Applicazioni. Udine 1985.
- [14] NAKASSIS, A. : Recent results in hyperring and hyperfield
theory.
Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. (1987).
- [15] PRENOWITZ, W.- JANTOSCIAK, J. : Join Geometries. A Theory of
convex Sets and Linear Geometry.
Springer - Verlag, (1979).