Intrinsic volumes and linear contractions

Grigoris Paouris * Peter Pivovarov [†]

September 16, 2011

Abstract

It is shown that intrinsic volumes of a convex body decrease under linear contractions.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a convex body and B_2^N the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^N . The Steiner formula expresses the volume of the Minkowski sum $C + \varepsilon B_2^N$ in terms of the intrinsic volumes V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_N of C:

$$\operatorname{vol}_N \left(C + \varepsilon B_2^N \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N \omega_n V_{N-n}(C) \varepsilon^n.$$

Here $\operatorname{vol}_N(\cdot)$ denotes N-dimensional Lebesgue measure and $\omega_n = \operatorname{vol}_n(B_2^n)$. Of particular interest are V_1 , V_{N-1} and V_N , which are multiples of the mean-width, surface area and volume, respectively. We refer the reader to [5] for background on intrinsic volumes. In addition to their role in convex geometry, intrinsic volumes also appear in connection with Gaussian processes; see, e.g., [9], [10] and the references therein.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following.

Proposition 1.1. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a convex body and let S be a linear contraction, i.e., $||Sx||_2 \leq ||x||_2$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then for n = 1, ..., N,

$$V_n(SC) \leqslant V_n(C).$$

The case of V_1 and arbitrary contractions (not necessarily linear) is well-studied [6, Theorem 2 in §5], [1, Theorem 1]; see also [2, pg 177]. Of course for V_N one has $V_N(SC) = |\det(S)| \operatorname{vol}_N(C)$. For other intrinsic volumes, we were unable to find Proposition 1.1 in the literature but noticed that it follows from some results in [4] and thought it was worthwhile to show the details.

^{*}The first-named author is supported by the US National Science Foundation, grant DMS-0906150.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ The second-named author holds a Postdoctoral Fellowship award from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Particularly useful for our purpose is the Gaussian representation of intrinsic volumes, as in [10]; see also [8]. If $\Gamma_{N,n} = [\gamma_{ij}]$ is an $n \times N$ matrix with independent N(0,1) Gaussian entries, then the *n*-th intrinsic volume of $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by

$$V_n(C) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{\omega_n n!} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{vol}_n(\Gamma_{N,n}C).$$

As in [4], we say that a function $F : (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies **Groemer's Convexity Condition**, or simply (**GCC**), if for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $y_1 \ldots, y_N \in z^{\perp}$ the function $F_Y : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$F_Y(t) = F(y_1 + t_1 z, \dots, y_N + t_N z)$$

is even and convex. The latter definition was motivated by isoperimetric-type problems for random convex sets in [3]. In particular, by adapting [3, Lemma 3], it was shown in [4, Proposition 4.1] that for a convex body $C \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, the function $F : (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \operatorname{vol}_n\left([x_1 \dots x_N]C\right),\tag{1}$$

where $[x_1 \ldots x_N]$ denotes the $n \times N$ matrix with columns x_1, \ldots, x_N , viewed as a linear operator from \mathbb{R}^N to \mathbb{R}^n , satisfies (**GCC**). The latter property fits well with symmetrization techniques and can be used in various isoperimetric-type problems for the volume of random (and non-random) sets [4, Theorem 1.1].

For our present purpose, we require less than the (\mathbf{GCC}) condition. In fact, we will use only the following consequence.

Lemma 1.2. If $F : (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies (GCC) then for any $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $1 \leq j \leq N$, the function

$$\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto F(x_1, \dots, sx_j, \dots, x_N) \tag{2}$$

is convex.

The lemma is immediate since the restriction of a convex function to a line is itself convex.

Additionally, we will make use of the following elementary lemma (the proof is given in [4, Lemma 3.7]).

Lemma 1.3. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function such that

$$\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto \rho(sx)$$

is convex for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If X is a symmetric random vector with values in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni s \mapsto \mathbb{E}\rho(sX)$$

is an increasing function.

Here and elsewhere, we use the term "increasing" in the non-strict sense.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. As noted above, the function $F : (\mathbb{R}^n)^N \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined according to (1) satisfies (**GCC**). Let g_1, \ldots, g_N denote the columns of the Gaussian random matrix $\Gamma_{N,n}$. If g_1, \ldots, g_N are fixed, then

$$\mathbb{R} \ni s \mapsto F(g_1, \ldots, g_{j-1}, sg_j, g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_N)$$

is convex by Lemma 1.2. Letting \mathbb{E}_j denote expectation with respect g_j and applying Lemma 1.3, we have that

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni s \mapsto \mathbb{E}_j F(g_1, \dots, g_{j-1}, sg_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_N)$$

is an increasing function.

Suppose first that S is represented by the $N \times N$ diagonal matrix $S = \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, s_j, 1, \ldots, 1)$ where $s_j \in [0, 1]$ is in the j^{th} -column. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{j}F(g_{1},\ldots,g_{j-1},s_{j}g_{j},g_{j+1},\ldots,g_{N}) \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{j}F(g_{1},\ldots,g_{j-1},g_{j},g_{j+1},\ldots,g_{N})$$

and hence

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2}\omega_n n! V_n(SC) = \mathbb{E} \operatorname{vol}_n (\Gamma_{N,n}SC)$$

= $\mathbb{E} F(g_1, \dots, g_{j-1}, s_j g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_N)$
 $\leqslant \mathbb{E} F(g_1, \dots, g_N)$
= $(2\pi)^{-n/2}\omega_n n! V_n(C).$

In the general case, using singular value decomposition, one writes $S = UDV^T$, where D is the diagonal matrix diag (s_1, \ldots, s_N) , and U and V are orthogonal. Since S is a contraction, its singular values satisfy $0 \leq s_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. To conclude, we use the fact that intrinsic volumes are invariant under orthogonal transformations and apply the latter argument iteratively.

Remark 1.4. The latter proof uses ideas from [7, Lemma 2.7].

Acknowledgements

We thank A. Giannopoulos, R. Latała, R. Schneider, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann and R. Vitale for helpful discussions.

References

- R. Alexander, Lipschitzian mappings and total mean curvature of polyhedral surfaces. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985), no. 2, 661–678. MR 776397 (86c:52004)
- [2] Yu. D. Burago and V. A. Zalgaller, *Geometric inequalities*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 285, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskiĭ, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. MR 936419 (89b:52020)
- [3] H. Groemer, On the mean value of the volume of a random polytope in a convex set, Arch. Math. (Basel) 25 (1974), 86–90. MR 0341286 (49 #6036)
- [4] G. Paouris and P. Pivovarov, A probabilistic take on isoperimetric-type inequalities, preprint.
- [5] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR MR1216521 (94d:52007)
- [6] V. N. Sudakov, Geometric problems in the theory of infinite-dimensional probability distributions, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (1979), no. 2, i–v, 1–178, Cover to cover translation of Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 141 (1976). MR 530375 (80e:60052)
- [7] S. J. Szarek, Spaces with large distance to l_{∞}^n and random matrices, Amer. J. Math. **112** (1990), no. 6, 899–942. MR 1081810 (91j:46023)
- [8] B. S. Tsirelson, A geometric approach to maximum likelihood estimation for infinitedimensional gaussian location II, Theory Prob. Appl. 30, 820–828, Translation of Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 30 (1985), no. 4, 772-779.
- [9] R. A. Vitale, *Intrinsic volumes and Gaussian processes*, Adv. in Appl. Probab. 33 (2001), no. 2, 354–364. MR 1842297 (2002h:60076)
- [10] _____, On the Gaussian representation of intrinsic volumes, Statist. Probab. Lett. 78 (2008), no. 10, 1246–1249. MR 2441470 (2009k:60090)

Grigoris Paouris: grigoris@math.tamu.edu Peter Pivovarov: ppivovarov@math.tamu.edu Department of Mathematics Texas A & M University College Station TX 77843-3368