

# Seminar 28 March 2011

AK

We wish to prove the second part of the following Theorem:

**Theorem 1 (Spronk – Turowska [3])** *A closed set  $E \subseteq G$  is an  $S$ -set (i.e. satisfies spectral synthesis) if and only if the set*

$$E^* = \{(s, t) \in G \times G : st^{-1} \in E\}$$

*is operator synthetic.*

**Preliminaries.** Recall for  $\tau \in A(G)^*$ :

$$\text{supp } \tau = \left( \bigcup \{V \subseteq G \text{ open} : \tau|_V = 0\} \right)^c$$

where  $\tau|_V = 0$  means:  $u \in A(G), \text{supp } u \subseteq V \Rightarrow \tau(u) = 0$ .

Also recall for  $E \subseteq G$  closed:

$$\mathcal{J}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{A} : \text{supp } u \cap E = \emptyset\}$$

i.e.  $u$  vanishes near  $E$ .

**Remark 2**  $\mathcal{J}(E)^\perp = \{\tau \in A(G)^* : \text{supp } \tau \subseteq E\}$ .

**Proof** Take  $\tau \in \mathcal{J}(E)^\perp$ .

Let  $V$  be open,  $V \cap E = \emptyset$ . Then for all  $u \in A(G)$  with  $\text{supp } u \subseteq V$  we have  $u \in \mathcal{J}(E)$  so  $\tau(u) = 0$ . Thus  $V \cap \text{supp } \tau = \emptyset$ .

We have shown that  $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq E$ .

Conversely suppose that  $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq E$ . Let  $u \in A(G)$  be s.t.  $\text{supp } u \cap E = \emptyset$ . Hence there is an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $\text{supp } u$  with  $V \cap E = \emptyset$ . (compactness)

Thus  $\tau$  vanishes on  $V$  and so  $\tau(u) = 0$ . We have shown that  $\tau \in \mathcal{J}(E)^\perp$ .  $\square$

Let

$$VN(G) = \{\lambda_s : s \in G\}'' \subseteq B(L^2(G))$$

Note that, since the set of generators  $\{\lambda_s : s \in G\}$  is a semigroup, the algebra  $VN(G)$  equals the WOT-closed linear span of the set of generators.

It is known that this von Neumann algebra is isometrically and  $w^*$ -homeomorphically isomorphic to the Banach space dual of  $(A(G), \|\cdot\|_A)$ .

**Sketch** For  $A = \lambda_s$  and  $u(t) = \langle \lambda_t f, g \rangle \in A(G)$  define

$$\tau_A(u) = \langle Af, g \rangle.$$

Note that this is independent of  $f, g$  and depends only on  $u$ . Further  $|\tau_A(u)| \leq \|A\| \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$  for each such representation of  $u$  so  $|\tau_A(u)| \leq \|A\| \|u\|_A$ . Thus  $\tau_A \in (A(G))^*$  and  $\|\tau_A\|_{A^*} \leq \|A\|$ . Since

the map  $A \rightarrow \tau_A$  is linear and WOT-w\*-continuous it extends to a contraction  $A \rightarrow \tau_A : VN(G) \rightarrow A(G)^*$ .

Conversely given  $\tau \in A(G)^*$  we consider the sesquilinear form  $B : L^2(G) \times L^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $B(f, g) = \tau(u)$  where  $u(s) = \langle \lambda_s f, g \rangle$ . Since  $|B(f, g)| = |\tau(u)| \leq \|\tau_A\|_{A^*} \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$  there is a unique  $A \in B(L^2(G))$  with  $\|A\| \leq \|\tau_A\|_{A^*}$  so that  $B(f, g) = \langle Af, g \rangle$ . One shows that  $A$  must commute with all right translations and hence must be in  $VN(G)$ .

**Lemma 3** *If  $A \in VN(G)$ , then  $\text{supp } A \subseteq ((\text{supp } \tau_A)^{-1})^*$  i.e. if  $(t, s) \in \text{supp } A$  then  $st^{-1} \in \text{supp } \tau_A$ .*

**Proof** Let  $(t, s) \in \text{supp } A$ . If  $st^{-1} \notin \text{supp } \tau_A$  there is an open set  $W$  with  $st^{-1} \in W$  but  $W \cap \text{supp } \tau_A = \emptyset$ . Find  $U, V$  open in  $G$  with  $t \in U, s \in V$  and  $\overline{VU^{-1}} \subseteq W$ .

Since  $(t, s) \in \text{supp } A$ , we have  $P(V)AP(U) \neq 0$ , so there are  $f, g \in L^2(G)$  with  $\text{supp } f \subseteq U, \text{supp } g \subseteq V$  and  $\langle Af, g \rangle \neq 0$ .

But if  $u \in A(G)$  is given by  $u(s) = \langle \lambda_s f, g \rangle$  then  $u \in A(G)$  and  $\langle Af, g \rangle = \tau_A(u)$ .

If  $u(s) \neq 0$  then  $\int_G f(s^{-1}y)\bar{g}(y)dy \neq 0$  so there must exist  $y \in V$  so that  $s^{-1}y \in U$ , i.e.  $y^{-1}s \in U^{-1}$  i.e.  $s \in yU^{-1}$  hence  $s \in VU^{-1}$ . It follows that  $\text{supp } u \subseteq \overline{VU^{-1}} \subseteq W$ ; but  $W \cap \text{supp } \tau_A = \emptyset$  and so  $\tau_A(u) = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *If  $T \in B(H)$  is supported in a closed set  $F \subseteq G \times G$ , then  $T \in \Psi(F)^\perp$ , i.e. if  $\omega \in T(G)$  vanishes m.a.e. in a neighbourhood of  $F$ , then  $\omega(T) = 0$ .*

**Proof** Changing  $\omega$  on a marginally null set, if necessary, (this doesn't affect  $\omega(T)$ ) we may assume that  $\omega$  vanishes everywhere in some neighbourhood  $V$  of  $F$ . We may cover the closed set  $V^c$  by a finite number of open rectangles  $U_i \times V_i$  each disjoint from  $F$  (compactness of  $V^c$ ). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(s, t) &= \left( \sum_i \chi_{U_i \times V_i}(s, t) \right) \omega(s, t) = \sum_i \sum_n \chi_{U_i}(s) \chi_{V_i}(t) f_n(s) \bar{g}_n(t) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_n (\chi_{U_i} f_n)(s) (\overline{\chi_{V_i} g_n})(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(U_i \times V_i) \cap F = \emptyset$  we have  $P(V_i)TP(U_i) = 0$  for all  $i$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(T) &= \sum_i \sum_n \langle T(\chi_{U_i} f_n), (\chi_{V_i} g_n) \rangle = \sum_i \sum_n \langle TP(U_i) f_n, P(V_i) g_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \sum_n \langle P(V_i)TP(U_i) f_n, g_n \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Conclusion of the proof of the Theorem** Let  $E \subseteq G$  be closed. Assume  $E^* \subseteq G \times G$  is operator synthetic. We have to show that  $E$  is an S-set.

Fix  $u \in \mathcal{K}(E)$  (i.e.  $u \in A(G)$  vanishes on  $E$ ) and show that  $u \in \overline{\mathcal{J}(E)}$ . By Remark 2, this is equivalent to showing that if  $\tau \in A(G)^*$  has  $\text{supp } \tau \subseteq E$  then  $\tau(u) = 0$ . Now  $\tau$  is of the form  $\tau = \tau_T$  where  $T \in VN(G)$  satisfies  $\text{supp } T \subseteq ((\text{supp } \tau_T)^{-1})^* \subseteq (E^{-1})^*$  (Lemma 3). We have to prove that  $\tau_T(u) = 0$ .

Recall <sup>1</sup> that  $A(G)$  can be embedded isometrically into  $V(G)$  by  $u \rightarrow Nu$  where  $(Nu)(s, t) = u(st^{-1})$ . If

$$Nu(s, t) = \sum_i \phi_i(t) \psi_i(s)$$

<sup>1</sup>The proof (which uses the Peter – Weyl theorem) is in [3, Theorem 2.2]

is any representation of  $Nu$ , consider the map (recall that  $u$  is fixed)

$$\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H) : A \rightarrow \sum_i M_{\phi_i} A M_{\psi_i}.$$

Note that  $\Phi$  depends only on  $Nu$  (hence on  $u$ ) and not on the particular representation of  $Nu$ . One can show that the sum converges in the weak\* topology, because the sums  $\sum_i |\phi_i(t)|^2$  and  $\sum_i |\psi_i(t)|^2$  converge uniformly in  $t$ , hence are uniformly bounded and converge in the  $L^2$  norm. It follows also that the map  $\Phi$  is  $w^*$ - $w^*$ -continuous. (See for example [1, Section 3] or [2]).

**Claim 5** For all  $A \in VN(G)$ ,

$$\tau_{\Phi(A)}(v) = \tau_A(uv), \quad (v \in A(G)).$$

*Proof* Assume first  $A = \lambda_s$  ( $s \in G$  fixed). Then for all  $v \in A(G)$  of the form  $v(t) = \langle \lambda_t f, g \rangle$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\Phi(A)}(v) &:= \langle \Phi(A)f, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i M_{\phi_i} A M_{\psi_i} f, g \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_i M_{\phi_i} A(\psi_i f), g \right\rangle = \int \sum_i \phi_i(t) (\lambda_s(\psi_i f))(t) \bar{g}(t) dt \\ &= \int \sum_i \phi_i(t) \psi_i(s^{-1}t) f(s^{-1}t) \bar{g}(t) dt \\ &= \int (Nu)(t, s^{-1}t) f(s^{-1}t) \bar{g}(t) dt = \int u(tt^{-1}s) f(s^{-1}t) \bar{g}(t) dt \\ &= \int u(s) (\lambda_s f)(t) \bar{g}(t) dt = \langle u(s) \lambda_s f, g \rangle = u(s) \langle \lambda_s f, g \rangle \\ &= u(s)v(s) = (uv)(s). \end{aligned}$$

But by definition, when  $w(s) = \langle \lambda_s \xi, \eta \rangle$  is in  $A(G)$  then for  $A = \lambda_s$  we have  $\tau_A(w) = \langle A\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \lambda_s \xi, \eta \rangle = w(s)$ ; thus  $(uv)(s) = \tau_A(uv)$  and the Claim is proved for the generators  $A = \lambda_s$  of  $VN(G)$ .

Thus, for each fixed  $v \in A(G)$ , the maps  $A \rightarrow \tau_{\Phi(A)}(v)$  and  $A \rightarrow \tau_A(uv)$  agree on the generators of  $VN(G)$ . Since both maps are linear and weak\*-continuous and  $VN(G)$  is the  $w^*$ -closed linear span of the set  $\{\lambda_s : s \in G\}$ , these two maps must agree on the whole of  $VN(G)$ . This proves the Claim.  $\square$

**Claim 6**  $\Phi(T) = 0$ .

*Proof* For  $A \in B(H)$ , let  $\omega(A) = \langle Af, g \rangle$  where  $f, g \in L^2(G)$  are arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\Phi(A)) &= \left\langle \sum_i M_{\phi_i} A M_{\psi_i} f, g \right\rangle = \sum_i \langle A M_{\psi_i} f, M_{\phi_i}^* g \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle A(\psi_i f), \bar{\phi}_i g \rangle = \omega_1(A) \end{aligned}$$

so, when  $\omega$  comes from the function  $f(t)\bar{g}(s)$ , the functional  $\omega_1 := \omega \circ \Phi$  comes from the function

$$\sum_i \psi_i(t) f(t) \phi_i(s) \bar{g}(s) = \sum_i \psi_i(t) \phi_i(s) f(t) \bar{g}(s) = (Nu)(t, s) f(t) \bar{g}(s) = u(ts^{-1}) f(t) \bar{g}(s).$$

But  $u$  vanishes on  $E$ , so  $u(ts^{-1}) = 0$  when  $(s, t) \in (E^{-1})^*$ , which is a set of operator synthesis, by assumption. Thus  $\omega_1$  can be approximated, in  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , by a sequence of functions  $\omega_n \in T(G)$  vanishing

in a neighbourhood of  $(E^{-1})^*$ . On the other hand  $\text{supp } T \subseteq (E^{-1})^*$  and therefore  $\omega_n(T) = 0$  for all  $n$  by Lemma 4. Therefore

$$\omega_1(T) = 0.$$

But  $\omega_1(T) = \omega(\Phi(T))$  and so  $\langle \Phi(T)f, g \rangle = 0$ . Since  $f, g$  are arbitrary, we have shown that  $\Phi(T) = 0$ .  $\square$

Now using Claim 5 we have

$$\tau_T(uv) = \tau_{\Phi(T)}(v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in A(G)$$

and so in particular  $\tau_T(u) = \tau_T(u\mathbf{1}) = 0$ , ce qu'il fallait démontrer.

## References

- [1] Aristides Katavolos and Vern I. Paulsen. On the ranges of bimodule projections. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 48(1):97–111, 2005.
- [2] Jean Ludwig and Lyudmila Turowska. On the connection between sets of operator synthesis and sets of spectral synthesis for locally compact groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 233(1):206–227, 2006.
- [3] N. Spronk and L. Turowska. Spectral synthesis and operator synthesis for compact groups. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 66(2):361, 2002.