

# Morita-type equivalences for operator algebras

A. Katavolos

OPAW, Belfast, 6 May 2006

This talk will describe work of George Eleftherakis (Athens).

# 1 TRO equivalence

1.1 A TRO  $\mathcal{M}$  is a linear subspace of some  $B(H_1, H_2)$  such that

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^*\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}.$$

TRO's arise as:

- Corners of  $C^*$ -algebras,
- injective operator spaces,
- normalizing spaces of (possibly nonselfadjoint) algebras (w. Todorov).
- A TRO  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Hilbert (bi)-module over the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{M}^*\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^*$ . Conversely, Hilbert modules can be represented as TRO's.

$w^*$ -closed TRO's are generated by their partial isometries; they need not contain any unitaries.

1.2 Normalisers: A normaliser of  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(H_1)$  into  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq B(H_2)$  is  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  such that  $T^*\mathcal{B}T \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and  $T^*\mathcal{A}T \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ .

TRO equivalence: existence of 'sufficiently many' normalisers.

**Definition 1** *Two ( $w^*$ -closed) algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are called TRO equivalent if there exists a TRO  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = [\mathcal{M}^*\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}]^{-w^*}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = [\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}^*]^{-w^*}$ .*

Examples: unitarily equivalence; Morita equivalence of  $W^*$ -algebras.

Example:  $\mathcal{A} \sim_{TRO} M_n(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{M} = C_n(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \end{pmatrix} \cdot (*) \cdot (* *) = \begin{pmatrix} * * \\ * * \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} * \\ * \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} * * \\ * * \end{pmatrix} \cdot (* *) = (*)$$

1.3 TRO equivalence is an equivalence relation.

1.4 **Theorem 2** *The reflexive algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are TRO equivalent if and only if there exists a  $*$ -isomorphism*

$$\theta : \Delta(\mathcal{A})' \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{B})'$$

*such that*

$$\theta(\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A})) = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{B}).$$

*Reflexive algebras:* Given a (unital,  $w^*$ -closed) algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(H)$  and a (strongly closed) lattice of projections  $\mathcal{L}$ , let

$$\text{Lat}(\mathcal{A}) = \{P \in \text{Proj}(H) : P^\perp \mathcal{A} P = \{0\}\}$$

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}) = \{A \in B(H) : P^\perp A P = 0 \ \forall P \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ reflexive} : \mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}.$$

Examples:  $W^*$  algebras,

nest algebras (here  $\mathcal{L}$  is totally ordered - a nest)

CSL algebras (elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  commute).

## 2 TRO equivalence vs spacial Morita equivalence.

**Example 3** *There exist nests  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  which are isomorphic but the algebras  $\mathcal{N}_1'', \mathcal{N}_2''$  are not isomorphic. Thus isomorphism of the lattices does not guarantee TRO equivalence, even for multiplicity free nest algebras. See item 3.1 below.*

On  $H_1 = \ell^2(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])$  define, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t^+ &= \{f : \text{supp } f \subseteq [0, t]\} \\ Q_t^- &= \{f : \text{supp } f \subseteq [0, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

On  $L^2([0, 1], \lambda)$  define, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$N_t = \{f : \text{supp } f \subseteq [0, t]\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1 &= \{Q_t^\pm : t \in [0, 1]\} \quad \text{on } H_1 = \ell^2(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]) \\ \mathcal{N}_2 &= \{Q_t^\pm \oplus N_t : t \in [0, 1]\} \quad \text{on } H_2 \equiv H_1 \oplus L^2([0, 1], \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

**2.2 Proposition** *Two CSL algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  have isomorphic lattices iff they are “spacially Morita equivalent”:*

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H_1), \mathcal{B} \subset B(H_2)$  be  $w^*$ -closed algebras. If there exist spaces  $\mathcal{U} \subset B(H_1, H_2), \mathcal{V} \subset B(H_2, H_1)$  such that  $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{V}, [\mathcal{V}\mathcal{U}]^{-w^*} = \mathcal{A}, [\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}]^{-w^*} = \mathcal{B}$  then we say that the algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are **spacially Morita equivalent** and the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{U} & \mathcal{B} \end{pmatrix}$$

is called a **spacial Morita context**.

2.3 In general, TRO equivalence  $\Rightarrow$  spacial Morita equivalence.

2.4 Definition: Given a commutative subspace lattice (CSL)  $\mathcal{L}$ , the class of all  $w^*$ -closed algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  containing a masa and s.t.  $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}$  has a *minimal element*  $\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{L})$  [Arveson]. In case  $\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Alg } L$  we say  $\mathcal{L}$  is *synthetic*.

Using these methods, one shows:

**Proposition 4** *Synthesis is preserved under lattice isomorphisms.*

Qu: Under epimorphisms?

### 3 TRO equivalence and CSL algebras.

**Definition 5** Let  $\phi : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$  be an isomorphism between CSL's. Then  $\phi$  restricts to an isomorphism between the 'atomic parts', but not necessarily between the 'continuous parts' (see example above). If it does, we say  $\phi$  **respects continuity**.

**Proposition 6** Two CSL algebras are TRO equivalent iff there exists an isomorphism between their lattices which respects continuity.

**Consequences of TRO equivalence for CSL algebras:**

Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be CSL algebras which are TRO equivalent via  $\mathcal{M}$ . There is a bijective correspondence between the  $w^*$ -closed ideals of the algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . It follows that

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ strongly reflexive} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ strongly reflexive}$$

$$R_1(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow R_1(\mathcal{B}) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{A} \text{ semisimple} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ semisimple}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Rad}(\mathcal{A})^{-w^*} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B} = \text{Rad}(\mathcal{B})^{-w^*}$$

## 4 Morita equivalence for $W^*$ -algebras (Rieffel)

The category  ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules for a  $W^*$  algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ :

*Objects:*  $(H, \pi)$  where  $\pi$  is a normal ( $W^*$ -continuous)  $*$ -representation.

*Morphisms:*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_1, H_2) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : T\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)T \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

A functor  $\mathcal{F} : {}_A\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathfrak{M}$  is called a  $*$ -functor if

$$T \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_1, H_2) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T)^* = \mathcal{F}(T^*)$$

Example: If  $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism, then  $\mathcal{F}(H, \alpha) = (H, \alpha \circ \phi)$  on objects and  $\mathcal{F}(T) = T$  on morphisms defines an equivalence  $*$ -functor  $\mathcal{F} : {}_A\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow {}_B\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Theorem 7 (Rieffel)**  ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$  and  ${}_B\mathfrak{M}$  are equivalent via  $*$ -functors (write  $A \sim_R B$ ) iff there is an ‘abstract Morita context’  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{X}^* & \mathcal{B} \end{smallmatrix})$  for  $W^*$ -algebras.

equivalently [Connes]

$$A \sim_R B \iff \exists \text{ faithful normal reprs. s.t. } \alpha(\mathcal{A})' \simeq \beta(\mathcal{B})'.$$

In view of Theorem 2 this remark has the following equivalent version:

**Theorem 8** The  $W^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are Morita equivalent if and only if they have faithful normal representations  $\alpha, \beta$  on Hilbert spaces such that the algebras  $\alpha(\mathcal{A}), \beta(\mathcal{B})$  are  $TRO$  equivalent.

$$A \sim_R B \iff \exists \text{ faithful normal } * \text{ reps: } \alpha(A) \sim_{TRO} \beta(B)$$

## 5 The category $\mathfrak{M}$ for dual operator algebras.

5.1 Dual operator algebras: (unital)  $w^*$ -closed subalgebras  $(A, w^*)$  of some  $B(H)$ . There is an abstract characterisation (Le Merdy): they are the operator algebras that have an *operator space* predual.

5.2 The categories  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM}$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules.

Objects  $Ob({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M})$ :

Completely contractive unital  $w^*$ -contns. reps (normal reprs.)  $(H, \alpha)$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_1, H_2) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : T\alpha_1(a) = \alpha_2(a)T \ \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Objects:  $Ob({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM}) = Ob({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M})$ .

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathfrak{D}}(H_1, H_2) = \{T \in B(H_1, H_2) : T\alpha_1(a) = \alpha_2(a)T \ \forall a \in \Delta(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

Observe that  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M} \subseteq {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM}$ ; If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $W^*$  algebra then  ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M} = {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM}$ .

5.3 A  $\Delta$ -extension of a functor  $\mathcal{F}$  is a functor

$$\mathcal{F}^{\delta} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM} \longrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{DM}$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M} & \hookrightarrow & {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM} \\ \mathcal{F} \downarrow & & \mathcal{F}^{\delta} \downarrow \\ {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{M} & \hookrightarrow & {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{DM} \end{array}$$

commutes.



## 6 The main theorem.

6.1 **Definition** We say that the unital dual operator algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are  $\Delta$ -equivalent if there exists an equivalence functor

$$\mathcal{F} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M} \leftrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{M}$$

with a  $\Delta$ -extension to an equivalence  $*$ -functor

$$\mathcal{F}^\delta : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{DM} \leftrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{DM}.$$

We write  $\mathcal{A} \sim_\Delta \mathcal{B}$ .

Observe that for  $W^*$ -algebras,  $\mathcal{A} \sim_\Delta \mathcal{B} \iff \mathcal{A} \sim_R \mathcal{B}$ .

6.2 **Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be unital dual operator algebras.

$$\mathcal{A} \sim_\Delta \mathcal{B} \iff \exists \text{ compl. isom. normal reps: } \alpha(\mathcal{A}) \sim_{TRO} \beta(\mathcal{B}).$$

(cf. theorem 8)

## 7 Properties of the equivalence functors

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are unital dual operator algebras and  $\mathcal{A} \sim_\Delta \mathcal{B}$  via  $\mathcal{F}$ .

7.1  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to a functor  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$  of ‘tensoring by’ a suitable bimodule  $\mathcal{U}$ .

7.2  $\mathcal{F}$  maps completely isometric representations to completely isometric representations.

7.3  $\mathcal{F}$  ‘preserves reflexivity’: If  $\alpha$  is a compl. isom. repr. and  $\beta = \mathcal{F}(\alpha)$  then  $\alpha(\mathcal{A})$  is reflexive iff  $\beta(\mathcal{B})$  is.

7.4  $\mathcal{F}$  ‘respects’ the lattices: If  $(H, \alpha) \in {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M}$  with corresponding object  $(\mathcal{F}(H), \beta) \in {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{M}$  then

$$\mathcal{F}^\delta(\text{Lat}(\alpha(\mathcal{A}))) = \text{Lat}(\beta(\mathcal{B})).$$

7.5  $\mathcal{F}$  is a normal functor, i.e. ‘w\*-continuous’.

## 8 Examples and applications.

8.1 If  $\mathcal{A} \sim_\Delta \mathcal{B}$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be completely isometrically rerepresented as a CSL algebra iff  $\mathcal{B}$  can be so represented.

8.2 If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a non-synthetic CSL then  $\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{L})$  cannot be isometrically represented as a CSL algebra, although its diagonal,  $\mathcal{L}'$ , is a CSL algebra (a vN algebra with abelian commutant). But note  $\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{L})$  can be represented as a *reflexive* algebra: consider  $(\mathcal{A}_{\min}(\mathcal{L}))^{(\infty)}$  (infinite ampliation).

8.3 Two CSL algebras are  $\Delta$ -equivalent iff they are TRO equivalent.

It follows from Theorem 2 that two CSL algebras, both with continuous lattices, or both with totally atomic lattices, are  $\Delta$ -equivalent iff they have isomorphic lattices.

8.4 If two nest algebras  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are similar then there exists an equivalence functor

$$\mathcal{F} : {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M} \leftrightarrow {}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{M}.$$

8.5 But they are not always  $\Delta$ -equivalent: There exists an example of similar nest algebras with unitarily equivalent diagonals which are not  $\Delta$ -equivalent.

**Example 9** Let  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  be the nests of Example 3. Define the ‘ordinal sums’ of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$ , namely the nests

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 &= \{N \oplus 0 : N \in \mathcal{N}_1\} \cup \{I_{H_1} \oplus M : M \in \mathcal{N}_2\} \subset B(H_1 \oplus H_2) \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \{M \oplus 0 : M \in \mathcal{N}_2\} \cup \{I_{H_2} \oplus N : N \in \mathcal{N}_1\} \subset B(H_2 \oplus H_1).\end{aligned}$$

Now  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  are similar (by the similarity theorem [Davidson]), hence so are  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . So by (8.4), if  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)$  the categories  $_{\mathcal{A}}\mathfrak{M}$  and  $_{\mathcal{B}}\mathfrak{M}$  are equivalent. Observe that  $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) \simeq_{unit} \Delta(\mathcal{B})$  because  $\Delta(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{N}_1'' \oplus \mathcal{N}_2''$  and  $\Delta(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{N}_2'' \oplus \mathcal{N}_1''$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \sim_{\Delta} \mathcal{B}$ . Then, by (8.3),  $\mathcal{A} \sim_{TRO} \mathcal{B}$ . So by 2 there exists a  $*$ -isomorphism

$$\theta : \Delta(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{B}) \text{ such that } \theta(\mathcal{L}_1) = \mathcal{L}_2.$$

Since the diagonals are masas the map  $\theta$  must be unitarily implemented. Now there exist two possibilities:

$$\theta(I_{H_1} \oplus 0) = \begin{cases} M \oplus 0 & \text{for some } N \in \mathcal{N}_2 \quad (a) \\ I_{H_2} \oplus N & \text{for some } N \in \mathcal{N}_1 \quad (b) \end{cases}$$

$$(a) \implies \mathcal{N}_1'' \simeq_{unit} (\mathcal{N}_2''|_{M(H_2)})$$

$$(b) \implies \mathcal{N}_1'' \simeq_{unit} (\mathcal{N}_2'' \oplus \mathcal{N}_1''|_{N(H_1)})$$

Both lead to a contradiction because  $\mathcal{N}_1''$  is totally atomic while the others are not.