

# An introduction to tensor products of operator algebras

## Part II

Alexandros Chatzinikolaou

Functional Analysis and Operator Algebras Seminar,  
2022-2023

# Contents

## 1 Operator spaces

## 2 $C^*$ -algebras

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Nuclearity

## 3 Operator systems

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Commuting tensor product

## 4 References

## Previously..

Let  $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$  be two operator spaces. Recall that their minimal tensor product, is the vector space  $E \otimes F$  equipped with the operator space structure, inherited by its inclusion

$$E \otimes F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \hat{\otimes}_{hs} K)$$

and denoted by  $E \otimes_{min} F$ .

However, as we will see shortly, the minimal tensor norm on  $E \otimes F$  really depends on the “abstract” operator space structure of  $E$  and  $F$  rather than their particular embeddings.

Consider a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $H_n \subseteq H$ , an  $n$ -dimensional subspace with  $P_{H_n} : H \rightarrow H_n$  being the orthogonal projection.

Using an orthonormal basis we can identify  $H_n$  with the  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space  $\ell^2([n])$  and consequently  $\mathcal{B}(H_n) = M_n$  and recall that  $M_n \otimes_{\min} F = M_n(F)$ .

Let  $v_n : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_n) = M_n$  be the map  $a \mapsto P_{H_n} a|_{H_n}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n$  the collection of all such mappings with  $H_n$  arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional.

If  $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$  are operator spaces. Then, for any  $x = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in E \otimes F$  we have

$$\|x\|_{E \otimes_{\min} F} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, v_n \in \mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum v_n(a_i) \otimes b_i \right\|_{M_n(F)}. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, we may write

$$\|x\|_{\min} = \sup \left\{ |\langle xs, t \rangle| : s, t \in \text{Ball}(\mathcal{H} \otimes_2 \mathcal{K}) \right\}.$$

For some finite dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{H}_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $s, t \in \mathcal{H}_n \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . Hence, if  $v_n$  is the map defined above, we write

$$\langle xs, t \rangle = \left\langle \left( \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \right) s, t \right\rangle = \left\langle \left( \sum_i v_n(a_i) \otimes b_i \right) s, t \right\rangle$$

thus we have that

$$\|x\|_{E \otimes_{\min} F} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, v_n \in \mathcal{C}_n} \left\| \sum v_n(a_i) \otimes b_i \right\|_{M_n(F)}.$$

The reverse inequality is obvious thus we obtain the relation (1).

## Proposition

Let  $E_i, F_i, i = 1, 2$  be operator spaces and  $u_i : E_i \rightarrow F_i$  be completely bounded maps. Then  $u_1 \otimes u_2$  continuously extends by density to a completely bounded map

$$u_1 \otimes u_2 : E_1 \otimes_{\min} E_2 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes_{\min} F_2.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|u_1 \otimes u_2\|_{cb} = \|u_1\|_{cb} \|u_2\|_{cb}.$$

One can actually show the more general form:

### Proposition

For any  $x = \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in E \otimes F$  we have

$$\|x\|_{\min} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum \phi(a_i) \otimes \psi(b_i) \right\|_{M_{nm}} \right\} \quad (2)$$

where the supremum runs over  $n, m \geq 1$  and all pairs of  $\phi : E \rightarrow M_n$  and  $\psi : F \rightarrow M_m$ , with  $\|\phi\|_{cb} \leq 1$  and  $\|\psi\|_{cb} \leq 1$ .

# Contents

## 1 Operator spaces

## 2 $C^*$ -algebras

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Nuclearity

## 3 Operator systems

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Commuting tensor product

## 4 References



## \*-algebra tensor product

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that we can turn their tensor product  $A \otimes B$  to a \*-algebra by defining:

- $(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdot (a_2 \otimes b_2) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2$
- $(a \otimes b)^* = a^* \otimes b^*$

for all  $a_i, a \in A$  and  $b_i, b \in B$ , and extending linearly.

We can also define a unit in  $A \otimes B$  by  $1_{A \otimes B} := 1_A \otimes 1_B$ .

Hence,  $A \otimes B$  becomes a unital \*-algebra.

# $C^*$ -norms

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. A norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  on  $A \otimes B$  is called a  **$C^*$ -norm** if

- $\|xy\|_\gamma \leq \|x\|_\gamma \|y\|_\gamma$
- $\|x\|_\gamma = \|x^*\|_\gamma$
- $\|x^*x\|_\gamma = \|x\|_\gamma^2$

for all  $x, y \in A \otimes B$ , and a  **$C^*$ -cross-norm** if further

- $\|a \otimes b\|_\gamma = \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$

The completion of the  $*$ -algebra  $A \otimes B$  with respect to a  $C^*$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ , becomes a  $C^*$ -algebra which we denote by  $A \otimes_\gamma B$ .

In general, there may be many  $C^*$ -norms on a tensor product.

# The spatial tensor product

If  $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $B \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$  are two  $C^*$ -algebras, then we can form their spatial tensor product by the inclusion,

$$A \otimes B \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes_{sp} \mathcal{B}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \hat{\otimes}_{hs} K)$$

Indeed, the closure of the  $*$ -algebra  $A \otimes B$  w.r.t the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{sp}$  defines a  $C^*$ -algebra denoted by  $A \otimes_{sp} B$ .

The spatial tensor norm is a  $C^*$ -cross-norm!

# Representations

Let  $A, B, C$  be  $*$ -algebras and  $\pi_A : A \rightarrow C$ ,  $\pi_B : B \rightarrow C$  are two  $*$ -homomorphisms such that

$$\pi_A(a)\pi_B(b) = \pi_B(b)\pi_A(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , i.e., with commuting ranges. Then, there exists a unique  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \otimes B \rightarrow C$  with

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_A(a)\pi_B(b).$$

## Proposition

Let  $\pi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1)$  and  $\pi_2 : A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_2)$  be unital  $*$ -homomorphisms of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  respectively. Then, there exists a unique unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_{hs} H_2)$  with

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a) \otimes_{sp} \pi_2(b).$$

Moreover, if  $\pi_i$  are injective, then so is  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* We define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 : A_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_{hs} H_2) \\ a &\mapsto \pi_1(a) \otimes_{sp} \text{Id}_{H_2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2 : A_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_{hs} H_2) \\ b &\mapsto \text{Id}_{H_1} \otimes_{sp} \pi_2(b) \end{aligned}$$

and note that they are unital  $*$ -homomorphisms with commuting ranges.

So, there exists a unique unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_{hs} H_2)$  with

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \rho_1(a)\rho_2(b) = \pi_1(a) \otimes_{sp} \pi_2(b).$$

Injectivity is straightforward.

We denote the above  $*$ -homomorphism by  $\pi := \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$

Consider two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, there exist injective representations  $\pi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1)$  and  $\pi_2 : A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_2)$ . So, by the previous proposition there exists an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \hat{\otimes}_{hs} H_2)$ . Hence, the tensor product inherits the spatial tensor norm by setting

$$\|a \otimes b\|_{sp} := \|\pi(a \otimes b)\|_{sp}.$$

Again, we may take the completion with respect to this norm and end up with a  $C^*$ -algebra denoted by  $A \otimes_{sp} B$ .

Conversely,

## Proposition

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  denote a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ . Then, for every unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  there exist two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  with commuting ranges, such that

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b).$$

*Proof.* Simply define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 : A_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \\ a &\mapsto \pi(a \otimes 1_{A_2}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $\pi_2$ .



We may now define,

## Definition

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. We define the **minimal  $C^*$ -norm** on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  to be

$$\|x\|_{min} := \sup\{\|\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2(x)\| : \pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i) \text{ unital } *-homomorphism\}$$

This is indeed a  $C^*$ -cross-norm on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ . The completion with respect to this norm is a  $C^*$ -algebra denoted by  $A_1 \otimes_{min} A_2$ .

# Minimality of the minimal $C^*$ -norm

The following deep result explains the name of this particular  $C^*$ -norm:

## Theorem (Takesaki)

*Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Then, the minimal  $C^*$ -norm is the smallest of all possible  $C^*$ -norms on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ , i.e., if  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  is another  $C^*$ -norm, then*

$$\|x\|_{min} \leq \|x\|_\gamma$$

*for all  $x \in A_1 \otimes A_2$ .*

## Corollary

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  injective, unital  $*$ -homomorphisms, then

$$\|x\|_{min} = \|\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2(x)\|$$

for all  $x \in A_1 \otimes A_2$ .

## Proof.

Indeed, just note that  $\|x\|_\gamma := \|\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2(x)\|$  defines a  $C^*$ -norm less than the minimal one. □

Hence, when  $A_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  are concrete  $C^*$ -algebras, the minimal and spatial  $C^*$ -norms coincide!

# All $C^*$ -norms are cross-norms

## Corollary

Let  $A_1, A_2$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Then, every  $C^*$ -norm on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is a cross-norm.

*Proof.* Let  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  be a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ . Consider the universal representation of  $A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2$ ,  $\pi : A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ . By a previous proposition, there exist unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  with commuting ranges s.t.  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)$ . Hence.

$$\|a\| \|b\| = \|a \otimes b\|_{\min} \leq \|a \otimes b\|_\gamma = \|\pi(a \otimes b)\| = \|\pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$$

# Injectivity

Suppose that  $B_i$  are unital  $C^*$ -algebras and  $A_i \subseteq B_i$  are unital  $C^*$ -subalgebras for  $i = 1, 2$ . We have two ways of forming the minimal  $C^*$ -norm  $A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2$ :

- 1 The completion of  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  w.r.t. the norm

$$\|x\|_{\min} := \sup\{\|\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2(x)\| : \pi_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i) \text{ unital } *-hom\}$$

- 2  $\overline{A_1 \otimes A_2}^{\|\cdot\|_{\min}} \subseteq B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2$

However, Takesaki's theorem asserts that they coincide. Indeed, consider

$$j : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes B_2$$

to be the inclusion map.

Then, if  $\pi_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i)$  are unital, injective  $*$ -homomorphisms, then so are their restrictions on  $A_i$ . So, by Takesaki's theorem,

$$\|j(x)\|_{B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2} = \|x\|_{B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2} = \|\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2(x)\| = \|x\|_{A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2}$$

Thus, the inclusion map extends to a  $*$ -isomorphism between  $A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2$  and the closure of  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  in  $B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2$ , i.e.,

$$A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2 \subseteq B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2.$$

Hence, the minimal  $C^*$ -norm on two  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_1, A_2$  coincides with the spatial tensor norm and the minimal operator space norm when viewed as operator spaces.

# Examples

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let also  $C(X; A)$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions from  $X$  into  $A$  with the norm  $\|F\| = \sup\{\|F(x)\| : x \in X\}$ . Then,

## Example

$C(X; A) = C(X) \otimes_{\min} A$ ,  $*$ -isomorphically.

*Proof.*

- The subspace  $D := \text{span}\{f \cdot a : f \in C(X), a \in A\}$ , where  $f \cdot a : x \mapsto f(x)a \in A$  is dense in  $C(X; A)$ . This is done by a partition of unity argument.
- Thus, the map  $\Phi$  is an injective  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Phi : C(X) \otimes A \rightarrow C(X; A)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot a_i$$

So, we may define a norm on  $C(X) \otimes A$  by

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_{\gamma} := \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot a_i \right\|$$

Note that this is a  $C^*$ -norm, hence the map  $\Phi$ , extends to a  $*$ -isomorphism

$$C(X) \otimes_{\gamma} A = C(X; A).$$

By Takesaki's theorem,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_{\min} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_{\gamma} = \sup_x \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) a_i \right\| \quad (3)$$



- Then, fix an  $x \in X$ , and define the map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x : C(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f &\mapsto f(x)\end{aligned}$$

which is a linear contraction. Hence, there is a bounded map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x \otimes_{\min} \text{Id} : C(X) \otimes_{\min} A &\rightarrow A \\ \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) a_i\end{aligned}$$

with  $\|\phi_x \otimes_{\min} \text{Id}\| = \|\phi_x\| \leq 1$ . That is,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) a_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_{\min}$$

- Finally, since  $x \in X$  was arbitrary,

$$\sup_x \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) a_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes a_i \right\|_{\min} \quad (4)$$

and hence, by the converse inequality (3)

$$C(X) \otimes_{\min} A = C(X; A).$$

As a corollary,

### Example

For compact Hausdorff spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $C(X) \otimes_{\min} C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$ .

# Minimal - Injective norm

If we recall the exact same fact about the injective norm, i.e.,  $C(X) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon C(Y) = C(X \times Y)$ , we conclude that

## Remark

*Let  $A, B$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras with one of them being abelian. Then,*

$$A \otimes_{\min} B = A \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon B$$

*\*-isomorphically.*

# Maximal $C^*$ -norm

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Recall that whenever we have two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\pi_1 : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $\pi_2 : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  with commuting ranges, there exists a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  with  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)$ . And conversely, whenever  $\pi : A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism, there exists such a pair  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  with commuting ranges s.t.  $\pi(a \otimes b) = \pi_1(a)\pi_2(b)$ . So, it makes sense to define

## Definition

Let  $A, B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. We define the **maximal  $C^*$ -norm** as

$$\|x\|_{max} := \sup\{\|\pi(x)\| : \pi : A \otimes B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \text{ unital } *\text{-hom.}\}$$

This is indeed a  $C^*$ -norm, the completion of  $A \otimes B$  with respect to which we denote by  $A \otimes_{max} B$  and call it the *maximal tensor product*.

## Proposition

*Let  $A, B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. The maximal  $C^*$ -norm on  $A \otimes B$  is the greatest among all  $C^*$ -norms.*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  be another  $C^*$ -norm on  $A \otimes B$ . By the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, there exists a unital injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : A \otimes_\gamma B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  such that  $\|x\|_\gamma = \|\pi(x)\|$ . Hence, by definition,

$$\|x\|_\gamma = \|\pi(x)\| \leq \|x\|_{\max}.$$

Now let  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_i \subseteq B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The natural inclusion

$$A_1 \otimes A_2 \subseteq B_1 \otimes B_2 \subseteq B_1 \otimes_{max} B_2$$

induces a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ . Let's call it  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$ . So,

$$A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 = \overline{A_1 \otimes A_2}^{\|\cdot\|_\gamma} \subseteq B_1 \otimes_{max} B_2.$$

Now, the inclusion map  $j : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes B_2$ , induces a  $*$ -homomorphism

$$j : A_1 \otimes_{max} A_2 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes_\gamma A_2 \subseteq B_1 \otimes_{max} B_2$$

since

$$\|j(x)\|_\gamma = \|x\|_\gamma \leq \|x\|_{max}$$

But this may be norm decreasing!

Moreover, the maximal  $C^*$ -norm does not respect completely bounded maps!

### Example (Huruya)

There exists a completely bounded map  $L : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  and a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  such that the map  $L \otimes \text{Id} : A_1 \otimes B \rightarrow A_2 \otimes B$ , does not even extend to a bounded map on from  $A_1 \otimes_{\max} B$  to  $A_2 \otimes_{\max} B$ .

Now let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that  $M_n(A)$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and,

$$M_n \otimes A = M_n(A)$$

isomorphically as  $*$ -algebras. Hence, via the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , induced by  $M_n(A)$  (which is in fact the minimal one)  $M_n \otimes A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Thus, there is only one  $C^*$ -norm on  $M_n \otimes A$ , for if  $\|\cdot\|_\gamma$  is another one and  $M_n \otimes_\gamma A$  denotes the completion, then the inclusion

$$j : (M_n \otimes A, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow M_n \otimes_\gamma A$$

is an injective  $*$ -homomorphism between  $C^*$ -algebras, thus it is isometric.



# Nuclearity

Thus, for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there is only one  $C^*$ -norm on  $M_n \otimes A$ . In particular,  $M_n \otimes_{min} A = M_n \otimes_{max} A$  for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

## Definition

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with the property that,  $A \otimes_{min} B = A \otimes_{max} B$  for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , is called **nuclear**.

And since, for every  $C^*$ -norm  $\| \cdot \|_\gamma$  on  $A \otimes B$

$$\|x\|_{min} \leq \|x\|_\gamma \leq \|x\|_{max}$$

this means that there would be a unique  $C^*$ -norm on  $A \otimes B$  for every  $B$ .

## Examples

- 1  $M_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is nuclear.
- 2 Every finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , is nuclear.
- 3  $C(X)$ , where  $X$  is compact Hausdorff space, is nuclear.
- 4  $\mathcal{K}(H)$ , the space of compact operators on  $H$ , is nuclear.
- 5  $C^*(G)$ , where  $G$  is a discrete group, is nuclear if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

So, for instance  $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ , the full group  $C^*$ -algebra of the free group on two generators, is not nuclear.

Let also  $G_1, G_2$  be two discrete groups.

### Example

- $C^*(G_1) \otimes_{\max} C^*(G_2) = C^*(G_1 \times G_2)$
- $C_\lambda^*(G_1) \otimes_{\min} C_\lambda^*(G_2) = C_\lambda^*(G_1 \times G_2)$

# Complete positivity

Now as we will see, the *min* and *max* both get along well with completely positive maps.

## Proposition

Let  $A_i, B_i, i = 1, 2$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras and let also  $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i, i = 1, 2$  be completely positive maps. Then, there exists a completely positive map

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 \otimes_{\min} \phi_2 : A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2 &\rightarrow B_1 \otimes_{\min} B_2 \\ a_1 \otimes a_2 &\mapsto \phi_1(a_1) \otimes \phi_2(a_2)\end{aligned}$$

such that  $\|\phi_1 \otimes_{\min} \phi_2\|_{cb} = \|\phi_1\|_{cb} \|\phi_2\|_{cb}$

## Proposition

Let  $A_1, A_2$  and  $B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\theta_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be completely positive maps with commuting ranges. Then, there exists a completely positive map

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1 \otimes_{\max} \theta_2 : A_1 \otimes_{\max} A_2 &\rightarrow B \\ a_1 \otimes a_2 &\mapsto \theta_1(a_1)\theta_2(a_2)\end{aligned}$$

# Contents

## 1 Operator spaces

## 2 $C^*$ -algebras

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Nuclearity

## 3 Operator systems

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Commuting tensor product

## 4 References

# Tensor products of operator systems

Recall that an operator system is a unital selfadjoint subspace  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$  on some Hilbert space  $H$ . Abstractly, an operator system is a triple  $(S, \{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, e)$ , where  $S$  is a  $*$ -vector space,  $\{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a matrix ordering and  $e$  is a Archimedean matrix-order unit.

So, if  $S_i \subseteq A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are operator systems in the unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_i$ , then  $S_1 \otimes S_2$  inherits a natural operator system structure by its inclusion

$$S_1 \otimes S_2 \subseteq A_1 \otimes_{\min} A_2.$$

We denote this operator system by  $S_1 \otimes_{\min} S_2$ .

However, the situation with the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_1 \otimes_{\max} A_2$  is different, because of the non-injectivity of the max  $C^*$ -norm.

# Abstractly

Given an pair of operator systems  $(S, \{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, e_1)$  and  $(T, \{Q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, e_2)$ , an **operator system structure on  $S \otimes T$**  is defined as a family of cones  $\tau = \{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , with  $C_n \subseteq M_n(S \otimes T)$  such that

- 1  $(S \otimes T, \{C_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, e_1 \otimes e_2)$  is an operator system denoted by  $S \otimes_{\tau} T$
- 2  $P_n \otimes Q_m \subseteq C_{nm}$ , for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$
- 3 If  $\phi : S \rightarrow M_n$  and  $\psi : T \rightarrow M_m$  are unital completely positive maps, then  $\phi \otimes \psi : S \otimes_{\tau} T \rightarrow M_{nm}$  is unital completely positive.

We shall denote the cones  $C_n := M_n(S \otimes_{\tau} T)^+$ . Given two operator system structures  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $S \otimes T$ , we will say that  $\tau_1$  **is greater than**  $\tau_2$ , provided that

$$M_n(S \otimes_{\tau_1} T)^+ \subseteq M_n(S \otimes_{\tau_2} T)^+.$$

Equivalently, the  $\text{Id} : S \otimes_{\tau_1} T \rightarrow S \otimes_{\tau_2} T$  is completely positive.



To explain this rather confusing definition, note that “*larger norms imply smaller cones*” in the following sense.

Consider a  $*$ -vector space  $S$  and  $C^*$ -algebras  $A, B$ , so that  $S$  is equipped with two operator system structures via its embeddings  $S \subseteq (A, \|\cdot\|_t)$  and  $S \subseteq (B, \|\cdot\|_l)$  with induced cones denoted by  $\{C_n^t\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{C_n^l\}_{n=1}^\infty$  resp. Then,

$$\|\cdot\|_t^{(n)} \leq \|\cdot\|_l^{(n)}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \iff C_n^t \supseteq C_n^l, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, consider the identity map

$$\text{Id} : (S, \|\cdot\|_l) \rightarrow (S, \|\cdot\|_t)$$

and recall that for any map  $\phi$  between operator systems

$\phi$  is unital complete contraction  $\iff \phi$  is unital completely positive.

# The minimal operator system structure

Let  $S$  be an operator system. We define

$$\mathcal{S}_k(S) := \{\phi : S \rightarrow M_k : \phi \text{ is ucp}\}.$$

Let  $S, T$  be operator systems, and

$$C_n^{min} := \{[p_{i,j}] \in M_n(S \otimes T) : [(\phi \otimes \psi)(p_{i,j})]_{i,j} \in M_{nkm}^+, \\ \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}_k(S), \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}_m(T)\}$$

## Theorem

Let  $S, T$  be operator systems and  $i_S : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$  and  $i_T : T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  be unital complete order embeddings. The family  $\{C_n^{min}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is the operator system structure on  $S \otimes T$  arising from the embedding  $i_S \otimes i_T : S \otimes T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H \hat{\otimes}_{hs} K)$ .

Let's denote both the units of  $S$  and  $T$  by  $1$ .

### Definition

Let  $S, T$  be two operator systems. We call the operator system  $(S \otimes T, \{C_n^{min}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$ , the **minimal tensor product** of  $S$  and  $T$  and denote it by  $S \otimes_{min} T$ .

Hence, for  $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$  concrete operator systems, the “abstract” minimal tensor product  $S \otimes_{min} T$ , coincides with the “concrete” one, i.e., the one  $S \otimes T$  inherits from its inclusion into  $\mathcal{B}(H) \otimes_{min} \mathcal{B}(K) = \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes_{sp} \mathcal{B}(K)$ .

### Remark

*Let  $\tau$  be an operator system structure on  $S \otimes T$ . Then,  $\tau$  is larger than  $min$ .*

This follows by the 3rd property of an operator system structure on  $S \otimes T$ .

# Maximal operator system structure

Let  $S, T$  be two operator systems. Define

$$D_n^{max} := \{a(P \otimes Q)a^* : P \in M_k(S)^+, Q \in M_m(T)^+, a \in M_{n,km}, k, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

## Proposition

*The family  $\{D_n^{max}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , is a matrix ordering on  $S \otimes T$ , with matrix order unit  $1 \otimes 1$ .*

However,  $1 \otimes 1$ , may fail to be Archimedean for  $\{D_n^{max}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ !

\*Recall that an order unit  $1 \in S$  is called Archimedean if  $\varepsilon 1 + s \geq 0$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  implies  $s \geq 0$ .

## Definition

Let  $\{C_n^{max}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the **Archimedeanization** of the matrix ordering  $\{D_n^{max}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , that is,

$$[R_{i,j}] \in C_n^{max} \iff [R_{i,j}] + \varepsilon(I_n \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \in D_n^{max}, \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

We call the operator system

$$(S \otimes T, \{C_n^{max}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$$

the **maximal tensor product** of  $S$  and  $T$  and denote it by  $S \otimes_{max} T$ .

We used the symbol,  $I_n \otimes e = \begin{bmatrix} e & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & e \end{bmatrix}$ .

## Remark

*Let  $S, T$  be two operator systems, then  $\max$  is the larger operator system structure on  $S \otimes T$ .*

Now let  $A, B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. The tensor product  $A \otimes B$  obtains a natural operator system structure by its inclusion in the  $C^*$ -algebraic maximal tensor product  $A \otimes_{C^* \max} B$ . In fact,

## Theorem

*Let  $A$  and  $B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Then, the operator system  $A \otimes_{\max} B$  is completely order isomorphic to the image of  $A \otimes B$  inside the maximal  $C^*$ -algebraic tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$ .*

# Commuting tensor product

Let  $S, T$  be two operator systems and let  $CP(S, \mathcal{B}(H))$  denote the collection of all completely positive maps from  $S$  into  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ . We define

$$cp(S, T) := \{(\phi, \psi) : \phi \in CP(S, \mathcal{B}(H)), \psi \in CP(T, \mathcal{B}(H)), \\ \text{with commuting ranges}\}.$$

And for each a pair  $(\phi, \psi) \in cp(S, T)$ , we define a map

$$\phi \cdot \psi : S \otimes T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H), \text{ with } (\phi \cdot \psi)(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$$

Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a cone  $P_n \subseteq M_n(S \otimes T)$ , by

$$P_n := \{u \in M_n(S \otimes T) : (\phi \cdot \psi)^{(n)}(u) \geq 0, \text{ for all } (\phi, \psi) \in cp(S, T)\}$$

## Proposition

The family  $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a matrix ordering on  $S \otimes T$  with Archimedean matrix order unit  $1 \otimes 1$ .

## Definition

We denote by  $S \otimes_c T$  the operator system  $(S \otimes T, \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, 1 \otimes 1)$  and call it the **commuting tensor product**.

This tensor product bears similarities to the maximal  $C^*$ -algebra tensor product because of the commutativity. Actually,

## Theorem

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Then  $A \otimes_c B = A \otimes_{\max} B$ .



## Final remarks

Finally, one can also talk define nuclearity in the operator system category which we will not be discussing here. However,

### Proposition

*Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then,  $A$  is nuclear if and only if  $A \otimes_{\min} S = A \otimes_{\max} S$  for every operator system  $S$ .*

In fact, Kavruk proved the following

### Theorem

*There exists a finite dimensional operator system  $\mathcal{W}$  such that for every unital  $C^*$ -algebra, the following are equivalent,*

- *$A$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra*
- *$A \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{W} = A \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{W}$*

Such an operator system is called “nuclearity detector”.

# Contents

## 1 Operator spaces







## 2 $C^*$ -algebras

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Nuclearity

## 3 Operator systems

- Minimal tensor product
- Maximal tensor product
- Commuting tensor product

## 4 References

-  G. Pisier, *Tensor Products of  $C^*$ -Algebras and Operator Spaces: The Connes-Kirchberg Problem*, ser. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
-  —, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*, ser. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
-  G. J. Murphy,  *$C^*$ -algebras and operator theory*. Academic Press, 1990.
-  V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, ser. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
-  Αριστείδης Κατάβολος, 'Σημειώσεις Θεωρίας Τελεστών,' *eclass*, 2019-2022. [Online]. Available: <https://eclass.uoa.gr/modules/document/index.php?course=MATH175&openDir=/6152ff68IbUK>
-  M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*. Springer New York, NY, 1979.



A. Kavruk, V. I. Paulsen, I. G. Todorov, and M. Tomforde, “Tensor products of operator systems,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 267–299, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123611001315>



A. S. Kavruk, “On a non-commutative analogue of a classical result of namioka and Phelps,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 269, no. 10, pp. 3282–3303, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123615003614>