

Operator systems, coproducts and quantum information theory

Alexandros Chatzinikolaou

Functional Analysis and Operator Algebras Seminar,
2022-2023

Nonlocality scenarios

We assume that A, B, X, Y are finite sets. Suppose that we have two parties, named **Alice** and **Bob**, located in spatially separated labs. From a common source, they both receive a quantum system on which they conduct measurements.

- For Alice, the set X indexes the observables and A the outcomes. Alice's system is described by a Hilbert space H_A and each observable $x \in X$ is measured using a POVM $\{E_{x,a}\}_{a \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_A)$.
- For Bob, the set Y indexes the observables and B the outcomes. Bob's system is described by a Hilbert space H_B and each observable $y \in Y$ is measured using a POVM $\{F_{y,b}\}_{b \in B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_B)$.
- Positive operator valued measure (**POVM**): $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))^+$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i = 1$.

The tensor product assumption

- Suppose that the Hilbert space describing the composite system is modelled by the tensor product $H_A \otimes_{hs} H_B$ and that H_A, H_B are finite dimensional.

The probability that we observe $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ when measuring $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ is given by

$$p(a, b|x, y) = \langle (E_{x,a} \otimes_{sp} F_{y,b})\psi, \psi \rangle$$

where $\psi \in H_A \otimes_{hs} H_B$ is the state of the system, that is, a unit vector. So, $p = \{(p(a, b|x, y))_{(a,b) \in A \times B} : (x, y) \in X \times Y\}$ is a family of probability distributions.

The set of all families p of this type for fixed A, B, X, Y , is called the set of **quantum correlations** and is denoted by Q_{\otimes} .

The commutativity assumption

- Suppose that Alice and Bob share a common quantum system $H_A = H_B = H$, where H is infinite dimensional. So that the composite system is modelled by H .

The probability that we observe $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ when measuring $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ is given by

$$p(a, b|x, y) = \langle (E_{x,a}F_{y,b})\psi, \psi \rangle$$

where $\psi \in H$ is the state of the system, and that $E_{x,a}F_{y,b} = F_{y,b}E_{x,a}$ for all x, y, a, b .

So, $p = \{(p(a, b|x, y))_{(a,b) \in A \times B} : (x, y) \in X \times Y\}$ is again a family of probability distributions.

The set of all families p of this type for fixed A, B, X, Y , is called the set of **quantum commuting correlations** and is denoted by Q_{qc} .

Tsirelson's problem

Note that both Q_{\otimes}, Q_{qc} are subsets of \mathbb{R}^{ABXY} .

Tsirelson's problem was if

$$\overline{Q_{\otimes}} = Q_{qc}$$

for all X, Y, A, B

In fact, the equality $\overline{Q_{\otimes}} = Q_{qc}$ was shown to be equivalent to an affirmative answer to **Kirchberg's conjecture** (1993) in operator algebra theory which in turn is equivalent a positive answer to **Connes' embedding conjecture** in von Neumann algebras, from the 1970's!

All three answers were announced negative in 2020, by Ji, Natarajan, Vidick, Wright, Yuen [JNV⁺21] (computational complexity).

A universal operator system

A, X will denote finite sets throughout the talk.

Consider the C^* -algebra ℓ_A^∞ , and let $\{e_a\}_{a \in A}$ denote its canonical basis.

Proposition

For any POVM $\{E_a\}_{a \in A}$ in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, there is a unital completely positive map

$$\phi : \ell_A^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

such that $\phi(e_a) = E_a$, $a \in A$. Conversely, any such ucp map defines a POVM by setting $E_a := \phi(e_a)$.

Moreover, there is an operator system, let's call it $S_{A,X}$ that contains X -copies of ℓ_A^∞ and let $\{e_{a,x}\}_{a \in A}$ denote the canonical basis of the x -th copy of ℓ_A^∞ .

Proposition

For any family of POVM's $\{E_{a,x}\}_{a \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, $x \in X$ there is a ucp map

$$\phi : S_{X,A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

such that $\phi(e_a^x) = E_{a,x}$, for every a, x . Conversely, any such map defines a family of POVM's $\{E_{a,x}\}_{a \in A}$ with this equation.

The operator system $S_{A,X}$ is what is called the coproduct of X -copies of the operator system ℓ_A^∞ and is denoted by $\underbrace{\ell_A^\infty \oplus_1 \cdots \oplus_1 \ell_A^\infty}_X$.

- Projection valued measure (**PVM**): $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^n P_i = 1$ and $P_i^2 = P_i = P_i^*$.

Theorem (Naimark's dilation)

For every POVM $\{E_a\}_{a \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, there exist a Hilbert space K , an isometry $V \in B(H, K)$ and a PVM $\{P_a\}_{a \in A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ such that $E_a = V^ P_a V$, $a \in A$.*

This can be done by applying Stinespring's dilation theorem to the ucp map ϕ induced by the POVM. By a similar argument we can show that

Theorem (A simultaneous Naimark's dilation)

For any family $\{E_{a,x}\}_{a \in A, x \in X}$ of POVM's there exist a Hilbert space K , an isometry $V \in B(H, K)$ and PVM's $\{P_{a,x}\}_{a \in A, x \in X} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, $x \in X$ such that $E_{a,x} = V^ P_{a,x} V$, $a \in A, x \in X$.*

With this in hand, one can replace the POVM's in the definition of the correlation sets Q_{\otimes}, Q_{qc} with PVM's without changing the probabilities!

Kirchberg's conjecture

Let \mathbb{F}_n be the free group on n generators, and $C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ be the full group C^* -algebra of the group \mathbb{F}_n . The full group C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is the completion of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_n]$ with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{\pi} \|\pi(x)\|$, where the supremum is taken over all unitary representations π of the group \mathbb{F}_n into some $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

(A reformulation of) **Kirchberg's problem** was whether

$$C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = C^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes_{\max} C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

Kavruk's reformulation

Now, let $\mathcal{S}_n = \text{span}\{1, g_i, g_i^* : i = 1, \dots, n\} \subseteq C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$, where the g_i are the unitary generators of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$.

In particular, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{1, g_1, g_2, g_1^*, g_2^*\}$ is a five-dimensional operator subsystem of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Kavruk's remarkable result, states the following,

Theorem ([Kav14])

The following are equivalent,

- 1 *Kirchberg's conjecture has an affirmative answer.*
- 2 $\mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_2$.

Now let $\mathcal{S}_1 := \text{span}\{1, z, z^*\} \subseteq C(\mathbb{T})$, where z is the identity function, i.e. $z(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\theta}$.

It can be shown that the op. system \mathcal{S}_2 from before, equals

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_1 \oplus_1 \mathcal{S}_1$$

where the right-hand side is what is called, the **coproduct** (or unital direct sum) of \mathcal{S}_1 with itself.

The coproduct of two operator systems, can be defined via a universal property.

Universal property

The coproduct of two operator systems S_1 and S_2 [Kav14], is an operator system $S_1 \oplus_1 S_2$, together with two ucp maps $\phi_i : S_i \rightarrow S_1 \oplus_1 S_2$, $i = 1, 2$ s.t. whenever R is another o.s. with ucp maps $\psi_i : S_i \rightarrow R$, there exists a ucp map $\Psi : S_1 \oplus_1 S_2 \rightarrow R$ with $\Psi \circ \phi_i = \psi_i$, $i = 1, 2$, i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & S_1 \oplus_1 S_2 & \xleftarrow{\phi_2} & S_2 \\ & \searrow \psi_1 & \downarrow \Psi & \swarrow \psi_2 & \\ & & R & & \end{array}$$

$S_1 \oplus_1 S_2$ is unique up to a unital complete order isomorphism.

The coproduct is a categorical notion that exists in several categories.

- The coproduct or free product of two unital C^* -algebras [VDN92] A, B is again a unital C^* -algebra $A *_1 B$ satisfying a similar universal property. $A *_1 B$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by copies of A and B with identification of their units.

$A *_1 B$ can also be thought of as completion of the $*$ -algebra free product, which is spanned by linear combinations of “words” in A and B with identification of their units.

- More generally, if D is a C^* -algebra contained in A and B , we may form the amalgamated free product [Bla78] $A *_D B$, which is the universal C^* -algebra generated by copies of A and B so that the copies of D are identified.

Example

Let G_1, G_2 be discrete groups. Then, the coproduct in this category is the free product of groups $G_1 * G_2$.

Example

$$C^*(G_1) *_1 C^*(G_2) = C^*(G_1 * G_2)$$

The free products of C^* -algebras can get quite large. Consider the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_m of order m , we have the isomorphism $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_m) = \mathbb{C}^m$. In particular, $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{C}^2$ and their free product $\mathbb{C}^2 *_1 \mathbb{C}^2 = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by two projections. More concretely,

Example (see [RS89])

$$\mathbb{C}^2 *_1 \mathbb{C}^2 = \{f \in C([0, 1], M_2) : f(0), f(1) \text{ are diagonal}\}$$

If $S \subseteq A$ and $T \subseteq B$ are two operator systems, where A, B are unital C^* -algebras. We have two (complete order isomorphic) ways of realising their coproduct $S \oplus_1 T$.

- 1 $S \oplus_1 T = \text{span}\{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\} \subseteq A *_1 B$
- 2 $S \oplus_1 T = (S \oplus T) / \{\lambda(1_S \oplus -1_T) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$

Where,

- 1 The 1st form, makes use of Boca's theorem [Boc91], which implies that, whenever we have ucp maps $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, there exists a ucp map $\Phi : A *_1 B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ with $\Phi|_A = \phi$ and $\Phi|_B = \psi$.
- 2 For the 2nd one, one needs to prove first that $J = \{\lambda(1_S \oplus -1_T) : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is an “appropriate” subspace of $S \oplus T$ so that the resulting quotient is an operator system.

Now, we consider a generalisation of the notion of the operator systems, namely, the operator A -systems.

For a unital C^* -algebra A , an operator system S with unit $e \in S$, is called *operator A -system* if

- 1 S is a bimodule over A
- 2 $(a \cdot s)^* = s^* \cdot a^*$
- 3 $a \cdot e = e \cdot a, \quad e \in S$
- 4 $[a_{i,j}] \cdot [s_{i,j}] \cdot [a_{i,j}]^* \in M_n(S)^+, \text{ for all } [a_{i,j}] \in M_{n,m}(A),$
 $[s_{i,j}] \in M_m(S)^+, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}$

For example, every operator system, is an operator A -system, for $A = \mathbb{C}$. Also, every unital C^* -algebra B is an operator A -system over any unital C^* -subalgebra A .

Note that, the “appropriate” morphisms for operator A -systems, are the ucp A -bimodule maps, i.e. ϕ is ucp and $\phi(a \cdot s) = a \cdot \phi(s) \quad \forall a \in A, s \in S$.

There is a Choi-Effros-type representation theorem for operator A -systems,

Theorem ([Pau03])

Let A be a unital C^ -algebra and S be an operator A -system. There exists a Hilbert space H , a unital complete order embedding $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, such that*

$$\phi(a \cdot s) = \pi(a) \phi(s),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $s \in S$.

So, we can identify an (abstract) operator A -system S with its image under ϕ , and consider it as $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ while the module action becomes $a \cdot s = \pi(a)s \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Denote the module action $A \times S \rightarrow S$, by $a \cdot s$.

- S is a *faithful operator A-system* if:

$$a \cdot e \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}.$$

By the previous theorem, if S is a faithful operator A -system, there exists a unital complete order embedding $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ s.t. $\phi(a \cdot s) = \pi(a)\phi(s)$. From this, we obtain that if $a \neq 0$, then

$$\pi(a) = \phi(a \cdot e) \neq 0$$

since S is faithful and ϕ is injective. So, π is injective as well.

- More concretely this means that: $A \subseteq S \subseteq B(H)$, for some H .

Operator A-system coproducts

A notion of a coproduct also exists in this context.

Theorem ([Cha22])

Let S_1 and S_2 be two faithful operator A -systems. There exists a unique faithful operator A -system $S_1 \oplus_A S_2$, along with unital complete order embeddings $\phi_i : S_i \hookrightarrow S_1 \oplus_A S_2$, $i = 1, 2$ that are also A -bimodule maps, such that the following universal property holds: For every operator A -system R and u.c.p. A -bimodule maps $\psi_i : S_i \rightarrow R$, $i = 1, 2$, there exists a unique u.c.p. A -bimodule map $\Psi : S_1 \oplus_A S_2 \rightarrow R$ such that $\Psi \circ \phi_i = \psi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let A , B_1 and B_2 be unital C^* -algebras. Suppose that we have two faithful operator A -systems S and T s.t. $A \subseteq S \subseteq B_1$ and $A \subseteq T \subseteq B_2$. In analogy to the operator system case, we can realise the coproduct $S \oplus_A T$ of two faithful operator A -systems S and T as

- ① $S \oplus_A T = \text{span}\{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\} \subseteq B_1 *_A B_2,$
- ② $S \oplus_A T = (S \oplus T) / \{a \oplus (-a) : a \in A\}.$

Where,

- ① The 1st form makes use of an extension of Boca's theorem by Davidson and Kakariadis [DK19], which roughly says that we can lift ucp maps from the C^* algebras B_1 and B_2 that agree on A , to a ucp map on their amalgamated free product.
- ② For the 2nd form, one must first prove that $(S \oplus T) / \{a \oplus (-a) : a \in A\}$ admits an operator A -system structure.

Graph operator systems

Graph operator systems, are examples of operator A -systems. If $G = (V, E)$ is a simple, undirected graph, with $|V| = n$, the graph operator system S_G is defined as

$$S_G := \text{span}\{e_{i,j} \in M_n : i = j \text{ or } (i,j) \in E\}$$

where $\{e_{i,j}\}$ are the matrix units of M_n .

It can be shown that an operator system $S \subseteq M_n$ is a graph operator system, if and only if S is a bimodule over D_n .

So, graph operator systems are operator A -systems for $A = D_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular they are faithful since, $D_n \subseteq S_G \subseteq M_n$.

Now, we let G be the complete graph on two vertices, so $S_G = M_2$. Then, we can form the “amalgamated” coproduct $M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2$, which is a faithful operator D_2 -system, i.e., an operator system, that is a bimodule over D_2 and such that $D_2 \subseteq M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2$. Also, $M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2$ is 6-dimensional.

More concretely, we can imagine $M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2$ as

$$M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2 = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & & \\ c & d & & \\ \hline & & e & f \\ & & g & h \end{array} \right) : a, \dots, h \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

where we identify

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & 0 & & \\ 0 & d & & \\ \hline & & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ \hline & & a & 0 \\ & & 0 & d \end{array} \right).$$

However, $M_2 \oplus_{D_2} M_2$ is not a graph operator system for any graph G . In fact, it cannot be represented faithfully in a finite dimensional Hilbert space!



Bruce E. Blackadar.

Weak expectations and nuclear C^* -algebras.

Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 27(6):1021–1026, 1978.



Florin Boca.

Free products of completely positive maps and spectral sets.

Journal of Functional Analysis, 97:251–263, 1991.



Alexandros Chatzinikolaou.

On coproducts of operator \mathcal{A} -systems, 2022.



Kenneth R. Davidson and Evgenios T. A. Kakariadis.

A proof of Boca's theorem.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, 149(4):869–876, 2019.



Tobias Fritz.

Tsirelson's problem and kirchberg's conjecture.

Reviews in Mathematical Physics, 24:1250012, 2010.

 Zhengfeng Ji, Anand Natarajan, Thomas Vidick, John Wright, and Henry S. Yuen.

MIP* = RE.

Communications of the ACM, 64:131 – 138, 2021.

 Ali Samil Kavruk.

Nuclearity related properties in operator systems.

Journal of Operator Theory, 71(1):95–156, feb 2014.

 Vern Paulsen.

Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras.

Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2003.

 IAIN RAEBURN and ALLAN M. SINCLAIR.

The c^* -algebra generated by two projections.

Mathematica Scandinavica, 65(2):278–290, 1989.

 Dan Voiculescu, Ken Dykema, and Alexandru Nica.

Free random variables.

American Mathematical Soc., 1992.