# Isoperimetric constants of metric probability spaces

#### Seminar on Functional Analysis and Operator Algebras

December 4, 2020

 Let (X, d, μ) be a metric probability space. The concentration function of X is defined on (0,∞) by

$$lpha_{\mu}(t) := \sup\{1 - \mu(A_t) : \mu(A) \geqslant 1/2\}$$

where the supremum runs over all sets A in the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  with  $\mu(A) \ge 1/2$ , and where  $A_t = \{x : d(x, A) < t\}$  is the *t*-extension of A.

• We say that  $\mu$  has exponential concentration on (X, d) if there exist constants C, c > 0 such that, for every t > 0,

$$\alpha_{\mu}(t) \leqslant C e^{-ct}.$$

- Recall that a function  $f: (X, d) \to \mathbb{R}$  is called Lipschitz if there exists  $\sigma \ge 0$  such that  $|f(x) f(y)| \le \sigma d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and the smallest such constant  $\sigma$  is denoted by  $||f||_{\text{Lip}}$ .
- We say that f is locally Lipschitz if for every  $x \in X$  there exists a neighborhood  $U_x$  of x such that  $f |_{U_x}$  is Lipschitz. For every locally Lipschitz function f we define (in the continuous case)

$$|\nabla f|(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$$

 $\bullet$  We say that  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $\theta$  if

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leqslant heta^2 \int |
abla f|^2 d\mu$$

for every locally Lipschitz function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu} \left( f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f) \right)^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f^2) - (\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f))^2.$$

#### Theorem (Gromov-Milman)

Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric probability space. If  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $\theta$ , then  $\mu$  has exponential concentration. More precisely,

$$\alpha_{\mu}(t) \leqslant \exp\Big(-\frac{t}{3\theta}\Big).$$

- We present an argument that uses the notion of the expansion coefficient of  $\mu$ .
- This is defined for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  as follows:

 $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mu}(\varepsilon) = \sup\{s \ge 1 : \mu(B_{\varepsilon}) \ge s\mu(B) \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}(X) \text{ with } \mu(B_{\varepsilon}) \leqslant 1/2\}.$ 

#### Theorem

Assume that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mu}(\varepsilon) \ge s > 1$ . Then, for every t > 0 we have  $\alpha_{\mu}(t) \le \frac{s}{2}s^{-t/\varepsilon}$ .

- Let  $A \subseteq X$  with  $\mu(A) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and let t > 0. There exists  $k \ge 0$  such that  $k\varepsilon \le t < (k+1)\varepsilon$ . Setting  $B_0 = X \setminus A$  and  $B_j = X \setminus A_{j\varepsilon}$ , for every  $1 \le j \le k$  we check that  $(B_j)_{\varepsilon} \subseteq B_{j-1} \subseteq X \setminus A$ .
- Applying the definition of the expansion coefficient to  $B_j$  (as  $\mu(B_j) \leq 1/2$ ) and the assumption that  $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mu}(\varepsilon) \geq s$  we get

$$\mu(B_j) = \mu(X \setminus A_{j\varepsilon}) \leqslant \frac{1}{s} \mu(X \setminus A_{(j-1)\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{s} \mu(B_{j-1}),$$

for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

• Then, we have

$$egin{aligned} \mu(X\setminus A_t) &\leqslant \mu(X\setminus A_{karepsilon}) \leqslant rac{1}{s}\mu(X\setminus A_{(k-1)arepsilon}) \leqslant rac{1}{s^2}\mu(X\setminus A_{(k-2)arepsilon}) \ &\leqslant \cdots \leqslant rac{1}{s^k}\mu(X\setminus A) \leqslant rac{1}{2}s^{-k} \leqslant rac{1}{2}s^{-(rac{t}{arepsilon}-1)} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from  $t < (k+1)\varepsilon$ .

#### Theorem (Gromov-Milman)

Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric probability space. If  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $\theta$ , then  $\mu$  has exponential concentration. More precisely,  $\alpha_{\mu}(t) \leq \exp\left(-t/(3\theta)\right)$ .

- For the proof of the Gromov-Milman theorem we shall show that if  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $\theta$  then  $\operatorname{Exp}_{\mu}(\sqrt{2}\theta) \ge 2$ .
- Let  $\sqrt{2}\theta = \varepsilon > 0$  and consider  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $A = X \setminus B_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies  $\mu(A) \ge 1/2$ . We set  $a = \mu(A)$ ,  $b = \mu(B)$ . Note that  $d(A, B) \ge \varepsilon$ .
- Define  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} \right) \min\{\varepsilon, d(x, A)\}.$
- Then, f(x) = 1/a on A, f(x) = -1/b on B and

$$|\nabla f|(x) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , while  $|\nabla f|(x) = 0$  on a set of measure a + b.

• Consequently,

$$\int |
abla f|^2 d\mu \leqslant rac{1}{arepsilon^2} \left(rac{1}{a} + rac{1}{b}
ight)^2 (1 - a - b).$$

# Poincaré inequality and concentration

• On the other hand, if  $m = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)$  we have

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \ge \int_{A} (f-m)^2 d\mu + \int_{B} (f-m)^2 d\mu \ge a \left(\frac{1}{a}-m\right)^2 + b \left(-\frac{1}{b}-m\right)^2 \ge \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}.$$

• From the Poincaré inequality we get

$$\left(rac{1}{a}+rac{1}{b}
ight)\leqslantrac{ heta^2}{arepsilon^2}\left(rac{1}{a}+rac{1}{b}
ight)^2(1-a-b),$$

and hence  $\frac{\varepsilon^2}{d^2} \leqslant \frac{a+b}{ab}(1-a-b) \leqslant \frac{1-a-b}{ab} = \frac{1-a}{ab} - \frac{1}{a}$ .

• Solving for *b* we have

$$b \leqslant rac{1-a}{a} \cdot rac{1}{rac{1}{a} + rac{arepsilon^2}{ heta^2}} = rac{1-a}{1+rac{aarepsilon^2}{ heta^2}} \leqslant rac{1-a}{1+rac{arepsilon^2}{2 heta^2}}$$

• Having chosen  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2}\theta$ , this implies

$$\mu(B) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\mu(B_{\varepsilon}),$$

as claimed. Since B was arbitrary, we conclude that  $\mathrm{Exp}_{\mu}(\sqrt{2}\theta) \geqslant 2.$   $\bullet$  Then,

$$\alpha_{\mu}(t) \leqslant \exp\Big(-\frac{\ln 2}{\sqrt{2}\theta}t\Big) \leqslant \exp\Big(-\frac{t}{3\theta}\Big).$$

• Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For every Borel subset A of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the Minkowski content of A with respect to  $\mu$  is defined as

$$\mu^+(A) = \liminf_{t \to 0^+} rac{\mu(A_t) - \mu(A)}{t}$$

• The isoperimetric ratio of A is defined as follows:

$$\chi_{\mu}(A) := rac{\mu^+(A)}{\min\{\mu(A), 1-\mu(A)\}}.$$



 $\bullet\,$  Then, we define the Cheeger constant  $\chi_\mu$  of  $\mu$  setting

$$\chi_{\mu} := \inf\{\chi_{\mu}(A) : A \text{ Borel } \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

#### Theorem (Rothaus, Cheeger, Maz'ya)

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Cheeger constant  $\chi_{\mu}$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  be the largest constant with the following property: for every integrable, locally Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$lpha_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)| \, d\mu(x) \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |
abla f(x)| \, d\mu(x).$$

Then,  $\alpha_1 \leqslant \chi_\mu \leqslant 2\alpha_1$ .

First we show that  $\chi_{\mu} \leq 2\alpha_1$ .

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be an integrable, locally Lipschitz function. We may assume that f is bounded from below and hence, by adding a suitable constant, that f > 0.
- The co-area formula shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \, d\mu(x) \ge \int_0^\infty \mu^+ (\{x : f(x) > s\}) \, ds$$
$$\ge \chi_\mu \int_0^\infty \min\{\mu(A(s)), 1 - \mu(A(s))\} \, ds,$$

where  $A(s) = \{f > s\}.$ 

### Cheeger constant

• Setting 
$$A(s) = \{f > s\}$$
 we saw that  

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \, d\mu(x) \ge \chi_\mu \int_0^\infty \min\{\mu(A(s)), 1 - \mu(A(s))\} \, ds.$$

• Using the fact that  $\|\mathbf{1}_B - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\mathbf{1}_B)\|_1 = 2\mu(B)(1 - \mu(B))$  for every Borel subset B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the simple identity  $\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f(g - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g))) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g(f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)))$ , we may write

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)| \, d\mu(x) \geqslant \chi_{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} \mu(A(s))(1 - \mu(A(s))) \, ds \\ &= \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \|\mathbf{1}_{A(s)} - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\mathbf{1}_{A(s)})\|_1 \, ds \\ &\geqslant \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \sup \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathbf{1}_{A(s)} - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\mathbf{1}_{A(s)}))g \, d\mu \, ds \, : \, \|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \sup \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_{A(s)}(g - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g)) \, d\mu \, ds \, : \, \|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(g - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g)) \, d\mu \, : \, \|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)) \, d\mu \, : \, \|g\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1 \right\} = \frac{\chi_{\mu}}{2} \|f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)\|_{1}. \end{split}$$

This shows that  $\chi_{\mu} \leq 2\alpha_1$ .

#### Cheeger constant

• Recall that  $\alpha_1$  is the largest constant so that

$$lpha_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| f(x) - \mathbb{E}_\mu(f) \right| d\mu(x) \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| 
abla f(x) \right| d\mu(x)$$

for locally Lipschitz functions. Now, we want to show that  $\alpha_1 \leqslant \chi_{\mu}$ .

• Consider any closed subset A of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for small  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define the function

$$f_{arepsilon}(x) = \max\left\{0, 1 - rac{d(x, A_{arepsilon^2})}{arepsilon - arepsilon^2}
ight\}$$

- Then,  $0 \leq f_{\varepsilon} \leq 1$ ,  $f_{\varepsilon} \equiv 1$  on  $A_{\varepsilon^2} \supseteq A$ ,  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\{x : d(x, A) > \varepsilon\}$ , and  $f_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}_A$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .
- Finally,  $f_{\varepsilon}$  is Lipschitz: we have

$$|f_{\varepsilon}(x) - f_{\varepsilon}(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \Big| d(x, A_{\varepsilon^2}) - d(y, A_{\varepsilon^2}) \Big| \leq \frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}$$

therefore  $|\nabla f_{\varepsilon}(x)| \leq (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)^{-1}$ .

• Since  $\nabla f_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$  on  $C = \{x : d(x, A) > \varepsilon\} \cup \{x : d(x, A) < \varepsilon^2\}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla t_{\varepsilon}(x)| \, d\mu(x) &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{C}} |\nabla t_{\varepsilon}(x)| \, d\mu(x) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \, \frac{\mu(A_{\varepsilon}) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \, \frac{\mu(A_{\varepsilon^2}) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon^2}. \end{split}$$

• We have assumed that

$$lpha_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|f(x)-\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)
ight|d\mu(x)\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|
abla f(x)
ight|d\mu(x).$$

• Therefore,

$$\alpha_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_{\varepsilon}(x) - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f_{\varepsilon})| \, d\mu(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon} \, \frac{\mu(A_{\varepsilon}) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \, \frac{\mu(A_{\varepsilon^2}) - \mu(A)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

 $\bullet~{\rm Letting}~\varepsilon\to 0^+$  we see that

$$\mu^+(A) \ge \alpha_1 \|\mathbf{1}_A - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(\mathbf{1}_A)\|_1 = 2\alpha_1 \mu(A)(1 - \mu(A)).$$

• This shows that  $\chi_{\mu} \ge \alpha_1$ .

# Definition

$$\psi_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\chi_{\mu}}$$
, the reciprocal Cheeger constant

• Recall that a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies the Poincaré inequality with constant  $\vartheta > 0$  if

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leqslant \vartheta^2 \int \left| \nabla f \right|^2 d\mu,$$

for all smooth functions f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\mu}(g) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g^2) - (\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(g))^2$$

is the variance of g with respect to  $\mu$ .

• The Poincaré constant  $\vartheta_{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  is the smallest constant  $\vartheta > 0$  for which the Poincaré inequality is satisfied for all f.

#### Theorem (Maz'ya, Cheeger)

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure with reciprocal Cheeger constant  $\psi_{\mu}$ . Then its Poincaré constant  $\vartheta_{\mu}$  satisfies

$$\vartheta_{\mu} \leqslant 2\psi_{\mu}.$$

• By the co-area formula and the definition of the Cheeger constant, for every positive integrable locally Lipschitz function g we have

$$\chi_{\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \min\{\mu(\{g \geqslant s\}), 1 - \mu(\{g \geqslant s\})\} ds \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu^{+}(\{g \geqslant s\}) ds$$
  
 $\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |
abla g| d\mu.$ 

- Let f be an integrable locally Lipschitz function and set m = med(f). Then, we have  $\mu(\{f \ge m\}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mu(\{f \le m\}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .
- We set  $f^+ = \max\{f m, 0\}$  and  $f^- = -\min\{f m, 0\}$ . Then,  $f m = f^+ f^$ and by the definition of m we have

$$\mu(\{(f^+)^2 \geqslant s\}) \leqslant rac{1}{2} \quad ext{and} \quad \mu(\{(f^-)^2 \geqslant s\}) \leqslant rac{1}{2}$$

for all s > 0.

# Poincaré constant and Cheeger constant

• Using

$$\chi_{\mu}\int_{0}^{\infty}\min\{\mu(\{g\geqslant s\}),1-\mu(\{g\geqslant s\})\}\,ds\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|
abla g|\,d\mu$$

with  $g = (f^+)^2$  and  $g = (f^-)^2$  and applying integration by parts we see that

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f - m|^{2} d\mu &= \chi_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (f^{+})^{2} d\mu + \chi_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (f^{-})^{2} d\mu \\ &= \chi_{\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(\{(f^{+})^{2} \ge s\}) \, ds + \chi_{\mu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu(\{(f^{-})^{2} \ge s\}) \, ds \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\nabla((f^{+})^{2})| \, d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\nabla((f^{-})^{2})| \, d\mu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (|\nabla((f^{+})^{2})| + |\nabla((f^{-})^{2})|) \, d\mu. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$|
abla((f^+)^2)| + |
abla((f^-)^2)| \leq 2|f - m| |
abla f|.$$

• Therefore, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we see that

$$\chi_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f-m|^2 d\mu \leqslant 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f-m|^2 d\mu 
ight)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |
abla f|^2 d\mu 
ight)^{1/2}.$$

• We saw that

$$\chi_{\mu}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|f-m\right|^2d\mu\leqslant 2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|f-m\right|^2d\mu\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\nabla f\right|^2d\mu\right)^{1/2}.$$

• This gives

$$\frac{\chi_{\mu}^2}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f-m|^2d\mu\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\nabla f|^2\,d\mu.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)|^2 d\mu = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - \alpha|^2 d\mu \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f - m|^2 d\mu$$

and f was arbitrary, we get  $\vartheta_{\mu}^{2}\leqslant4\chi_{\mu}^{-2}=4\psi_{\mu}^{2}.$ 

• A Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called log-concave if for all compact subsets A, B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and all  $0 < \lambda < 1$  we have

$$\mu((1-\lambda)A+\lambda B) \geqslant \mu(A)^{1-\lambda}\mu(B)^{\lambda}.$$

#### Theorem (Buser, Ledoux)

Let  $\mu$  be a log-concave probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with reciprocal Cheeger constant  $\psi_{\mu}$ . Then its Poincaré constant  $\vartheta_{\mu}$  satisfies

$$\psi_{\mu} \leqslant c \vartheta_{\mu}.$$

 We say that a Borel probability measure μ on ℝ<sup>n</sup> is isotropic if bar(μ) = ∫<sub>ℝ<sup>n</sup></sub> xdμ(x) = 0 and μ satisfies the isotropic condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x, heta 
angle^2 \, d\mu(x) = 1, \qquad heta \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}.$$

- Similarly, we shall say that a log-concave function f : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → [0,∞) with barycenter bar(f) = 0 is isotropic if ∫ f(x)dx = 1 and the measure dµ(x) = f(x)dx is isotropic.
- A convex body K of volume 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with barycenter at the origin is called isotropic if

$$\int_{K} \langle x, \theta \rangle^2 \, dx = L_K^2$$

for some constant  $L_{\mathcal{K}} > 0$  (the isotropic constant of  $\mathcal{K}$ ) and all  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ .

- One can check that K is isotropic if and only if the function f<sub>K</sub> := L<sup>n</sup><sub>K</sub> 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>L<sup>K</sup><sub>K</sub></sub> is an isotropic log-concave function.
- Every non-degenerate absolutely continuous probability measure μ has an isotropic image ν = μ ∘ S, where S : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → ℝ<sup>n</sup> is an affine map. Similarly, every log-concave f : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → [0,∞) with 0 < ∫ f < ∞ has an isotropic image: there exist an affine isomorphism S : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → ℝ<sup>n</sup> and a positive number a such that af ∘ S is isotropic.

• Let f be a log-concave function with finite, positive integral. The covariance matrix Cov(f) is the matrix with entries

$$[\operatorname{Cov}(f)]_{ij} := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i x_j f(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx} - \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i f(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_j f(x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \, dx}.$$

- If f is the density of a measure μ we denote this matrix also by Cov(μ). Note that if f is isotropic then Cov(f) is the identity matrix.
- The isotropic constant of f is defined by

$$L_f := \left(\frac{\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left[\det \operatorname{Cov}(f)\right]^{\frac{1}{2n}}.$$

(and given a log-concave measure  $\mu$  with density  $f_{\mu}$  we let  $L_{\mu} := L_{f_{\mu}}$ ).

• It is easy to check that the isotropic constant  $L_{\mu}$  is an affine invariant.

## Conjecture 1: Isotropic constant

• One can also prove that if  $f:\mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$  is a log-concave density, then

$$nL_f^2 = \inf_{\substack{S \in SL_n \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \right)^{2/n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |S(x) + y|^2 f(x) \, dx.$$

• If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$  is an isotropic log-concave function then

$$L_f = \|f\|_{\infty}^{1/n} \ge c,$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

#### Conjecture 1

For any isotropic log-concave density  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\|f\|_{\infty}^{1/n}\leqslant C,$$

where C > 0 is an absolute constant.

• This would imply that a convex body of volume one, in any dimension, has at least one hyperplane section with volume bounded from below by an absolute constant (slicing problem).

Define

 $L_n := \sup\{L_\mu : \mu \text{ is an isotropic log-concave measure on } \mathbb{R}^n\}.$ 

- Then, Conjecture 1 states that  $L_n \leq C$  for an absolute constant C > 0.
- Around 1985-6 (published in 1991), Bourgain introduced this conjecture and obtained the upper bound  $L_n \leq c \sqrt[4]{n} \ln n$ .
- In 2006 the estimate was improved by Klartag, who showed that the logarithmic factor can be omitted.

#### Theorem (Bourgain/Klartag)

There exists an absolute constant c > 0 such that  $L_n \leq c\sqrt[4]{n}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

# **KLS**-conjecture

 Kannan, Lovász and Simonovits conjectured in 1994 that the isoperimetric ratio of any Borel set A with respect to the uniform measure μ<sub>K</sub> on a convex body K in ℝ<sup>n</sup> (defined by μ<sub>K</sub>(A) = vol<sub>n</sub>(K ∩ A)/vol<sub>n</sub>(K)) should be, up to an absolute constant, at least as large as the minimal isoperimetric ratio over all half-spaces.

#### Conjecture

One has

$$\chi(K) \ge c \cdot \inf_{H} \frac{\mu_{K}^{+}(H)}{\min\{\mu_{K}(H), \mu_{K}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus H)\}}$$

for some absolute constant c > 0, where the infimum is over all half-spaces H in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Their interest in this parameter was related to the study of randomized volume algorithms.
- Since the isoperimetric ratio of a half-space is basically a one-dimensional quantity, one can obtain an explicit formula for this infimum. Then, one arrives at the following conjecture:

Apostolos Giannopoulos (Seminar, NKUA)

# **KLS-conjecture**

### Conjecture 2

$$\chi(K) \approx 1/\sqrt{\lambda(K)}$$

where  $\lambda(K)$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix of inertia  $M_{ij} := \int_K x_i x_j dx$  of K.

• They actually proved that one always has  $\chi(K) \leq 10/\sqrt{\lambda(K)}$ , therefore the question is about the lower bound.

#### Theorem (Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits)

For every convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one has

$$\chi(K) \geqslant \frac{\ln 2}{l_1(K)}.$$

• Here,

$$I_1(K) := \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_n(K)} \int_K |x - \operatorname{bar}(K)| \, dx.$$

- If K is isotropic this gives  $\chi(K) \ge c/(\sqrt{n}L_K)$ .
- In fact, one may find literature on the subject before their work, and there were known lower bounds for  $\chi(K)$  of order 1/diam(K).

• Another approach to the KLS-conjecture is due to Bobkov.

Theorem (Bobkov)

Let  $\mu$  be a log-concave probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we have

$$\chi_{\mu} \geqslant \frac{c}{\|f\|_{L_2(\mu)}},$$

where  $f(x) = |x - bar(\mu)|$  and c > 0 is an absolute constant.

• If  $\mu$  is isotropic this gives  $\chi_{\mu} \ge c/\sqrt{n}$ .

#### Conjecture 2

$$\chi(K) \approx 1/\sqrt{\lambda(K)}$$

where  $\lambda(K)$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix of inertia  $M_{ij} := \int_K x_i x_j dx$  of K.

• For an isotropic convex body K this becomes  $\chi(K) \approx 1/L_{K}$ .

#### **KLS-Conjecture**

For every isotropic log-concave probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  one has  $\chi_{\mu} \ge c$ , where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

#### Theorem (Eldan-Klartag)

$$L_n \leqslant C\psi_n = C/\chi_n.$$

• In other words, the KLS-conjecture is stronger than Conjecture 1 about the isotropic constant.

• The currently best known results are due to Lee and Vempala and are consequences of the following theorem:

Theorem (Lee-Vempala)

If  $\mu$  is a log-concave probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with covariance matrix A then

$$\psi_{\mu} \leqslant c \left( \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{A}^2) \right)^{1/4}$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant.

 $\bullet\,$  If we make the additional assumption that  $\mu$  is isotropic then we obtain the upper bound

$$\psi_{\mu} \leqslant c \sqrt[4]{n}.$$

• The approach of Lee and Vempala is based on Eldan's stochastic localization.

#### An Almost Constant Lower Bound of the Isoperimetric Coefficient in the KLS Conjecture

Yuansi Chen

Seminar for Statistics ETH, Zürich

November 30, 2020

#### Abstract

We prove an almost constant lower bound of the isoperimetric coefficient in the KLS conjecture. The lower bound has dimension dependency  $d^{-o_d(1)}$ . When the dimension is large enough, our lower bound is tighter than the previous best bound which has dimension dependency  $d^{-1/4}$ . Improving the isoperimetric coefficient in the KLS conjecture has many implications, including improvements of the bounds in the thin-hell conjecture and in the slicing conjecture, better concentration inequalities for Lipschitz functions of log-concave measures.

# Yuansi Chen



#### Quick Bio:

I am a postdoc fellow at <u>ETH Foundations of Data Science (ETH-FDS)</u> in ETH Zürich under the supervision of <u>Prof. Peter Bühlmann</u>. Previously, I obtained my PhD in the <u>Department of Statistics</u> at UC Berkeley in 2019. My Phd study was advised by <u>Prof. Bin Yu</u>. During my PhD, I am fortunate to also work with <u>Prof. Martin Wainwright</u> and <u>Prof. Jack Gallant</u>.

My main research interests lie on statistical machine learning, optimization and the applications in neuroscience. In particular, I am interested in domain adaptation, stability, MCMC sampling algorithms, convolutional neural networks and statistical problems that arise from computational neuroscience. Before my PhD study, I obtained my Diplome d'Ingénieur (Eng. Deg. in Applied Mathematics) at Ecole Polytechnique in France.

Apostolos Giannopoulos (Seminar, NKUA)

Isoperimetric constants