

Κατασκευές σταυρωτών γινομένων αλγεβρών τελεστών II

Αριστείδης Κατάβολος

2 Δεκεμβρίου 2019

Ημι-σταυρωτά γινόμενα (semicrossed products)

Έστω δυναμικό σύστημα (X, ϕ) όπου X συμπαγής Hausdorff και $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ συνεχής συνάρτηση. Θέτω $\mathcal{C} := C(X)$ και για κάθε $x \in X$ αναπαριστώ στον $H_x := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$:

$$\pi_x(f) = \text{diag}(f(\phi^n(x))) = \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & f(x_1) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & f(x_2) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(x_3) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

(όπου $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $x_n = \phi^n(x)$). Επίσης γράφω:

$$S_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Αθροίζω:

Ημι-σταυρωτά γινόμενα (semicrossed products)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ορίζω } H &:= \oplus_{x \in X} H_x \\ \pi(f) &:= \oplus_{x \in X} \pi_x(f) \\ S &:= \oplus_{x \in X} S_x\end{aligned}$$

Το ημι-σταυρωτό γινόμενο $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_+$ είναι η κλειστή υπάλγεβρα (όχι $*$ -υπάλγεβρα) της $\mathcal{B}(H)$ που παράγεται από τα $\{\pi(f) : f \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{S\}$.

Ελέγχεται ότι ικανοποιείται η ‘covariance relation’

$$\pi(f)S = S\pi(f \circ \phi)$$

(οπότε $S\pi(f)S\pi(g) = S^2\pi(f \circ \phi)\pi(g) = S^2\pi((f \circ \phi)g)$ κ.λπ.).

Έπεται ότι το $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_+$ είναι η κλειστή θήκη όλων των

‘πολυωνύμων’ $\sum_{n=0}^N S^n \pi(f_n)$ με συντελεστές $\pi(f_n)$ από την \mathcal{C} .

Παράδειγμα $X = \{x, y\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f) &= \pi_x(f) \oplus \pi_y(f) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(\phi(x)) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f(y) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(\phi(y)) \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S &= S_x \oplus S_y \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Αλλιώς:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi'(f) &= D(f) \oplus D(f \circ \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & 0 \\ 0 & f(y) \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} f(\phi(x)) & 0 \\ 0 & f(\phi(y)) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(y) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f(\phi(x)) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(\phi(y)) \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

C*-dynamical systems

Definition

A **C*-dynamical system (C*DS)** is a pair consisting of a C*-algebra \mathcal{C} (here unital, for simplicity) equipped with a *-endomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. When α is bijective (i.e. an automorphism) we call (\mathcal{C}, α) *reversible*.

Examples

- $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$, action α : trivial.
- $\mathcal{C} = \ell^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ as diagonal operators, action α : shift (?).
- $\mathcal{C} = C(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ as multiplication operators, action α : (irrational) rotation. (• *But can consider non-commutative \mathcal{C} .*)

Definition

A **covariant pair** for the C*DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is a pair (π, U) consisting of a *-representation $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unitary U on the same space H satisfying the covariance condition

$$\pi(x)U = U\pi(\alpha(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (\text{lcov})$$

Existence of covariant representations

May assume $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_0)$. *There always exists a covariant representation (π, U) which is faithful on \mathcal{A}_0 .*

Idea: 'Enlarge' the space (if necessary) to accomodate a U so that $\pi(\alpha(c)) = U^* \pi(c) U \ \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$ holds.

Consider

$$H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_0 := \{(\xi(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \xi(n) \in H_0 \ \forall n, \sum_n \|\xi(n)\|_{H_0}^2 < \infty\}$$

$$\langle (\xi(n)), (\eta(n)) \rangle := \sum_n \langle \xi(n), \eta(n) \rangle_{H_0}$$

and $U_0 : H \rightarrow H$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 : (\dots, \xi(-2), \xi(-1), \boxed{\xi(0)}, \xi(1), \xi(2), \dots) \\ \rightarrow (\dots, \xi(-3), \xi(-2), \boxed{\xi(-1)}, \xi(0), \xi(1), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Existence of covariant representations

Represent as matrices with entries in $\mathcal{B}(H_0)$,

$$\pi_0(c) = \text{diag}(\alpha^n(c)) = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & \alpha^{-1}(c) & & & \\ & & \boxed{c} & & \\ & & & \alpha(c) & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

$$U_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 0 & & \\ & & \mathbf{1}_{H_0} & \boxed{0} & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_{H_0} & 0 \\ & & & & \mathbf{1}_{H_0} & \ddots \\ & & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The reduced C^* -crossed product

We proved that the seminorm

$$\left\| \sum_k u^k c_k \right\|_r := \left\| (U_0 \times \pi_0) \left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \left\| \sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$$

is in fact a norm on \mathcal{A}_0 , and is clearly an algebra seminorm satisfying the C^* -condition. Therefore the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in this norm is a C^* -algebra.

Definition

The C^* -reduced crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z}$ associated to the C^* DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. Equivalently, it is the concrete C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H_0 \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ generated by $\pi_0(\mathcal{C})$ and U_0 ; it is the closure of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Examples

- $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}$, action α : trivial:
 \mathcal{A}_0 : trigonometric polynomials, $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z} = C(\mathbb{T})$.
- $\mathcal{C} = \ell^\infty \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ as diagonal operators, action α : shift.
 $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell^2)$ is called the uniform Roe algebra.
- $\mathcal{C} = C(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$ as multiplication operators, action α : irrational rotation. $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z} = C^*(U, V)$ (“universal”) where U, V unitaries satisfying $VU = \lambda UV$ (Weyl).

The reduced C^* -crossed product

Definition

The C^* -reduced crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z}$ associated to the C^* DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. Equivalently, it is the concrete C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ generated by $\pi_0(\mathcal{C})$ and U_0 ; it is the closure of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

Fact The reduced crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z}$ does not depend on the way \mathcal{C} is represented: if the identity representation $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ is replaced by *any faithful* representation $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1)$ then the resulting norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,r}$ coincides with $\|\cdot\|_r$ on \mathcal{A}_0 .

The full C^* -crossed product

... but recall that we wanted the full crossed product to ‘encode’ all covariant pairs (U, π) , so we defined, for $p \in \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$\|p\|_* = \sup\{\|(U \times \pi)(p)\| : \text{all covariant pairs } (\pi, U)\}.$$

(Recall $(U \times \pi)(\sum_k u^k c_k) = \sum_k U^k \pi(c_k)$)

Since clearly $\|\sum_k u^k c_k\|_r \leq \|\sum_k u^k c_k\|_*$, we have also shown that the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is also a norm on \mathcal{A}_0 .

Definition

The full crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ of the C^* DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is the completion of the covariance algebra \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Corollary (Universal property)

There is a bijective correspondence between covariant pairs (π, U) and representations of the C^ -algebra $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$.*

The full C^* -crossed product

Since $\|\sum_k u^k c_k\|_r \leq \|\sum_k u^k c_k\|_*$, the identity map

$$(\mathcal{A}_0, \|\cdot\|_*) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_0, \|\cdot\|_r)$$

is contractive, hence extends to a contraction (and also a $*$ -morphism)

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \mathbb{Z}$$

which is onto (why?).

But is this map 1-1 *on the full crossed product*?

In general, not necessarily (for example, when we have an action of \mathbb{F}_2 instead of \mathbb{Z}).

But in the case of \mathbb{Z} , the answer is YES!

Injectivity of λ

If $a \in \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda(a) = 0$, need to show $a = 0$.

In case $a = \sum_k u^k c_k$ is in \mathcal{A}_0 , we have

$$0 = \lambda(a) = \sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} c_k = 0 \forall k \Rightarrow a = 0$$

(inj): Το έχουμε δείξει.

But how to find the 'Fourier coefficients' c_k for general

$a \in \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$?

And secondly, if all Fourier coefficients of some $a \in \mathcal{A}$ are 0, does it follow that $a = 0$?

Fourier coefficients

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$E_k : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} : \sum_n u^n c_n \rightarrow c_k.$$

Clearly linear. Also $\|\cdot\|_*$ -contractive: for $\xi, \eta \in H_0$ of norm one,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_m \xi, \eta \rangle_{H_0} &= \left\langle \sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k)(e_0 \otimes \xi), (e_m \otimes \eta) \right\rangle_H \\ \Rightarrow \left| \langle c_m \xi, \eta \rangle_{H_0} \right| &\leq \left\| \sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \left\| \sum_n u^n c_n \right\|_r \leq \left\| \sum_n u^n c_n \right\|_* \\ \Rightarrow \|E_m(a)\|_{\mathcal{C}} &= \|c_m\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \|a\|_r \leq \|a\|_* \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}_0 \end{aligned}$$

Fourier coefficients

Thus E_k extends to a linear contraction on the $\|\cdot\|_*$ -completion:

$$E_k : \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

But if $\lambda(a) = 0$, i.e. $\|\lambda(a)\|_r = 0$ then $\|E_k(a)\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \|\lambda(a)\|_r = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So all we have to prove is the

Claim If $E_k(a) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $a = 0$.

: injectivity of the ‘Fourier transform’!

Locating $E_k(a)$

Define *dual or gauge* action (of \mathbb{T}) first on \mathcal{A}_0 : for $e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}$, let

$$\theta_t \left(\sum_n u^n c_n \right) = \sum_n (e^{it} u)^n c_n$$

Claim. Each θ_t extends to an isometric $*$ -automorphism of $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof For each $*$ -rep $\rho = U \times \pi$ of \mathcal{A}_0 , $\rho \circ \theta_t$ is another. Hence $\|\rho(\theta_t(a))\| \leq \|a\|_*$ when $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Taking sup, $\|\theta_t(a)\|_* \leq \|a\|_*$.

Thus θ defines an action of the group \mathbb{T} on $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$.

The group $\{\theta_t : e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}\}$ is called the **dual automorphism group**.

Now we calculate, first when $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and then for general $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \theta_t(a) e^{-imt} dt = u^m E_m(a).$$

Finally...

Proposition

Each $a \in \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to the $\|\cdot\|_$ -closed linear span of*

$$\{u^k E_k(a) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof ... Hahn-Banach and injectivity of the usual Fourier transform on $C(\mathbb{T})$!

So, if all $E_k(a)$ vanish, then a must vanish.

We have shown that if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|a\|_r = 0$, then $a = 0$.

Therefore the map

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z}$$

is injective, hence an isometric isomorphism!



¡Muchas gracias, hasta la proxima!