

Κατασκευές σταυρωτών γινομένων
(**crossed products**)
αλγεβρών τελεστών

Αριστείδης Κατάβολος

Αναπαριστώ ¹ τον ℓ^∞ ως διαγώνιους τελεστές $H := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$:

$$D(c) := \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad c \in \ell^\infty,$$

και θεωρώ τον

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$D(c) + SD(a) + S^2D(b) := \begin{bmatrix} c_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ a_0 & c_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ b_0 & a_1 & c_2 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & b_1 & a_2 & c_3 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Ποιά είναι η [κλειστή] γραμμική θήκη της άλγεβρας των «πολυωνύμων» $\sum_{k=0}^N S^k D(c_k)$;

Ημι-σταυρωτά γινόμενα (semicrossed products)

Έστω δυναμικό σύστημα (X, ϕ) όπου X συμπαγής Hausdorff και $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ συνεχής συνάρτηση. Θέτω $\mathcal{C} := C(X)$ και για κάθε $x \in X$ αναπαριστώ στον $H_x := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$:

$$\pi_x(f) = \text{diag}(f(\phi^n(x))) = \begin{bmatrix} f(x) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & f(x_1) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & f(x_2) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f(x_3) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

(όπου $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $x_n = \phi^n(x)$). Επίσης γράφω:

$$S_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Αθροίζω:

Ημι-σταυρωτά γινόμενα (semicrossed products)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ορίζω } H &:= \bigoplus_{x \in X} H_x \\ \pi(f) &:= \bigoplus_{x \in X} \pi_x(f) \\ \mathcal{S} &:= \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{S}_x\end{aligned}$$

Το ημι-σταυρωτό γινόμενο $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_+$ είναι η κλειστή υπάλγεβρα (όχι *-υπέλγεβρα) της $\mathcal{B}(H)$ που παράγεται από τα $\{\pi(f) : f \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{\mathcal{S}\}$.

Ελέγχεται ότι ικανοποιείται η ‘covariance relation’

$$\pi(f)\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}\pi(f \circ \phi)$$

(οπότε $\mathcal{S}\pi(f)\mathcal{S}\pi(g) = \mathcal{S}^2\pi(f \circ \phi)\pi(g) = \mathcal{S}^2\pi((f \circ \phi)g)$ κ.λπ.).

Έπεται ότι το $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_+$ είναι η κλειστή θήκη όλων των

‘πολυωνύμων’ $\sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{S}^n \pi(f_n)$ με συντελεστές $\pi(f_n)$ από την \mathcal{C} .

Σταυρωτά γινόμενα (crossed products)

Αν ϕ ομοιομορφισμός μπορώ να βάλω κάθε $H_x := \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, και $\pi_x(f) = \text{diag}(f(\phi^n(x)))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ και στη θέση του S_x το bilateral shift

$$\pi_x(f) = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & f(x_{-1}) & & & & \\ & & & \boxed{f(x)} & & & \\ & & & & f(x_1) & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} S_x = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \\ & & 1 & \boxed{0} & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & & 1 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Το σταυρωτό γινόμενο $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ είναι η κλειστή $*$ -υπόαλγεβρα της $\mathcal{B}(H)$ που παράγεται από τα $\{\pi(f) : f \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{S\}$. Είναι η κλειστή θήκη όλων των 'τριγωνομετρικών πολυωνύμων' $\sum_{n=-N}^N S^n \pi(f_n)$ με συντελεστές $\pi(f_n)$ από την \mathcal{C} .

Απ' την αρχή: Dynamical Systems

A **commutative dynamical system (CDS)** is a pair (X, ϕ) where X is a set (ex: $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$) and $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ is a self-map.

So we have an action

$$\mathbb{Z}_+ \curvearrowright X : 0 \rightarrow id, n \rightarrow \phi^n := \phi \circ \phi \cdots \circ \phi$$

or $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X$ ($-n \rightarrow \phi^{-1} \circ \phi^{-1} \cdots \circ \phi^{-1}$) when ϕ is bijective (i.e. the system is *reversible*).

When X is a compact or locally compact space and ϕ is continuous then (X, ϕ) is called a **topological dynamical system (TDS)** (reversible TDS when ϕ is a homeomorphism).

When X is a measure space (or a probability space) and ϕ (and its inverse, if it exists) is *measurable and measure-preserving*² then (X, ϕ) is called a **measurable dynamical system (MDS)**.

²more generally, measure-class preserving

Dynamical Systems

When we have more than one map on X , say $\{\phi_a, \phi_b\}$, we speak of a *multivariable dynamical system*.

Here we have an action

$$\mathbb{F}_+^2 \curvearrowright X$$
$$aba^2b \rightarrow \phi_b \circ \phi_a \circ \phi_a \circ \phi_b \circ \phi_a$$

[Davidson-Katsoulis, Kakariadis-Katsoulis, ...]

(or an action $\mathbb{Z}_+^2 \curvearrowright X$ if the maps commute ($\phi_a \circ \phi_b = \phi_b \circ \phi_a$)).

More generally we could study a DS (X, G) where G is a *group* of (bijective) maps $g : X \rightarrow X$ (i.e. the group law is given by composition: $g_1 \circ g_2$).

Classical or commutative systems

From now on, let X be compact T_2 (for simplicity) (or even a metric space) and $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ continuous.

The action $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ can be transferred to an action

$$\alpha : C(X) \rightarrow C(X) : f \rightarrow f \circ \phi.$$

Advantage: $C(X)$ is a *linear algebra* and α preserves its structure. ³

Exercise

α is 1-1 iff ϕ is onto; α is onto iff ϕ is 1-1.

The action is transferred from the **state space** X to the **observables** (functions on X):

$$(X, \phi) \rightsquigarrow (C(X), \alpha).$$

³In the MDS case, transfer the action $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ to an action $\beta : L^\infty(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(X) : f \rightarrow f \circ \phi$.

Quantum or non-commutative systems

$$(X, \phi) \rightsquigarrow (C(X), \alpha).$$

Thus the action α is transferred from the *state space* to the *observables*.

In Quantum Mechanics *states* correspond to unit vectors [or rays...] in a Hilbert space H ; the *observables* define self-adjoint operators on H and the *dynamics* (for a reversible system) define an action $U_t : H \rightarrow H (t \in \mathbb{R})$ -time development- on the state space, where the U_t preserve the linear structure and the length: they are unitary operators.

Again we may transfer the action from the state space to the (possibly non-commutative) C*-algebra $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ generated by the observables:

$$U_t : H \rightarrow H \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \alpha_t : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} : T \rightarrow U_t^{-1} T U_t$$

Schrödinger picture Heisenberg picture

C*-dynamical systems

Definition

A **C*-dynamical system (C*DS)** is a pair consisting of a C*-algebra \mathcal{C} (here unital, for simplicity) equipped with a *-endomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. When α is bijective (i.e. an automorphism) we call (\mathcal{C}, α) *reversible*.

Example

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a C*-subalgebra and $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ an isometry such that $U^*\mathcal{C}U \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Then $\alpha(x) = U^*xU$ is a *-endomorphism; if U is unitary ($U^{-1} = U^*$) then $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that α is *spatial, implemented* by U .

Question

More generally, given a (C*DS) (\mathcal{C}, α) , can we find a *-representation $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ so that:

$$\pi(\alpha(c)) = U^*\pi(c)U \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{C} \quad (\text{cov})$$

An example

Let $\mathcal{C} = C(X)$ and let ϕ be a homeomorphism. Suppose X supports a prob. measure μ which is ϕ -invariant, i.e. $\mu(\phi^{-1}(E)) = \mu(E)$ for every Borel $E \subseteq X$. Let $H = L^2(X, \mu)$ and represent \mathcal{C} by defining $\pi(f), f \in C(X)$ as follows: ⁴

$$\pi(f)\xi = f\xi \quad \xi \in H.$$

The operator U defined on H by

$$U(\xi) = \xi \circ \phi^{-1}$$

is unitary (Exercise!), and

$$\pi(\alpha(f))U^*\xi = U^*\pi(f)\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi \in H.$$

Proof:

$$\pi(\alpha(f))U^* : \xi \xrightarrow{U^*} \xi \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\pi(\alpha(f))} \alpha(f)(\xi \circ \phi) = (f \circ \phi)(\xi \circ \phi)$$

$$U^*\pi(f) : \xi \xrightarrow{\pi(f)} f\xi \xrightarrow{U^*} (f\xi) \circ \phi = (f \circ \phi)(\xi \circ \phi)$$

⁴Suppose $\mu(U) > 0$ for every open $U \subseteq X$ to make π injective.

Ένα συγκεκριμένο παράδειγμα

Έστω $X = \mathbb{T} = \{e^{it} : t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ και $\phi(e^{it}) = e^{i(t+\theta)}$ όπου $\theta/2\pi$ άρρητος. Θέτουμε $H = L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mu)$ (μέτρο Lebesgue).

Η αναπαράσταση π παράγεται απ' την εικόνα του $\pi(\zeta)$ (όπου $\zeta(e^{it}) = e^{it}$). Αν $\zeta \in C(X)$ είναι η συνάρτηση $\zeta(e^{it}) = e^{it}$, γράφω $\pi(\zeta) = V$, δηλαδή:

$$(V\xi)(z) = z\xi(z) \quad \xi \in H_2, z = e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Ο V είναι unitary. Επίσης ο unitary τελεστής U ορίζεται από

$$(U\xi)(z) = \xi(\bar{\lambda}z) \quad (\text{όπου } \lambda = e^{i\theta})$$

Η covariance condition γράφεται ισοδύναμα

$$VU = \lambda UV$$

(~ η σχέση Weyl της Κβαντομηχανικής).

The full or universal C^* -crossed product

Idea: Given (\mathcal{C}, α) , to form a 'larger' C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ containing \mathcal{C} as well as a unitary element u in such a way that the covariance condition $\alpha(c) = u^*cu$ holds in \mathcal{A} .

We will define a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A}_0 , define a suitable C^* -norm on it and complete to get \mathcal{A} .

(a) **The covariance algebra.** First form the linear space \mathcal{A}_0 of all 'Laurent polynomials' p in one variable u with coefficients in \mathcal{C} :

$$p(u) = \sum_{k=-n}^n u^k c_k, \quad c_k \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Make \mathcal{A}_0 into a $*$ -algebra:

$$(pq)(u) = \left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) \left(\sum_m u^m d_m \right) = \sum_{k,m} u^k c_k u^m d_m = ?$$

(a) The covariance algebra \mathcal{A}_0

Want $\alpha(c) = u^*cu$ or $cu = u\alpha(c)$, hence $cu^m = u^m\alpha^m(c)$. So define multiplication by

$$\left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) \left(\sum_m u^m d_m \right) = \sum_{k,m} u^{k+m} \alpha^m(c_k) d_m = \sum_n u^n \sum_m \alpha^m(c_{n-m}) d_m$$

Similarly, want $cu^{-k} = u^{-k}\alpha^{-k}(c)$ so define

$$\left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right)^* = \sum_k (u^k c_k)^* = \sum_k c_k^* u^{-k} = \sum_k u^{-k} \alpha^{-k}(c_k^*) = \sum_n u^n \alpha^n(c_{-n}^*)$$

(b) Covariant representations

Want to represent \mathcal{A}_0 by bounded operators on Hilbert space.
Observe that any $*$ -representation

$$\rho : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$$

defines, by restriction, a representation $\rho_C : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unitary $V \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that

$$\rho \left(\sum_k u^k x_k \right) = \sum_k V^k \rho_C(x_k).$$

Note that the covariance condition

$$\rho_C(x)V = V\rho_C(\alpha(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C}$$

holds.

(b) Covariant representations and repr. of \mathcal{A}_0

Conversely, suppose given $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ (same $H!$) satisfying the covariance condition

$$\pi(x)U = U\pi(\alpha(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (\text{lcov})$$

This is the *left covariance condition*. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} U \times \pi : \mathcal{A}_0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) \\ (U \times \pi) \left(\sum_k U^k c_k \right) &= \sum_k U^k \pi(c_k) \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily verified that this (clearly linear) map is in fact a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A}_0 on H .

Covariant pairs

Definition

A **covariant pair** for the C*DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is a pair (π, U) consisting of a *-representation $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unitary U on the same space H satisfying the covariance condition

$$\pi(x)U = U\pi(\alpha(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (\text{lcov})$$

We have shown the

Proposition

*There is a bijective correspondence between covariant representations (π, U) of (\mathcal{C}, α) and *-representations $U \times \pi$ of the covariance algebra \mathcal{A}_0 .*

(c) Completing the covariance algebra

To obtain a C^* -algebra, we need to complete \mathcal{A}_0 with respect to an algebra norm satisfying the C^* -condition. To 'encode' all covariant pairs, define, for $p = \sum_k u^k c_k \in \mathcal{A}_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|p\|_* &= \sup\{\|\rho(p)\| : \text{all } *\text{-reps. } (\rho, H) \text{ of } \mathcal{A}_0\} \\ &= \sup\{\|(U \times \pi)(p)\| : \text{all covariant pairs } (\pi, U)\}.\end{aligned}$$

This is finite, since every representation is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -contractive:

$$\left\| (U \times \pi) \left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) \right\| \leq \sum_k \|U^k \pi(c_k)\| \leq \sum_k \|c_k\|$$

It is also easy to verify that it is an algebra *seminorm* and that it satisfies the C^* -condition.

But are there any covariant representations?

Existence of covariant representations

We know (Gelfand-Naimark) that every C^* -algebra \mathcal{C} admits a faithful (i.e. 1-1) representation on some Hilbert space, so may assume $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_0)$. But is there always a covariant representation (π, U) which is faithful on \mathcal{A}_0 ?

Idea: 'Enlarge' the space (if necessary) to accommodate a U so that $\pi(\alpha(c)) = U^* \pi(c) U \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$ holds.

Consider

$$H = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_0 := \{(\xi(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \xi(n) \in H_0 \forall n, \sum_n \|\xi(n)\|_{H_0}^2 < \infty\}$$
$$\langle (\xi(n)), (\eta(n)) \rangle := \sum_n \langle \xi(n), \eta(n) \rangle_{H_0}$$

and $U_0 : H \rightarrow H$:

$$U_0 : (\dots, \xi(-2), \xi(-1), \boxed{\xi(0)}, \xi(1), \xi(2), \dots) \\ \rightarrow (\dots, \xi(-3), \xi(-2), \boxed{\xi(-1)}, \xi(0), \xi(1), \dots)$$

Existence of covariant representations

Notation: for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi \in H_0$ denote by $e_n \otimes \xi \in H$ the function

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_0 : m \rightarrow (e_n \otimes \xi)(m) = \begin{cases} \xi, & m = n \\ 0, & m \neq n \end{cases}$$

(note $H = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_n \otimes \xi : n \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in H_0\}$). The map U_0 is given by

$$U_0(e_n \otimes \xi) = e_{n+1} \otimes \xi.$$

Also define the representation $\pi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ by

$$\pi_0(c)(e_n \otimes \xi) = e_n \otimes \alpha^n(c)\xi$$

where $c \in \mathcal{C}, \xi \in H_0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Existence of covariant representations

We have

$$\pi_0(c)U_0 : \mathbf{e}_n \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{U_0} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{\pi_0(c)} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \otimes \alpha^{n+1}(c)\xi$$

$$U_0\pi_0(\alpha(c)) : \mathbf{e}_n \otimes \xi \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\alpha(c))} \mathbf{e}_n \otimes \alpha^n(\alpha(c))\xi \xrightarrow{U_0} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \otimes \alpha^n(\alpha(c))\xi$$

hence

$$\pi_0(c)U_0 = U_0\pi_0(\alpha(c)), \quad \text{equivalently} \quad \pi_0(\alpha(c)) = U_0^*\pi_0(c)U_0.$$

Existence of covariant representations

Proposition

The representation $U_0 \times \pi_0$ just constructed is injective on the covariance algebra \mathcal{A}_0 .

Indeed, suppose $(U_0 \times \pi_0) \left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) = 0$, i.e. $\sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) = 0$. Then for all $\xi, \eta \in H_0$ and all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$0 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) (\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \xi) = \sum_k U_0^k (\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \alpha^0(c_k) \xi) = \sum_k \mathbf{e}_k \otimes \alpha^0(c_k) \xi$$

and so $0 = \left\langle \sum_k \mathbf{e}_k \otimes c_k \xi, \mathbf{e}_m \otimes \eta \right\rangle = \langle c_m \xi, \eta \rangle_{H_0}$

which shows that $c_m = 0$ and so, since m is arbitrary, that $\sum_k u^k c_k = 0$ in \mathcal{A}_0 . \square

Conclusion: **Injective covariant representations exist!**

The reduced C^* -crossed product

Therefore the seminorm

$$\left\| \sum_k u^k c_k \right\|_r := \left\| (U_0 \times \pi_0) \left(\sum_k u^k c_k \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \left\| \sum_k U_0^k \pi_0(c_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$$

is in fact a norm on \mathcal{A}_0 , and is clearly an algebra seminorm satisfying the C^* -condition. Therefore the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in this norm is a C^* -algebra.

Definition

The C^* -reduced crossed product $\mathcal{C} \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \mathbb{Z}$ associated to the C^* DS (\mathcal{C}, α) is the completion of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. Equivalently, it is the concrete C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ generated by $\pi_0(\mathcal{C})$ and U_0 ; it is the closure of \mathcal{A}_0 in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.