

Fwd: Notes Hartz Lupini

Ηλίας Κατσουλής <makatsov@gmail.com>

Fri 5/1/2020 9:48 AM

To: Katsoulis, Elias G <KATSOUΛISE@ecu.edu>

This email originated from outside ECU.

----- Forwarded message -----

Από: Ηλίας Κατσουλής <makatsov@gmail.com>

Date: Πέμ, 30 Απρ 2020 στις 1:19 μ.μ.

Subject: Notes Hartz Lupini

To: <akatavol@math.uoa.gr>

Cc: <katsoulise@ecu.edu>

Friday, April 24, 2020
9:09 AM

Diktion theory in finite dimensions
and
matrix convexity

M. Hartz and M. Lupini

What is a matrix convex set?

Let V be a complex vector space and

$\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where $X_n \in \mathcal{M}_n(V)$, $\forall n=1,2,\dots$

A **matrix convex combination** x from \bar{X} is any expression of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^* x_i \gamma_i$$

where $x_i \in M_{n_i}(V)$, $\gamma_i \in M_{n_i, n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\neq i$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^* \gamma_i = I_n. \quad (\text{discuss convex hull})$$

Examples of matrix convex combinations

- (i) Any classical convex combination of elements of X_n is also a matrix convex combination
- (ii) If $x \in X_n$ and $v \in M_{n, m}(\mathbb{C})$ any isometry then $v^* x v$ is a convex combination from \bar{X}

(iii) If $x_n \in M_n(V)$ and $x_m \in M_m(V)$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ 0 & x_m \end{pmatrix}$$

is a convex combination from \bar{X} .

Indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ 0 & x_m \end{pmatrix} = (I_n, 0_{n, m})^* x_n (I_n, 0_{n, m}) + (0_{m, n}, I_m)^* x_m (0_{m, n}, I_m)$$

with

$$(I_n, 0)^* (I_n, 0) + (0, I_m)^* (0, I_m) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

as required.

(iv) Any matricial convex combination of convex combinations from \bar{X} is also a matricial convex combination from \bar{X} . (Exercise)

A (matrix) convex combination is said to be **proper** if each γ_i , $i=1,2,\dots,n$, is onto

A convex combination is **trivial** if $\gamma_i = \gamma$, $\forall i=1,2,\dots,n$, and each x_i is unitarily equivalent(?) to x

An element $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is said to be **matrix extreme** for \mathbb{X} if any time x is expressed as a proper convex combination from \mathbb{X} , that combination has to be trivial.

We will be concerned with matrix convex sets \mathbb{X} , i.e., sets that equal their matrix convex hull.

If $\mathbb{X} = \{X_u\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$ with $X_u \subseteq M_n(V)$ and V admits

a topology (always locally convex), then X_u is topologized using the product topology. We say that \mathbb{X} is **compact** if every X_u is compact.

THEOREM 1 (matrix Minkowski) Let \mathbb{X} be a compact matrix ^{convex} set over a finite dimensional vector space V . Then \mathbb{X} is the matrix convex hull of its matrix extreme points

There is also a matricial Krein-Millman !!!

Why should I care about matrix convex sets ???

The primary example of a matrix convex set is the **matrix state space** of an operator system, i.e., the collection of all unital, completely positive maps

$$\varphi: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such maps can be thought as a subset of $M_n(\mathcal{S}^*)$, and so by considering $V = \mathcal{S}^*$, we obtain that the collection of all

matrix states (i.e. all ucp finite dimensional representations of \mathcal{S}) is a matrix convex set which is compact if we equip \mathcal{S}^* with the w^* -topology.

A (not necessarily unital) cp map $\varphi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be **pure** if given any cp map $\psi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\psi \leq \varphi$, i.e. $\varphi - \psi$ completely positive, we have $\psi = \lambda \varphi$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. (Arveson '69)

THEOREM 2 (Arveson) Let \mathcal{Q} be a unital C^* -algebra and let $\varphi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a c.p. map with ^{minimal} Stinespring dilation (π, V, χ) . Then φ is pure if and only if $\pi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ is irreducible.

Proof (Sketch)

If $\pi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ is not irreducible, then consider a non-trivial projection $P \in \pi(\mathcal{Q})'$ and let

$$\psi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \mathcal{Q} \ni a \longrightarrow V \pi(a) P V$$

Then $\psi \leq \varphi$ but $\psi \neq \lambda \varphi$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

Conversely assume that $\pi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ is irreducible and let

$\hat{\varphi}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ c.p. with Stinespring repn $(\hat{\pi}, \hat{V}, \hat{\kappa})$ so that $\hat{\varphi} \leq \varphi$.

Consider the operator

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \pi(a_i) V \zeta_i \xrightarrow{T} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\pi}(a_i) \hat{V} \zeta_i \quad (*)$$

$(a_i \zeta_i^*)_{ij} \geq 0$

(This operator is a well-defined contraction because of $\hat{\varphi} \leq \varphi$ as c.p. maps.)

We now have

- (i) $TV = \hat{V}$ (Set $n=1$, $a_1 = I$ in $(*)$)
 (ii) $T\pi(a) = \hat{\pi}(a)T$, $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q}$. (Use (i) in $(*)$)

Therefore $T^*T\pi(a) = \pi(a)T^*T$, $\forall a \in \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{irreducible } \pi} T^*T = \lambda I$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, \square

Hence

$$\hat{\varphi}(a) = \hat{V}^* \hat{\pi}(a) \hat{V} = V^* T^* \hat{\pi}(a) T V$$

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{=} V^* T^* T \pi(a) V = \lambda V^* \pi(a) V = \lambda \varphi(a). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3 (Arveson '69) Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system inside a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q} . Then any pure matrix state of \mathcal{S} extends to a pure matrix state of \mathcal{Q} .

Let \mathcal{S} be an operator system inside a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q} and $\varphi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, ucp map.

We would like to know under what assumptions φ dilates to a finite dimensional representation of \mathcal{Q} , i.e. there exists representation

$$\pi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}), \quad \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}, \quad \dim \mathcal{K} < \infty$$

so that $\varphi(s) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \pi(s) |_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Note that Arveson's Extension Theorem and Stinespring's dilation Theorem guarantee that such a π exists without the extra condition $\dim \mathcal{K} < \infty$.

A C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q} is called **FDI** (Courtney and Shulman, 2017) if all irreducible representations of \mathcal{Q} are finite dimensional

Abelian C^* -algebras are FDI

PROPOSITION 4 Let \mathcal{Q} be an FDI C^* -algebra, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ an operator system and $\varphi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, a ucp map. Then TFAE

- (i) φ dilates to a finite dimensional repn of \mathcal{Q}
- (ii) φ is a matrix convex combination of restrictions of pure matrix states of \mathcal{Q}

Proof

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that φ dilates to $\pi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$, $\dim \mathcal{K} < \infty$ so that $\varphi = V^*(\pi|_{\mathcal{S}})V$. So it is enough to show that $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the desired convex combination.

Since $\dim \mathcal{K} < \infty$, $\pi \cong \bigoplus \pi_i$, where all

π_i are irreducible reps of \mathcal{Q} , have pure matrix states of \mathcal{Q} . Hence

$$\pi|_S \cong \bigoplus (\pi_i|_S) = \text{matrix convex combination of restrictions of pure matrix states of } \mathcal{Q}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Assume that

$$\varphi = \sum_j \gamma_j^* \varphi_j \gamma_j, \quad \sum_j \gamma_j^* \gamma_j = I \quad (*)$$

and each φ_j is a restriction of a pure matrix state

Hence, $\varphi_j(\alpha) = V_j^* \sigma_j(\alpha) V_j$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}$, and each $\sigma_j: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow B(K_j)$ is irreducible. Since \mathcal{Q}

is FDI, $\dim K_j < \omega$.

$$\text{Hence } \varphi(s) = \sum_j \gamma_j^* V_j^* \sigma_j(s) V_j \gamma_j, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}$$

Set $\sigma = \bigoplus_j \sigma_j$ and

$$\psi: \bigoplus_j B(K_j) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad (b_j)_j \longmapsto \sum_j \gamma_j^* V_j^* b_j V_j \gamma_j$$

and so $\varphi(s) = (\psi \circ \sigma)(s)$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$ (**)

Because of $(*)$, ψ is unital and also cp. Hence it admits a Stinespring dilation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B(L) \\ & \nearrow \tau & \downarrow v^* \cdot v \\ \bigoplus_j B(K_j) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B(\mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

Because $\bigoplus_j B(K_j)$ and $B(\mathcal{H})$ are finite dimensional the proof of Stinespring's Thm implies $\dim L < \infty$.

Revisiting $(**)$

$$\psi(s) = \psi(\sigma(s)) = v^* \tau(\sigma(s)) v$$

$$= v^* (\tau \circ \sigma)(s) v$$

Since $\tau \circ \sigma$ is finite dimensional rep of \mathcal{A} , we are done. \square

We will be concerned with $\dim S < \infty$, so in light of the matricial Minkowski Thm we saw earlier it makes sense to characterize the matrix extreme points of an operator system S .

THEOREM 5 (Farenick, 2000 JAMS) Let S be an

operator system in a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

Then a matrix state φ of S is a matrix extreme point of the matricial state space of S if and only if φ is pure.

For S a C^* -algebra this gives a very concrete picture of the matrix extreme points of the matricial state space.

THEOREM 6 Let S be a finite dimensional operator system inside an FDI C^* -algebra. Let

$$\varphi : S \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{A}), \dim \mathcal{A} < \infty$$

be a ucp map. Then φ dilates to a finite dimensional representation of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By the matricial Minkowski Thm, φ is a convex combination of matrix extreme points $\{\varphi_j\}_j$ for the matricial space of S , i.e.,

$$\varphi = \sum_j r_j^* \varphi_j r_j$$

By Farenick's Theorem, the φ_j are pure ucp maps and so by Theorem 3 (Arveson), the φ_j extend to pure ucp maps ψ_j of \mathcal{A} . Hence

$$\varphi = \sum_j \gamma_j^* (\varphi_j | s) \gamma_j$$

and the conclusion follows from Proposition 4. \square

So what is the big deal about this result???

Any contraction $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ admits a unitary dilation $U_T \in B(\mathcal{H}_T)$
 (This actually establishes the existence of a ccp
 map $\varphi_T : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$; $C(\mathbb{T}) \ni p(z) \mapsto p(T)$.)

HOWEVER, ^{even} if $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, $\dim \mathcal{H}_T = \infty$, except
 in the case where T is already a unitary
 How can we remedy this???

THEOREM 7 (Egervary, 1954) Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$,
 be a contraction and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists
 a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a
 unitary operator $U \in B(\mathcal{K})$ so that for any polynomial
 p of degree at most N ,

$$p(T) = P_{\mathcal{H}} p(U) |_{\mathcal{H}} .$$

Proof Consider the finite dimensional system

$$J = \{z^{-N}, \dots, 1, z, \dots, z^N\} \subseteq C(\mathbb{T})$$

and the ucp map $\varphi_T : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$; $p(z) \rightarrow p(T)$ discussed above

By Theorem 6, φ_T dilates to a finite dimensional representation of $C(\mathbb{T})$, i.e., we have

$$\pi : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow B(K), \dim K < \infty, \pi \text{ -repn}$$

so that

$$\varphi_T(p) = P_K \pi(p)|_K, \quad p \in J \text{ polynomial}$$

If $U = \pi(z)$, then U is unitary and $\pi(p) = p(U) \quad \square$

We can provide a more contemporary application

Recall that if T_1, T_2 are commuting contractions, then by Ando's Theorem, they admit a joint unitary dilation, i.e., there exists Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_T \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and ^{commuting} unitaries $U_1, U_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_T)$

$$\text{so that } P_{\mathcal{H}} U_1^m U_2^n |_{\mathcal{H}} = T_1^m T_2^n, \quad m, n \geq 0$$

(and so

$$P_{\mathcal{H}} (U_1^*)^m (U_2^*)^n |_{\mathcal{H}} = T_1^{*m} T_2^{*n}, \quad m, n \geq 0)$$

Therefore as before we have a ucp map

$$C(\mathbb{T}^2) \supseteq \mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2) + \overline{\mathcal{O}(z_1, z_2)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_T} B(\mathcal{H}); \quad p(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow p(T_1, T_2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2)$ denotes the analytic polynomials in z_1, z_2

THEOREM 8 (McCarthy and Shalit, 2013) Let T_1, T_2 be commuting contractions and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \geq \mathcal{H}$ and commuting unitaries U_1, U_2 so that for any analytic polynomial of degree N we have:

$$P(T_1, T_2) = P_{\mathcal{H}} P(U_1, U_2) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Proof. As before consider the u_* map

$q_T : \mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2) + \overline{\mathcal{P}(z_1, z_2)} \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{H}) : p(z_1, z_2) \mapsto p(T_1, T_2)$
and by Theorem 6 obtain a dilation of φ to a finite dimensional representation of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. \square

The proof of the matricial Minkowski Thm

We want to show that for a fixed ^{compact} matricial convex set
set $\Sigma = \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $X_n \in M_n(V)$, $\dim V < \infty$
every point in $\bar{\Sigma}$ is a matricial convex combination
of extreme points

The key tool: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define (tr = normalized trace)

$$\Gamma_n(X) := \left\{ (\gamma^* \gamma, \gamma^* x \gamma) \mid \gamma \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C}), \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* \gamma) = 1, x \in X_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

The set Γ_n connects matricial convexity with the familiar convexity !!!

LEMMA 9 $\Gamma_n(X)$ is convex

Proof

Proof

Let $(\gamma^* \gamma, \gamma^* x \gamma) \in \Gamma_n(X)$. Since $\operatorname{ran}(\gamma)$ has dimension less than n , say $r \leq n$, let $v \in M_{n,r}(\mathbb{C})$ isometry $v v^* = \operatorname{ran}(\gamma)$.

Then

$$\gamma^* x \gamma = \gamma^* v v^* x v v^* \gamma = (\underbrace{v^* \gamma}_{\substack{n \\ \times \\ r}})^* \underbrace{v^* x v}_{\substack{n \\ \times \\ r}} (v^* \gamma)$$

$$\text{and } \operatorname{tr}((v^* \gamma)^* v^* \gamma) = \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* v \cdot v^* \gamma) = \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^* \gamma) = 1$$

Putting Lemma 9 and 10 together

COROLLARY 11 $\Gamma_n(X)$ is a compact convex subset of a finite dimensional vector space. (Hence the classical result of Minkowski applies)

The following makes the important connection.

LEMMA 12 Let $(\gamma^* \gamma, \gamma^* x \gamma) \in \Gamma_n(X)$, with $x \in X_K$ and $\gamma \in M_{K,n}(\mathbb{C})$ surjective. If $(\gamma^* \gamma, \gamma^* x \gamma)$ is an extreme point for $\Gamma_n(X)$, then x is an extreme point for \bar{X} .

Proof

Assume that

$$x = \sum \gamma_i^* x_i \gamma_i, \quad x_i \in X_{K_i}$$

is a proper convex combination. (and so γ_i surjective)

Then

$$\gamma^* x \gamma = \sum (\gamma_i^* \gamma)^* x_i (\gamma_i \gamma)$$

and

$$\gamma^* \gamma = \gamma^* \left(\sum \gamma_i^* \gamma_i \right) \gamma = \sum (\gamma_i^* \gamma)^* \gamma_i \gamma$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (r^* r, r^* x r) &= \sum_i \left((r_i r)^* r_i r, (r_i r)^* x_i r_i r \right) \\ &= \sum_i t_i \left(\left(\frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}} \right)^* \frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}}, \left(\frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}} \right)^* x_i \frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

since we want

$$\text{tr} \left(\left(\frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}} \right)^* \frac{r_i r}{t_i^{1/2}} \right) = 1 \quad \text{for membership in } \Gamma_n(x),$$

we obtain

$$\text{tr}(r^* r_i^* r_i r) =: t_i$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{matrix} I \\ || \end{matrix}$$

$$\sum_i t_i = \text{tr} \left(\sum_i r_i^* r_i r \right) = \text{tr} \left(r^* \left(\sum_i r_i^* r_i \right) r \right) = \text{tr}(r^* r) = 1$$

So (*) is a true convex combination in $\Gamma_n(x)$

Since $(r^* r, r^* x r)$ is extreme, we obtain from the first entries that

$$\frac{r^* r_i^* r_i r}{t_i} = r^* r \quad \text{or}$$

$$r^* (r_i^* r_i - t_i I_k) r = 0$$

Since γ is onto, $\gamma^*(\gamma_i^* \gamma_i - t_i I_u) = 0$ and by taking adjoints $\gamma_i^* \gamma_i - t_i I_u = 0$.
Hence $\gamma_i / t_i^{1/2}$ is unitary (and $k_i = k, \forall i$).

From the second entries in (*) we obtain

$$\gamma^* x \gamma = \frac{(\gamma_i^* \gamma)^*}{t_i^{1/2}} x_i \frac{\gamma_i}{t_i^{1/2}} \quad \gamma^* \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i} \right)^* x_i \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i} \right) \gamma^*$$

or

$$\gamma^* \left(x - \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i^{1/2}} \right)^* x_i \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i^{1/2}} \right) \right) \gamma = 0$$

Arguing as earlier

$$x = \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i} \right)^* x_i \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{t_i} \right) \text{ i.e., } x_i \text{ unit. equiv. to } x. \quad \square$$

We can now prove the matricial Minkowski

If $x \in X_k$, then $(I, x) \in \Gamma_k(x) = \text{convex compact subset of f.d. space}$

Hence

$$(I, x) = \sum t_i \left(\gamma_i^* \gamma_i, \gamma_i^* x_i \gamma_i \right) \quad \leftarrow \text{extreme in } \Sigma$$

and so

$$x = \sum_i \underbrace{(t_i^{1/2} \gamma_i)^{\top}}_{\hat{\gamma}_i} x_i \underbrace{(t_i^{1/2} \gamma_i)}_{\hat{\gamma}_i}$$

Furthermore

$$\sum_i (t_i^{1/2} \gamma_i)^{\top} (t_i^{1/2} \gamma_i) = \sum_i t_i \gamma_i^{\top} \gamma_i = \mathbf{I}$$

from the first entries ...

Created with Microsoft OneNote for Mac.