

V a countable state space.

$P = (p(x,y))_{x,y \in V}$ a transition matrix.

$V^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ is endowed with the usual Borel σ -field \mathcal{B} , which is the minimal σ -field containing all elementary cylinders $\{y_0\} \times \dots \times \{y_k\} \times V^{\{k+1, k+2, \dots\}}$, $y_0, \dots, y_k \in V, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

S will denote the left shift on $V^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, for which $S(x_0, x_1, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$.

P_x , for $x \in V$, denotes the law of the Markov chain X_0, X_1, \dots with transition matrix P and initial distribution δ_x , i.e., $P_x(X_0 = x) = 1$.

$P_\theta := \sum_{x \in V} \theta(x) P_x$ is the law of the Markov chain with initial distribution θ .

$\mathcal{T} := \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n} \mathcal{B}$ is the tail σ -field. A tail function is a function $f: V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable with respect to \mathcal{T} .

Let V, P be given as above. An automorphism of (V, P) is a permutation γ of V s.t. $p(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) = p(x, y) \forall x, y \in V$.

A Markov chain with transition matrix P and state space V is called transitive or spatially homogeneous, if $\text{Aut}(V, P)$ acts transitively on V ; that is for any $z, w \in V$ there exists $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(V, P)$ s.t. $\gamma(z) = w$.

Examples: Simple random walk on a transitive graph is a transitive MC.
Convolution random walks on groups are transitive MC.

[A graph (V, E) is transitive if, for any $x, y \in V$, there exists an automorphism ϕ of the graph with $\phi(x) = y$, an automorphism of the graph (V, E) being a bijection $\phi: V \rightarrow V$ s.t. $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] \in E$ iff $[x, y] \in E$.]

If X is a discrete r.v. its entropy is defined as

$$H(X) = - \sum P(X=x) \ln P(X=x)$$

More generally, given a σ -field \mathcal{F} , one defines conditional entropy as

$$H(X|\mathcal{F}) = - \sum_x P(X=x|\mathcal{F}) \ln P(X=x|\mathcal{F}).$$

LEMMA: Let X be a discrete r.v. on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

(i) If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then $H(X|\mathcal{G}) \geq H(X|\mathcal{F})$.

Equality iff $P(X=\cdot|\mathcal{F}) = P(X=\cdot|\mathcal{G})$ a.s.

(ii) If $\mathcal{G}_n \supseteq \mathcal{G}_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a decreasing sequence of σ -fields, $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\mathcal{G}_n \downarrow \mathcal{G}$ then $H(X|\mathcal{G}_n) \uparrow H(X|\mathcal{G})$.

Consider a transitive chain $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ on a countable V with $X_0 = o$. Transitivity gives the condition $H(X_{n+m}|X_m) = H(X_n)$ and hence $H(X_{n+m}) \leq H(X_m) + H(X_n)$. (*)
In particular, $H(X_1) < \infty \Rightarrow H(X_n) < \infty \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also $H(X_{n+1}|X_1) = H(X_n)$ shows that $(H(X_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (weakly) increasing. By Fekete's lemma the $\text{ave } \mathcal{E}$ (asymptotic) entropy exists:

$$h := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(X_n)}{n}$$

(*) In general, $H(X, Y) = H(Y) + H(Y|X)$, $0 \leq H(Y|X) \leq H(Y)$.

Proposition: If the M.C. is symmetric, i.e., $p(x,y) = p(y,x) \forall x,y \in V$, and any initial state o , one has (2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(X_n)}{n} \geq 2 \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$$

where ρ is the spectral radius. In particular for transitive symmetric chains with $\rho < 1$, one has $h > 0$.

Proof: By Jensen and symmetry

$$-H(X_n) = \sum_x P^n(o,x) \ln P^n(o,x) \leq \ln \sum_x [P^n(o,x)]^2 = \ln \sum_x P^n(o,x) P^n(x,o) \\ = \ln P^{2n}(o,o).$$

Divide by n and take liminf as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Theorem: For a transitive M.C. with $X_0 = o$ and $H(X_1) < \infty$, the tail σ -field is \mathcal{P}_o -trivial iff $h = 0$.

Definition: Suppose P is a transition matrix on V , where $G = (V, E)$ is a graph, with corresponding graph metric d . If for all $z, w \in V$ there exists $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(V, P) \cap \text{Aut}(G)$ s.t. $\gamma(z) = w$, then the chain, with transition matrix P is called a transitive Markov chain with an invariant graph metric.

Examples: Simple random walk on a transitive graph.

Convolution random walk on a discrete group Γ , where the metric d arises from a right Cayley graph G on Γ . In this case, transitions need not be restricted to edges on G , yet the action of the group on itself by left multiplication defines automorphisms of (V, P) and G .

Consider a transitive chain on V with an invariant graph metric d determined by the transitive graph $G = (V, E)$. Fix a (base) point $o \in V$. By transitivity, the balls in G satisfy $|B(o, r+s)| \leq |B(o, r)| \cdot |B(o, s)|$, therefore Fekete's lemma implies that the volume growth exponent $\nu := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \ln |B(o, r)|$ exists.

Similarly, if $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a transitive M.C. on V started at o , transitivity implies that $E|X_{n+m}| \leq E|X_n| + E|X_m|$, where $|x| = d(o, x)$, $x \in V$. If $E|X_1| < \infty$, Fekete's lemma again implies that

$$\text{the speed } 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} E|X_n| \text{ exists.}$$

Theorem: Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a transitive M.C. on V with an invariant graph metric d , determined by the transitive graph $G = (V, E)$. Fix an initial state o .

If $E|X_1| < \infty$, then entropy, speed and volume growth satisfy $h \leq \nu$.

Theorem: Let (X_n) be a transitive M.C. with $X_0 = o$.

(i) If the chain is endowed with an invariant graph metric d and if $E[d(o, X_1)] < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} d(o, X_n) = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

(ii) If $H(X_1) < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln p_n(o, X_n) = -h.$$

Consider space $(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{B})$ again.

$\mathcal{I} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : S^{-1}(B) = B\}$ is the invariant σ -field.

Obviously $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, since $A \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{B}$ and then $A = S^{-1}(A) \in S^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ e.t.c., so $A \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}(\mathcal{B}) =: \mathcal{T}$.

For each $x \in V$, there exists a unique probability measure P_x on $(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{B})$ s.t.

$$P_x(\{x_0\} \times \dots \times \{x_n\} \times V^{\mathbb{N}_0}) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x\}}(x_0) p(x_0, x_1) \dots p(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for each elementary cylinder $\{x_0\} \times \dots \times \{x_n\} \times V^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, $x_i \in V, i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.

Notation: For any measure μ on $(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{B})$, $S_* \mu(B) := \mu(S^{-1}(B))$.

LEMMA: For any $x \in V$, $\sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) P_y = S_* P_x$ and $\sum_{y \in V} p^n(x, y) P_y = S_*^n P_x$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Notation: For any $x \in V$, $(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{B}, P_x)$ is a probability space.

$X_0, X_1, \dots : V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow V$ shall be the r.v. $X_i(x_0, x_1, \dots) = x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (projection to i -th co-ordinate). $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ shall be our stochastic process - Markov chain.

LEMMA (Markov Property): For any $x, y \in V$, $P_x(X_{n+1} = y | X_0, \dots, X_n) = P(X_n, y)$.

Proof: on the event $\{X_0 = x, X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\}$ the conditional prob. equals P_x o.n.

$$P(X_{n+1} = y | X_0 = x_0, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \frac{P(X_{n+1} = y, X_n = x_n, \dots, X_0 = x_0)}{P(X_n = x_n, \dots, X_0 = x_0)} = p(x_n, y)$$

DEFINITION: $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is P -harmonic if $u(x) = \sum_y p(x, y) u(y) \forall x \in V$. $BH(V, P) = \{ \text{bdd } P\text{-harmonic fns on } V \}$.

PROPOSITION: Let P be a transition matrix on a countable state space V .

For every bdd invariant $f : V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (P_0 -a.e. bounded)

$$U_f(x) := E_x[f(X_0, X_1, \dots)] \quad x \in V$$

defines a function which is in $BH(V, P)$.

If P is irreducible, $f \mapsto U_f$ is a linear isometry between $L^\infty(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{I}, P_0)$ and $BH(V, P)$. In this case the inverse of $f \mapsto U_f$ is $u \mapsto F_u$ given by

$$F_u(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n) \quad (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in V^{\mathbb{N}_0}$$

COROLLARY: Let (V, P) be an irreducible M.C. Then the invariant σ -field is P_0 trivial iff every bdd P -harmonic function on V is constant (Liouville property).

Proof: Assume first every bdd harmonic function is constant.

Let $A \in \mathcal{I}$. Then, for $f := \mathbb{1}_A \in L^\infty(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{I}, P_0)$, $U_f(x) = P_x(A)$. One must have

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x_0, x_1, \dots) = F_{U_f}(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_f(x_n) = P_0(A), \quad (\text{since } U_f(x) = P_x(A) = P_x(\{0\}) = P_0(A))$$

so $P_0(A) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Conversely, suppose $P_0(A) \in \{0, 1\} \forall A \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be in $BH(V, P)$.

Then $F_u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n)$ defines a function which is \mathcal{I} measurable, hence constant.

Then $U_{F_u} = u$ must be constant.

Proof of Proposition:

First let $f: V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be invariant and s.t. $P_0(\{x = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in V^{\mathbb{N}_0} : |f(x_0, x_1, \dots)| > t\}) = 0$ for some $t > 0$, and let $\|f\|_\infty$ be the infimum of such $t > 0$. Set

$$U_f(x) := E_x[f(x_0, x_1, \dots)].$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) U_f(y) &= \sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) \int_{V^{\mathbb{N}_0}} f dP_y \\ &= \int_{V^{\mathbb{N}_0}} f d \sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) P_y \\ &= \int_{V^{\mathbb{N}_0}} f d S_x P_x = \int_{V^{\mathbb{N}_0}} f \circ S dP_x = \int_{V^{\mathbb{N}_0}} f dP_x = U_f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now P irreducible.

Furthermore, $|U_f(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ P_x -a.e., because $|f(x_0, x_1, \dots)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ P_x -a.e.

Indeed: $P_0(A) = S_x P_0(A) = \sum_{y \in V} p(0, x) P_x(A)$, so $P_x(A) = 0$ whenever $p(0, x) > 0$, where A is the invariant set $\{x \in V^{\mathbb{N}_0} : |f(x)| > \|f\|_\infty\} = A$.

By irreducibility, $p^n(0, x) > 0 \forall x \in V$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Same argument yields that, for $f, g: V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ invariant, $P_0(f \neq g) = 0$ (so $f = g$ in $L^\infty(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{I}, P_0)$) implies that $P_x(f \neq g) = 0 \forall x \in V$ (in irreducible case) so $U_f = U_g$ and $f \mapsto U_f$ defines a map on $L^\infty(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{I}, P_0)$.

This map is clearly linear. So we have a map $L^\infty(V^{\mathbb{N}_0}, \mathcal{I}, P_0) \rightarrow B\#(V, P)$.

Let $u \in B\#(V, P)$. Define $F_u(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n)$. Let $g = F_u$.

$$\begin{aligned} U_g(x) &= E_x[g(x_0, x_1, \dots)] = E_x[\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n)] = E_x[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(x_n)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_x[u(x_n)] = u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Reason for this:

LEMMA: $(u(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is, under each P_x , a martingale w.r.t. filtration $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(x_0, \dots, x_n)$.

Proof: $E_x[u(x_{n+1}) | x_0, \dots, x_n] = \sum_{y \in V} u(y) P(x_{n+1} = y | x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{y \in V} u(y) p(x_n, y) = u(x_n)$ P_x -a.e.

Conversely, suppose $f: V^{\mathbb{N}_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant and P_0 -a.e. bdd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_0[f(x_0, x_1, \dots) | x_0, \dots, x_n] &= E_0[f(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) | x_0, \dots, x_n] \\ &= E_{x_n}[f(x_0, x_1, \dots)] = U_f(x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Αριστοτέλης μέγας εἶπεν οὖν $E_0[f(x_0, x_1, \dots) | \sigma(x_0, x_1, \dots)] = f(x_0, x_1, \dots)$ P_0 -a.e.

Hence $\lim U_f(x_n) = f(x_0, x_1, \dots)$ P_0 -a.e.

A.e. $F_{U_f} = f$.

Έτσι οὖν εἶναι $U_{F_u} = u$, $F_{U_f} = f$.